

Bonnie blue

Fair today with winds at 10 mph and a high in the lower 80s.

Football

1982

See insert



Go Heels

UNC faces the University of Pittsburgh tonight in a nationally-televised game. See page 6 for a preview of the match-up.

The Daily Tar Heel

Copyright The Daily Tar Heel 1982

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 80, Issue 54

Thursday, September 9, 1982

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 982-0245
Business/Advertising 982-1183

CGC approves actions of summer council

By ALISON DAVIS
Staff Writer

It was almost as if the summer Campus Government Council never existed.

Upon reconvening for the fall school session, the full CGC reduced the summer council's actions to a bill and approved it by consent. Just like that.

By voting in favor of the bill, each CGC member present approved \$950 in allocations for social expenditures. According to Student Government treasury laws, the CGC cannot make allocations for social expenditures, except for special circumstances. No comments, no arguments, no questions.

"The actions of the summer council cannot be undone," Student Body President Mike Vandenberg said following the meeting. "I think the council realizes the futility of discussing actions after the fact."

The fall approval of the summer CGC's actions is "just a procedural matter," according to CGC Finance Committee Chairperson Charlie Madion (District 23). "It kind of holds them (the summer CGC) accountable" for their actions, he said.

But subjecting the actions of the summer CGC to full council does not provide the system of checks and balances that it was intended to. Because the money allotted this summer (a total of \$1,500) had already been spent, the CGC had to accept the bill.

Both before and after the meeting Tuesday, several council members said they did not approve of many of the summer CGC's actions or of the way the council was structured.

"I think the summer CGC probably will have to be taken care of," said CGC member Diana Baxter (District 8). "What happened this summer shouldn't happen again."

Baxter said social expenditures were among the actions which should not occur again. The summer CGC allotted a total of \$950 to sponsor two nights of free bowling for summer school students and a \$1 all-campus supper in the Pit.

The summer CGC spent a great deal of time arguing about the social bills. Several council members said the CGC should leave social activities to the Carolina Union. Others argued that an all-campus event was the only way to give summer students a return on their Student Activities Fees. Summer CGC Finance Committee Chairperson

Dan Bryson (District 18), who served as the entire finance committee for most of the summer, presented the bills to the council and argued in favor of them. But in an interview in August, Bryson said the CGC should not make such expenditures.

"What we did is not the job of the CGC," Bryson said. "But a council should be set up to do things like that in the summer."

Analysis

The summer CGC has proved that it should not be in the business of making social expenditures. Of the \$950 the council allotted itself for social activities, \$610 was spent on the all-campus lunch in the Pit.

The summer CGC had several problems planning the lunch. The council originally approved an allotment of \$291 for the meal. The bill to sponsor the meal described it as an all-campus hotdog supper. Following the summer council's approval, the meal was changed to a lunch. The CGC never voted on the change.

CGC speaker Bobby Vogler (District 14) said the council did not have to approve the change because

it was not listed under the actual action clause. But the change affected the total bill: more people came to the meal and it cost more than the CGC had anticipated.

The food served at the lunch was more elaborate than the CGC had planned. The summer Tar Heel reported that ARA director Howard Southerland rejected the \$292 because it was not enough to cover the meal. Southerland told the CGC that ARA would pay for the rest of the meal, the Tar Heel reported.

In a meeting after the lunch, Bryson suggested the council reimburse ARA for its losses. But neither Bryson nor ARA produced any document outlining the cost of the meal. Vogler said no council member saw a written estimate from ARA.

"We didn't run this in an appropriate businesslike manner because we didn't know what the hell we were doing," Bryson told the summer council.

The summer CGC allotted an additional \$318 to reimburse ARA. Members argued about the expenditure, but they approved it anyway. They didn't have much choice.

The inept handling of the all-campus meal this

summer has brought the CGC four late funding requisitions. An organization incurs a late requisition when it fails to file the proper forms with the Student Activities Fund Office before spending money. If the CGC receives a fifth late requisition, its funds will be frozen. The summer council has not set much of an example for campus organizations to follow.

Several CGC members said they would like to see the summer CGC restructured. Vogler suggested possible residence area elections to provide the summer CGC with more members. Vandenberg said the CGC should reassign people to its committees in order to insure that no fewer than three CGC members serve on the Finance Committee.

While elections might not be feasible, restructuring the CGC committees certainly is. By adding more people to the summer CGC Finance Committee, the summer CGC could prevent further power abuses by small committees or heads of committees.

But the council cannot correct the problems of the summer CGC's structure by tacitly approving its actions. Until members of the CGC talk, question and argue about the council, it will remain a problem for many CGCs to come.

Orange commission approves new airport

By BONNIE FOUST
Staff Writer

Orange County Commissioners approved construction of a new airport in the county Tuesday.

The proposed Midway Airport has been the subject of controversy since the fall of 1980. Occupying 232 acres of land nine miles west of Carrboro in Bingham Township, the airport would consist of a 5,000 foot runway and hangar space for 276 planes.

In granting a special-use permit, the commissioners set certain stipulations with which the airport developers must comply before construction begins. These stipulations include fire safety provisions, limited commercial use, restrictions on jet aircraft and proper maintenance service.

Also, the developers must have control of the height of objects in a 5,000 foot area known as the approach departure zone, and airport construction must begin one year from the date the permit was issued, or the permit will be revoked.

Lee Mehler of Pelloquin Associates, architect for the proposed airport, said Midway will meet all of the commissioners' restrictions except the condition requiring the owners to control 5,000 feet at the end of approach departure zones.

"This far exceeds the FAA (Federal Aviation Administration) or anything else," he said. "No airport, at least not in eastern North Carolina, controls that amount of land."

Mehler said the FAA requires only 1,000 feet at the end of runways, but apparently a deficiency exists in the zoning ordinance and the commissioners did not feel 1,000 feet was adequate protection.

"It's a major problem," he said. "It's very difficult to get that sort of easement of that area. There's a wedge a mile long, then 250 feet at the end of the mile."

Commissioner Don Willhoit and Susan Smith of the Orange County Planning Department said neither the architect nor the developer voiced any opposition to the condition at the meeting. Therefore, the stipulation stands unless the developers choose to take legal action to remove it, Smith said.

However, Willhoit said the developer could ask the commissioners for an amendment to their permit if necessary.

Fred Hazard, agent for Buck Mountain Development Group, which is planning Midway Airport, said that no decision would be made about the stipulation until the developers received a written document of all the commissioners' conditions.

Members of the Chapel Hill Coalition for Airport Planning said that Midway would be a good alternative to university-owned Horace Williams Airport, which has proved a danger to surrounding schools in that area.

Although the Horace Williams problem may have brought added pressure for approval, the commissioners said it was not a vital factor in their decision.

"I did not make a valued judgment," Commissioner Shirley Marshall said. "A lot of pressure was put on a valued judgment because Horace Williams should be closed. We have created an airport and made strict regulations to ensure the land-use plans of that area — keeping Bingham Township a residential and agricultural area."

Drakeford serves Carrboro well

By ALAN MARKS
Staff Writer



Carrboro Mayor Robert Drakeford points out a problem ... he has solved many of the small city's difficulties during his tenure

A former Carrboro resident returned from Atlanta recently to visit the quiet, little town he had left 10 years earlier. He was astounded at the changes that had taken place — he no longer recognized the place.

The mill village protruding from Chapel Hill's west side now had an extensive bus system, bike paths, a new fire station, one of the largest community parks in central North Carolina, new land development and many road improvements.

None of this existed until six years ago, when Bob Drakeford was elected Mayor of Carrboro.

Drakeford was touted as an "activist mayor" when first elected in 1977, and that label has proven to be an appropriate one as the Carrboro businessman nears the halfway point of his third term in office.

His talent at channeling state and federal funds into the town has helped to put Carrboro onto the map and prevented local residents from footing the bill for the needed improvements and services that were non-existent before he took office.

"I got elected the first time as an activist mayor," he said. "A mayor to bring funds into Carrboro."

"I thought it was criminal that we were sending money to Raleigh and Washington and not getting anything back."

See DRAKEFORD on page 4

But salaries are now frozen

UNC professors have highest state salary average

By LISA PULLEN
Staff Writer

Professors at UNC earned higher average salaries than professors at any other of the state's public and private colleges during 1981-82, according to a nationwide study recently published.

However, UNC administration officials say the picture painted by those figures is less than rosy.

The salary figures showed that full professors at UNC earned an average of \$41,500 in 1981-82. Associate professors earned an average of \$29,500 during that time and assistant professors and instructors earned an average of \$24,300 and \$21,000, respectively. The figures are compiled annually for the American Association of University Professors and were published in the Sept. 1 edition of *The Chronicle of Higher Education*.

The next highest average salaries in the state were at UNC-Greensboro, where full professors earned an average salary of \$38,500. At Duke University, their counterparts earned an average of \$38,100. Full professors at North Carolina State University earned an average of \$38,100 and at Wake Forest University, \$33,400.

But University officials are less than pleased with the salary levels throughout the UNC system. This past year, the North Carolina Legislature froze the salaries of UNC system faculty members and other state employees.

"The data are a year old," said Art Padilla, associate vice president for academic affairs for the UNC system. "We were in good shape a year ago. This year we are not in good shape."

Raymond Dawson, vice president for academic affairs for the UNC system, said the figures reflected the average salaries for 1981-82, including the 5 percent cost of living increase that went into effect in January. "With that increase, most University institutions had a good showing," he said. "For example, Fayetteville State University, Elizabeth City State University, Pembroke State University, UNC-Asheville and UNC-Wilmington were all in the top quintile (top 20 percent)."

"We are receiving no increase for 1982 and 1983 and we are not allowed to use non-state funds," Dawson said. We are going to lose all of the gains indicated in the A.A.U.P. survey."

Some UNC officials and faculty members are worried that UNC will not be able to maintain the present quality of its faculty or attract highly qualified faculty members in the future.

"We are not on a competitive basis with universities that are in our league," said Doris Betts, chairman of the UNC Faculty Council. "We don't pay that well to keep attracting to people here."

Dawson said that the high salary figures for UNC reflected endowments, contracts and grants that help finance professors' salaries. In addition to the salary

freeze, legislators have prohibited the use of such non-state funds by the 16-campus UNC system.

In an Aug. 23 memo to faculty and staff members, Chancellor Christopher C. Fordham III described the "regrettable inability of the State to provide salary adjustments."

"Among other things, our national recruiting posture is seriously weakened," the memo stated. "This is especially painful when many of our private college colleagues, with the help of increased state money, achieved broad salary increases."

UNC-system administration officials are hoping for a salary increase in the future, Padilla said. "That hope is contingent on the economy. So far, the reports from Raleigh on revenue conditions are not encouraging. We can keep our fingers crossed," he said.

UNC President William C. Friday is expected to lobby the state legislature for such an increase and for permission to use non-state funds to supplement faculty salaries, officials have said.

The UNC system is financed through state funds allocated by the legislature. "The University (system) budgets are enrollment driven. For each additional 14½ students who enroll, the University will get one additional faculty position," Padilla said.

The University system's Board of Governors allocates See SALARY on page 4

Ga. man gets 20 years for biting female buns

The Associated Press

ATLANTA — John Thomas Harmon, an Atlantan with a long criminal record and a penchant for biting and kissing the buttocks of women he doesn't know, is back in jail again — this time on a 20-year sentence.

Harmon, 23, who also uses the name Jonathan Chirunga, pleaded guilty last month to two counts of aggravated assault for kissing the buttocks of one woman and biting the pelvic area of another outside the Candler Park MARTA station in July 1981.

DeKalb Superior Court Judge Hilton Fuller said he imposed the 20-year sentence on Harmon last

week because of his long juvenile and adult criminal record.

"This is a pitiful case," Fuller wrote in his sentencing order. "Mr. Harmon has needed and does need help... I do not understand why Mr. Harmon does what he does; nor could I begin to know what, if anything, can be done to help him."

"So long as Mr. Harmon walks the streets in his current condition, he represents a serious threat to society," Fuller said.

Harmon now is awaiting trial on a charge of simple battery in Fulton County for allegedly kissing the buttocks of a woman walking in front of Peachtree Center last March.

Since his first arrest at age 15 for simple battery, Harmon has been convicted more than a dozen times.