

CGC authorizes fee referendum

By CHARLES ELLMAKER
Staff Writer

In a special meeting Wednesday night, the Campus Governing Council unanimously authorized a student referendum to raise the Student Activity Fee by \$1.25 per student per semester.

The referendum will appear on the ballot in the Feb. 8 campus elections.

The bill almost never came to a vote when the CGC lost its quorum and had to reschedule the meeting until later in the evening when quorum could be met.

The quick decision came in the second session of the meeting, which began four hours later. And the second meeting was delayed 30 minutes until the quorum of 14 could be met.

At first it appeared that Wednesday's meeting would be a repeat of last week's actions, when another CGC member walked out of the meeting to break quorum. This action successfully stalled a vote on whether to put the fee increase referendum on the ballot.

After more than an hour of debate, CGC member Phil Painter (District 19) left the meeting, purposefully blocking a vote on whether to approve the referendum.

Earlier in the meeting, Painter had been unsuccessful in amending the bill, which would have offered students the additional choice of decreasing student fees by \$1.25 per person per semester.

The final referendum lets students vote either to raise the fees by \$1.25 per semester or to keep them the same.

Painter was not present at the second session of the meeting.

Last week, CGC member Dan Bryson (District 18) successfully amended the bill to include the third choice of decreasing fees, but the bill was later amended back to its original form. Just before a vote was taken on that bill, Bryson left the meeting, also breaking quorum. Bryson was not present at this week's meeting because he was working, Painter said.

Giving the students the option of decreasing their student fees was the only fair approach to the referendum, Painter said during the first meeting.

"If we really want to know what the students think, we should give them the chance to say that they'll take a cut in programs (offered by student organizations)," he said.

But CGC member Dennis Bartels (District 10) said that voting against a fee increase was really the same as voting for a decrease "in real dollars" because of inflation. The last fee increase was in 1977.

Union President Wayne Plummer told the CGC that while the Union was not "broke," it has been forced to cut back on some programs and charge admission to other programs such as Friday movies.

"The day will come, if a fee increase is not passed, when you'll be charged for every film," he said.

Plummer also stressed that cutting student fees would bring definite changes in the Union's programming.

"If you take away \$1.25 per semester (per student), I can pretty safely say that we will probably not be able to present any forum lectures for free," he said. With a cutback, there would also be extra charges for



Mike Vandenberg, student body president, and two CGC representatives await a quorum ... 30 minutes passed before the council gathered the necessary 14 members

movies during the week, he said.

Plummer also said that few well-known speakers probably could be contracted without the extra funds.

"You cannot get a nationally renowned figure to come to speak at the University of North Carolina for peanuts," Plummer said. Some speakers can charge as much as 10 percent of the Union's \$140,000 programming budget, he said. The Union receives one-third of student fees under the Student Constitution.

CGC Speaker Pro Tem James Exum (District 15) said he was disappointed that some council members were voting on whether they supported an increase, in-

stead of whether it should be on the ballot as a student referendum.

And Student Body President Mike Vandenberg said CGC members were allowing their political ideologies to interfere with issues confronting the council.

"Political ideologies are important, but whether they be liberal or conservative, this is not the place for them," he said.

CGC member Jennifer Cargal (District 15) agreed

See CGC on page 2

UNC officials question the legality of ticket scalping

By GARY MEEK
Staff Writer

Two UNC students walking to the home basketball game against N.C. State were offered \$40 for their tickets. They took the money.

"I had planned on going to the game," one of the students said, "but for twenty bucks I decided to go back to the dorm and watch it on TV."

Similar instances of students selling their tickets were repeated at the next home game against Duke. Some students were openly offering to sell their tickets and admitted that they had only picked up student tickets in order to sell them.

The student scalpers said the prize tickets of this season will be for the Virginia game on Feb. 10. Those tickets, they said, could be worth as much as \$50 each.

The success of UNC athletics has made the tickets

that students obtain free worth big bucks, tempting many students to make some extra money by selling their tickets.

North Carolina law restricts the price that any tickets can be resold for, but some University officials want to prohibit the sale of student tickets altogether.

Major C.E. Mauer, chief security officer for the security services department at the University, said he was not sure how the law applies to student tickets since students obtain them free. Under North Carolina law, it is legal to purchase tickets and then sell them for a profit, as long as that profit does not exceed 10 percent of the face value of the ticket, he said.

General Statute 14-344 states, however, that the law was amended in 1981, substituting a \$1 per ticket profit in place of the 10 percent.

Wade Barber, district attorney for Orange County, said that because a \$10 value is printed on the face of

student tickets, students can sell them legally for up to \$11.

Some University officials, however, question whether any sale of a student ticket should be allowed.

Perry Morrison, president of the Carolina Athletic Association which lobbies ticket issues on behalf of students, said he thought that logically, selling student tickets should not be allowed because those tickets are paid for with student funds.

Morrison explained that each student is charged an athletic fee of \$25 per semester. This generates a fund of more than a million dollars, much of which is used toward the purchase of student tickets, he said.

When student tickets are scalped it means that some students who wanted tickets to a game but could not get them sit home while student seats, paid for with student funds, are occupied by non-students.

Assistant Athletic Director John C. Lotz said he

would like to see the sale of a student ticket made an honor code violation. Selling tickets defeats the purpose of the athletic fee and free distribution system, he said.

John Cherry, an administrator in the ticket office, said selling a student ticket is an honor code violation and the honor code would deal with anyone who was caught.

Student Attorney General Bill Kimball disagreed, however, saying, "I just don't know if that's a violation of the code of student conduct." He said that nothing in the code specifically refers to students selling their tickets, so if he decided to prosecute someone caught for ticket scalping he would have to apply some other part of the code such as improper use of a student ID.

See SCALP on page 6

Audit: police, fire deficient in operations

By JOHN CONWAY
Staff Writer

Serious management and operational deficiencies exist within the Chapel Hill police and fire departments. These conclusions, released Wednesday, were made from a management audit of the town government and housing authority.

The report, prepared by McManis Associates Inc. of Washington, D.C., identified strengths and weaknesses of both organizations, as well as making recommendations for correcting deficiencies.

Serious problems in the police department stem from a complicated management structure, auditors said. The top eight management positions in the police department could be reduced to five sworn officers and one civilian position. The report said this step would save the town more than \$50,000 a year.

Other problems in the department that were identified as serious include:

- Absence of planning or crime analysis functions.
- Outdated rules and regulations.
- No record of current response time on calls.
- Lack of a system for processing complaints against officers.

Police Chief Herman Stone said he had no comment on the report's findings or recommendations until he discussed the matter with his staff and the town manager.

The report also stated that although the fire department was organized more efficiently than the police department, the fire department still had some management and operational deficiencies.

The most obvious problem identified by auditors is giving the assistant fire chief the sole responsibility of naming streets and renumbering houses.

The fire chief was assigned this job to facilitate police and fire departments in dispatching help.

The audit reported that this task was worthwhile, but it should not be assigned to a position that pays more than \$20,000 a year. The assistant fire chief spends most of his day driving around Chapel Hill checking house numbers and street names, the report stated.

McManis Associates recommended that this responsibility be transferred to the planning department, and that the position of assistant fire chief be abolished.

Chapel Hill Town Council member Bev Kawalec said the reports of serious management problems in the police and fire departments were "most

See AUDIT on page 7



Debby Flowers speaks at Sports Club council forum in the Union ...CAA presidential opponents Padraic Baxter and Brad Ives look on

BSM Central Committee endorses election candidates

By SCOTT BOLEJACK
Staff Writer

The Black Student Movement Wednesday endorsed Kevin Monroe for student body president, Kerry DeRochi for *Daily Tar Heel* editor, Henry Miles for Residence Hall Association president and Brad Ives for Carolina Athletic Association president.

"We thought that Kevin would be more of a student body president with emphasis on the students and not on the administration," said Sherrod Banks, a BSM Central Committee member. "We felt that they were both good candidates. But Jon (Reckford) either talks at you or about you while Kevin talks with you."

William Bland, another central committee member, said the BSM did not endorse Monroe simply because he was the only black candidate.

"The BSM endorses for candidates who we feel will be most sensitive to our needs," Bland said. "It should not be taken for granted that just because a candidate is black that he or she will represent our needs. We base our decisions on the candidates' qualifications and platforms."

DeRochi received the BSM endorsement because she seemed to be very experienced and

open-minded, Banks said.

"We liked her idea to cover Pre-Orientation, which is a BSM function," Banks continued. "We also like her idea of covering more campus organizations." The BSM Central Committee was also impressed with DeRochi's plan to improve relationships between the *Black Ink* and the *DTH*, Banks said.

The BSM endorsed Miles over Mark Dalton because he appeared to be very organized, Banks said. "He presented his ideas very clearly, and we decided to go with him." Banks complimented both candidates, adding that, "it took longer to decide between these two than it did any of the other ones."

Ives received the BSM endorsement over Padraic Baxter and Debby Flowers.

The central committee was very impressed with his knowledge of the CAA," Banks said. "He appeared very organized and we think that this would more than make up for his lack of experience right now."

For senior class president and vice president, the BSM endorsed Perry Morrison and Angie Robertson and for District 15 Campus Governing Council representatives the BSM endorsed Keith Bradsher, Brian Dalton and James Exum.

Elections '83

Candidates present suggestions, promises

Candidates for student body president, *Daily Tar Heel* editor, Residence Hall Association president and Carolina Athletic Association president discussed student issues — including fees, ticket distribution and dormitory enhancement funds — at two election forums Wednesday.

Only about seven people attended the Sports Club Council's forum, and council officers decided afterward not to endorse candidates, but to recommend certain candidates to club members instead. Officers would not reveal which candidates would be recommended.

At a lively two-hour forum in Ehringhaus' Green Room, about 50 dormitory residents questioned candidates about their platforms and differences.

At the Sports Club Council forum, student body presidential candidates Jon Reckford and Kevin Monroe both agreed that they supported a student fee increase. The third candidate, Hugh Reckshun, was not at the forum.

"I support the increase, but not as avidly as I did last year," Reckford said. "First we must straighten out what's going on in Student Activities Fund Office ... it's been a farce the way it's (SAFO) been handled the past few years," he said. "Still, a fee increase can never hurt the student groups."

Monroe agreed, but said that the increase should be put before the entire student body on the ballot in the Feb. 8 election. "The increase should be voted on by an informed student body," he added.

Both candidates also suggested new academic policies.

Reckford supported establishing a reserve reading room in the new library for copies of all texts used each semester to help students who cannot afford to buy books until late in the semester due to receiving their financial aid late.

Monroe said he was concerned about the deteriorating academic environment in the dormitories.

"Students shouldn't feel that they have to come up to the library to work," Monroe said, suggesting quiet hours in the dormitories from 4 p.m. to 9 p.m.

At the Ehringhaus forum, where all three candidates spoke, the candidates tried to explain the differences between their stands.

"I see the job of student body president as motivator and advocate for the students,"

Compiled by staff writers Joseph Berrilli,
Scott Bolejack and Liz Ulevs

Tar Heels live through Death Valley

By AL STEELE
Photography Editor

CLEMSON, S.C. — All good things must come to an end.

But not in Clemson. The North Carolina Tar Heels squeezed by the Clemson Tigers to win their 15th straight with an 84-81 ACC basketball victory in Littlejohn Coliseum Wednesday.

Strong play from Sam Perkins and Michael Jordan lifted the Tar Heels over the strong defensive Tiger squad.

"We didn't take very good care of the ball," UNC coach Dean Smith said. "But that's a lot of credit for the Clemson defense."

The Tigers' aggressive defense caused 13 North Carolina turnovers in the first half. However, the same aggressive defense put UNC in the bonus 6:19 into the first half. The Tar Heels capitalized on this and shot 12 of 17 from the line.

All-American Perkins was able to split the Clemson defense on the inside with jump hooks and alley-oop passes from Jordan and point guard Jim Braddock for 14 first-half points.

"Opportunity knocks," Perkins said. "If we're open we take the shot."

While Perkins worked the inside, Jordan crashed the baseline for eight first-half points and found himself at the line six times, knocking in eight of 11.

In the second half, the Tigers held the first-ranked Tar Heels hostage for 8 minutes and 8 seconds. But Perkins wasn't finished having his say. His reverse layup with 3:43 left in the game once again put North Carolina back into the driver's seat.

Perkins led the scoring with 30 points and 10 rebounds. Jordan added 24 points and seven rebounds. Braddock had nine assists and was eight-of-eight at the free throw line.

"Just a matter of concentration (shooting free throws)," Braddock said. "It's a challenge. And the way Clemson's fans are, it's good to shut them up."

With the win over Bill Foster's Tigers, North Carolina improved its ACC record to 7-0 and 18-4 overall. Clemson further mired itself in the basement of the ACC with a 1-7 record, 7-14 overall.

But despite the 15-game winning streak, Smith remains his modest self. "We just have a lot of work to do," Smith said. "I don't know if we're No. 1 in the country, but I know we're top-20."

Monroe said, adding that he has had experience with all levels of Student Government, having worked his way up through the organization.

Reckford said that the student body president has three main duties: organizing the executive branch of Student Government, voting as a member of the Campus Governing Council, and representing the student body to the town and the administration. He added that his program of establishing a reading room for students on financial aid was among his top priorities.

Reckshun said he proposed abolishing the executive branch of Student Government because it has wasted student fees.

"I just want people to think about the office of student body president," Reckshun said. "In other words, raise hell, party and get off like a big dog."

As in earlier forums, *DTH* editorial candidates John Altschuler and Kerry DeRochi stressed their desires to make the *DTH* more of a student newspaper.

"I want to try desperately to open the paper to student interaction," Altschuler said. "Students who pick up *The Daily Tar Heel* now read the comics and the personals and that's a reality that has to be dealt with."

Earlier in the day at a forum sponsored by the Sports Club Council, DeRochi said that her ideas "would make the paper more responsive to the students, but without destroying the existing structure and professionalism of the paper."

DeRochi said it was easy for anyone to critique the *DTH* and propose changes, "but unless you know the staff and the ins and outs of the organization there's no way your ideas are going to work."

Some of DeRochi's ideas include a weekly series of articles on campus organizations, a monthly writing seminar and regular reporter to cover the N.C. Legislature. Her three years as a staff reporter would help her to improve the *DTH*, DeRochi said.

Altschuler conceded DeRochi's edge in experience. "She is perfectly qualified to give you the paper you've had," he said. "I'm not qualified to give you what you've had, but I am qualified to give you something completely new."

To make the *DTH* more of a student newspaper, Altschuler said he would make the back page more of an open forum where students could write on any subject. Students currently feel that they have to write on such things as the

See FORUM on page 4