

The Daily Tar Heel

Cloud control

Partly cloudy with high around 80, low in 50s. Light winds.

Aiding disabled

NEEDS is an organization for handicapped students at UNC. See story on page 4.

Copyright The Daily Tar Heel 1982

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 90, Issue 69

Tuesday, October 5, 1982

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163



Pigging out

DTH/Zane A. Saunders

The Pit was transformed into a paradise for luau-lovers Monday at dinner-time. Wilbert Lewis, the production manager for ARA, the University's food service, slices ham for hungry students during the meal.

No soliciting by businesses, policy states

By JOSEPH BERRYHILL
Staff Writer

Local businesses who solicit on the UNC campus are violating a University policy, although they may not know it.

Most of the violators of the seven-month-old solicitation policy have been the fast-food delivery services, said Jim Ptaszynski, acting associate director of residence life for University housing.

Domino's Pizza, Pizza Transit Authority, Roman Wings, Pizza Hut and Chicken Express had violated the policy in the past, he said.

Kenneth Howell, owner of University Pizza Service and Chicken Express, was passing out flyers in Cobb dormitory last Tuesday when a resident assistant asked him to leave.

"It's not the first time that has happened," Howell said. "My drivers have been thrown out of Cobb and other dorms on campus."

The policy which Howell and his drivers violated was issued by the Chancellor's office in March, and governs the use of physical facilities at UNC.

The policy states that, "except as herein provided, nonaffiliated individuals and groups are prohibited from canvassing, selling, offering for sale, soliciting or promoting the sale of any goods or services on University premises."

"Nonaffiliated" refers to individuals and groups that are not defined as a University administrative unit, or which are not established or recognized by the Chancellor.

"The reason for the policy is to prevent people from using pressure tactics or bothering students," Ptaszynski said. "The whole policy is for the protection of students."

If a business is illegally soliciting, then "an RA, student or anybody can ask them to stop," Ptaszynski said. If the businessman continues soliciting in the residence hall, the RAs are instructed to contact an area director or the campus police, he added.

Cobb/Joyner Area Director Gina Tonge said solicitation in the dormitories was a problem.

"It is somewhat of a problem because those people in the buildings are a captive audience," she said. "We try to catch it whenever we can and we tell the RAs to escort them (the solicitors) out."

Howell complained that the University's solicitation policy was confusing. "They're (the University) restricting my business and I wouldn't be so mad if they would restrict everyone else's too," he said.

Other merchants say the policy has not been enforced until now.

"There has always been a no-soliciting rule," said Tom Resler, manager of PTA. "But we were allowed to drop off ads that hung on doors because the policy was never enforced. Several businesses did it."

Resler said that PTA had cooperated with the policy this year. "We have done it (solicited) in the past," said Dave Wood, manager of Domino's Pizza. "But we don't do it anymore — after the University told us not to."

But Ptaszynski said University housing had not altered the policy's enforcement. "We're not enforcing it any different this year," he said. "This is the

way it has always been done."

"We adhere to the rules as far as distribution (goes)," said Alan Hensley, district manager of Pizza Hut. "We leave our ads in the lobby and do not go door-to-door in the dorms."

Sai Vitali, co-owner of the chicken delivery service Roman Wings, said she was unaware that the University had a policy prohibiting solicitation in the dorms.

"We have done it," Vitali said. "It's really the only way a new business can get going." She added that she had not received any notice from the University administration about the policy.

While commercial businesses are not restricted by the Chancellor's policy, they are allowed to deliver to the dormitories because of prior consent from the student, Ptaszynski said.

"A business can deliver if asked," he said. "But if a deliveryman has extra pizzas he can't go door-to-door selling them."

But political and religious groups are not restricted by the Chancellor's policy, and can solicit anywhere on campus.

James Cansler, associate vice chancellor for student affairs, said that the Chancellor's policy distinguished between the sale of merchandise and ideological material.

"Anyone can pass out or sell ideological material with no permission from the University," he said.

Cansler also said that the policy permitted student organizations to sell on campus in fund-raising efforts. Such a fund-raiser may be conducted jointly with a business, he added.

But the policy stipulates that the organization be University-affiliated, obtain a permit at the Carolina Union and limit sales to the Pit area. The permits are given to an organization only once a semester.

University-affiliated groups are also allowed to go door-to-door in the residence halls with a permit from the residence life office in University housing, Ptaszynski said.

"But the affiliated groups must be collecting money for charity and 100 percent of the money must go to charity," he said.

The Chancellor's policy on the use of UNC facilities was based on a 1953 Board of Trustee policy which states that no solicitation is allowed on campus, except for the UNC Student Stores, Cansler said.

The Student Stores are allowed to solicit on campus because they "provide a service to the University and the community and must do all its selling on campus," Cansler said.

"By and large, I think it (the policy) is working fairly well," he said.

But Nora Reavis, an RA in Cobb dormitory, said she had to ask a solicitor to leave the dormitory last Friday. "I asked him if he had a permit," she said. "But he didn't, so I had to ask him to leave twice because he was trespassing." She said she called the assistant manager of the restaurant when the solicitor did not leave.

University housing is checking into possibly enforcing the policy with more "stringent measures," Ptaszynski said. "We're presently consulting within the University to find out our options," he said.

Low voter turnout expected

Elections for 2 CGC positions held today

By CHARLES ELLMAKER
Staff Writer

Elections will be held today for Campus Governing Council District seats 6 and 22.

W.M. "Doc" Droze, a junior journalism and English major from Tifton, Ga., and Gwen Hailey, a junior political science major from Greensboro, are running for the District 22 seat, an undergraduate off-campus district including Kingswood, Royal Park and the Villages apartments.

Otis Speight, a medical student from Carrboro, is the only candidate running for the District 6 seat, a graduate, medical and nursing school district.

Stan Evans, Elections Board chairman, said he expected only about 200 students to vote today. Last fall, only about 150 people voted, he said.

Evans said a low voter turnout was not unusual during the fall elections because the elections were by nature smaller and less publicized.

"Over the past two years more people have been coming out for the campus elections," Evans said. "Unfortunately, there's been a lot less publicity for this election" because the Elections Board members were not selected until about a week and a half ago, he said.

"We really ran ourselves around to get ready for it," he said. "I'd really be happy if we had more than 200 turn out."

Asked why there was only one graduate student running for District 6, Evans said that even in the spring election graduate student apathy ran high. Last spring, out of eight graduate students districts, five had no candidates, he said. The 16 undergraduate districts had candidates for all but one district, however.

Election results should be announced about two hours after the polls close at 5 p.m., Evans said. Each of the ballots must be verified before being counted for a candidate, he said.

The polls will be open from 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. at each of the following sites:

1. Carolina Union-Districts 6 and 22
2. Y-Court-Districts 6 and 22
3. Wilson Library-Districts 6 and 22
4. Scuttlebutt-Districts 6 and 22
5. Medical school bookstore-District 6
6. Rosenau Hall-District 6
7. Craig Residence Hall-District 6
8. Hamilton Hall-District 22

Chamber of Commerce proposes bus fare hike

By LYNDIA THOMPSON
Staff Writer

The Greater Chapel Hill-Carrboro Chamber of Commerce last month made three recommendations concerning the local bus system, including a proposal to increase the transit fares. The recommendations were made in anticipation of cuts in federal operating subsidies.

A letter released by the chamber of commerce recommended an increase in bus fares — particularly during peak hours with an increase from 50 cents to 60 cents.

The proposed rate increase, however, was called inappropriate by UNC student government Town Relations committee chairman Frank Hirsch.

"I'm not certain an increase is warranted," he said. "If the fares are raised I think you will see a decrease in ridership. Students will find alternative ways of getting to campus."

It was also recommended by the chamber that the method currently used to figure the University's contributions to the bus system be changed.

R.B. Moorhead, chairman of the chamber's Budget Analysis committee and Associate Dean of the School of Public Health, said the current method was complicated. "The recommended change is a technical difference," he said. "You may have to read the report five times before you understand it. There is an apparent inconsistency of a technical manner. The committee felt a need to correct it."

The recommended change would not change the University's contributions by a large amount. It is important that it is understood for "the people's perception of fairness," Moorhead said.

The third recommendation was an increase in campus parking fees, which could cause students to increase their use of the bus system.

The letter said: "These increases are all designed to preserve the bus system without having to greatly increase the present local tax subsidy."

John Morgan, president of the chamber of commerce, said President Reagan was planning to cut out federal subsidies in the budget, forcing funding back to the local level.

"It is not official that there will be increased campus rates — it has only been raised as one possibility. The letter is an abbreviated form of the report," Moorhead said.

The federal operating subsidies provide 35 percent of the buses' total operating cost, according to the chamber's report. Operating revenues, made up of fares and bus passes, provide less than 30 percent of Chapel Hill's total operating costs. This is well below the average operating revenue of other bus systems in the U.S., which is 43 percent the report said. Chapel Hill ranks fifth among North

Carolina bus systems for operating revenues. According to the report, for a 12-month period ending December 31, 1981, Charlotte's system had the highest revenues with \$3,745. Winston-Salem followed with \$1,159, Raleigh with \$1,211, Durham with \$975 and Chapel Hill with \$538.

Morgan said: "The transit system became a big item in the local budget and because of Reagan's cutback. The members of the chamber began looking for opportunities of improvement. The budget committee has been looking into the situation for the past six to seven months."

"The committee has been complimented by the town manager and other townspeople," he said. "The report is now in the hands of the University and we are waiting for them to come forth before we decide where to go from here."

UNC party listed among top college sex scandals

By DANE HUFFMAN
Staff Writer

UNC has gotten a lot of coverage in national magazines with high-ranking football and basketball teams, but now the October issue of *Penthouse* magazine has dubbed a 1979 UNC fraternity party one of the nation's top 10 college sex scandals.

In an article detailing collegiate sex scandals since 1959, author Richard O'Connor listed the Dec. 2, 1979 Christmas party between UNC's Zeta Psi fraternity and the Alpha Omicron Pi sorority at Duke as the first scandal.

But some people, including the current president of the AOPis and a former UNC Interfraternity Council official, said the story was sensationalized.

"It's got to be sensationalist, otherwise you wouldn't buy the magazine," said senior Allison Massey, president of the AOPi sorority at Duke.

O'Connor said he heard about the Zeta party through UNC graduates living in New

York, and flew down to North Carolina to interview two former Zetes who had been "very open. Tremendously so." He added, "I wish all my interviews (for the article) went that way."

O'Connor said he listed the UNC scandal first in his article because he was able to get the most information on it, and talked with people from both sides.

O'Connor only talked with participants in three of the 10 stories, relying mainly on reports of the incidents for the others.

For his story on the Zeta party, O'Connor used back issues of *The Daily Tar Heel*, and talked with two Zetes and the former president of the AOPis.

O'Connor said he had not spoken much to the current members of the fraternity, but concentrated on talking to former Zetes who had been at the party. "They had little bearing on the story," he said. "We were trying to deal with the isolated incidents."

Zeta Psi president Frank Holding refused to comment on the article. "I think that this is what our fraternity has decided," Holding

said. "We feel it is in our best interests just to leave it at no comment."

At the time of the party, the AOPis were a new sorority at Duke that had just been colonized that September. According to O'Connor's article, the girls arrived at the Zeta house on Cameron Avenue for a Christmas party, and were greeted by a Christmas tree decorated with condoms and tampons and with Kotex boxes around its base.

The sisters were also knocked down, sprayed with water and one sister had a bag of manure thrown in her lap, the *Penthouse* article stated. The sisters were unable to leave the house because the doorknobs had been removed from the inside of the doors, it stated.

"It was disgusting what they did to us," then-AOPi president Kathy Rauth was quoted as saying in the article. "It's a shame nothing was done about it, a real shame."

But Rauth, would not comment on the article. "I've had enough of it," she said in a telephone interview from Pennsylvania.

According to Fred Schroeder, director of

the UNC Department of Student Life, the University withdrew recognition of the Zeta Psi chapter on Feb. 28, 1980, for not less than three years.

The University also recommended to the executive committee of the Zeta Psi national fraternity that the committee close the chapter for three years, after which the University would consider allowing the Zetes to recolonize.

But the Zeta Psi executive committee did not close the fraternity, and since the land and house are owned by the Zeta Psi national organization, the University could not take any further steps.

Schroeder said Monday that no decision had been made about reinstating the Zeta Psi recognition, but if they did apply for University recognition, a decision would be made at that time.

The Zetes have pledged students since the party, and are also mixing with UNC sororities this year.

By losing University recognition, the fraternity lost the right to petition for student

fees, the ability to use University facilities as a group and the right to represent itself as having any connection with the University.

In the article, O'Connor quoted one of the former Zetes as saying, "In no way did the party live up to normal standards. Hell, compared to most year, that party was like a boyscout meeting."

"If that was a quote made by a representative of the fraternity at this time, then I think you can let the actions speak for themselves," Massey AOPi president said. "If that fraternity at this time still condones actions like that, I don't find that acceptable."

O'Connor said the Zetes he had talked with seemed to have no regrets about having the party. In the article he called one Zete "unrepentant."

"In retrospect, perhaps they may have realized that they pulled their shenanigans on the wrong group of girls," O'Connor said in a telephone interview from New York. "These

See ZETES on page 5