

CGC nixes Wallace's appeal, attacks meal plan

By HEATHER HAY
Staff Writer

After ignoring a request from Student Body President Patricia Wallace to rescind the meal plan referendum, the Campus Governing Council approved a bill stating opposition to the mandatory meal plan, asking the administration to end the meal plan and urging students to vote in the campuswide referendum on April 18.

Saying she opposed the mandatory meal plan, Wallace added that she did not believe a referendum would have much impact on the Board of Trustees. "I think it's deceiving to tell the students that they will be having an impact through a referendum," she said. "It's saying to students that their vote counts for something — it doesn't. It won't change the Board of Trustees' minds."

"The decision to have a meal plan was a financial, not a popular, decision," Wallace said. "The Board of Trustees sought a \$4½-million loan from the bank. We're using that 4½ million right now for renovations. If the facilities aren't used, that's a waste of money and a waste of space."

Wallace said she was actively seeking alterna-

tives. "I'm trying to argue that that financial base (income derived from a mandatory meal plan) is not necessary and that we don't need a meal plan," Wallace said.

After giving her report, Wallace left the CGC meeting to join other student leaders to prepare for a meeting today with Gov. Jim Martin to discuss proposed college tuition hikes. After her departure, David Brady (Dist. 12) introduced his bill addressed to the UNC Board of Trustees voicing CGC opposition to the mandatory meal plan.

"The CGC is the student legislative body of this University, and we need to make a stand on the meal plan," Brady said. "If you (the CGC) won't do it for yourselves, at least do it for your constituents."

In addition to opposing the mandatory \$100 meal plan for all on-campus students, the bill states that the CGC opposes several stipulations in the ARA contract signed by Chancellor Christopher C. Fordham III; Wayne Jones, vice chancellor for business and finance; Donald Boulton, vice chancellor for Student Affairs; and former Student Body President Mike Vandenberg.

Included in those stipulations is the requirement that the meal plan price be raised by \$25 in intervals of not less than one year if enough revenue is not generated by the \$100 plan. If enough revenue still is not raised, according to the bill, South Campus residents will have to purchase a room and board plan — similar to the one at Granville Towers — at a minimum price of \$350.

The last reason cited for council opposition to the plan is that the "Campus Governing Council does not agree with the 'idea' of forcing students to eat somewhere without respect to PERSONAL CHOICE!"

Bill Peaslee (Dist. 9) unsuccessfully moved to postpone discussion of the bill, saying he didn't feel he knew enough about the meal plan issue to make a decision. "I haven't had a chance to read the book (the 'Report to Patricia Wallace, Student Body President, on the Mandatory Meal Plan'), and I feel uncomfortable voting on something I don't know something about."

The bill was approved 8-5. After the meeting, Charles Bryan (Dist. 15) said he objected to Wallace's opposition to the meal

plan referendum. "I think it's ludicrous," he said. "Patricia argues we didn't know the administration's views on this. Maybe she's got lead feet or she's dragging her feet. Something needs to be done now."

Wallace said she was trying the best she could to change the meal plan. In a memo to *The Daily Tar Heel* Wednesday, Wallace stated: "Student Government is preparing a more in-depth analysis of the meal plan issue. We do not fully endorse either the Mills Report (the report to Wallace) or the (administration's report released Tuesday)."

After the CGC meeting Thursday, she said, "I don't want the meal plan, but I have not come up with a reason (to convince the BOT to stop it) other than that it's mandatory and students don't want it."

In other business Thursday, the CGC approved Ryke Longest as student body treasurer. Approved as chairman of the Elections Board was Bruce Lillie, a sophomore from Chapel Hill.

In his first official action as Elections Board

See CGC page 3



Patricia Wallace

Winstead found guilty, could face probation

By RANDY FARMER
Staff Writer

The Honor Court found Frank T. Winstead guilty Thursday of making harassing phone calls last fall to two area directors.

Winstead's sanction will be determined later by the court. Area directors Cynthia Wolf, of Hinton James, and Maxine Frumkin, of Henderson Residence College, accused Winstead of making the calls and filed complaints with the student attorney general.

The Honor Court found Winstead in violation of a section in the Student Code that prohibits "disorderly or obscene conduct on institutional premises or at University-sponsored functions."

The harassing phone calls were hindering the area directors from doing their jobs effectively because several times they had to disconnect their phones so they could sleep, Wolf said. "When I was being harassed, I could not be reached, which was threatening my ability to my job," Wolf said. Area directors are required to have telephones for emergencies.

"The suspicion pointed to Winstead because he was the only connection between Maxine and me," Wolf said.

Winstead's roommate, Curtis J. Perry, and his suitmates George A. Perry and Wesley Moore testified Thursday.

Moore said he remembered Winstead saying at the beginning of the fall semester that he was going to get the area director. Moore said he believed Winstead had meant he was going to try to get the area director fired.

Moore and Curtis Perry testified that they did not have anything against Winstead personally, and George Perry said he had differences with Winstead over political issues.

The case was centered on whether Winstead made the phone calls to the area directors. Southern Bell traced two phone calls, made Dec. 19 at 10:48 and 10:50 p.m., to the phone that Winstead was sharing with his suitmates.

The undercover officer who served Winstead his warrant Jan. 24 said he found telephone wires connecting Winstead's telephone to his suitmate's phone.

Curtis Perry, George Perry and Moore all denied making the harassing phone calls.

Curtis Perry said people came into his room to use the phone, but he did not know whether any of those people knew the area directors. George Perry said he had known Winstead to play jokes. "Winstead works by night and sleeps by day . . ." he said. "Look at the Wallace posters. That's evidence."

According to the Student Code, the sanction for Winstead's violation may be disciplinary probation or lesser sanctions.

Probation permits the student to remain on campus, but the student may not officially represent the University or participate in any extracurricular activities such as intramural competitions.

In addition, students on probation are required to report regularly to the Honor Code counselor, who will provide individual counsel and ensure the student complies with the terms of the probation.

Sentences of probation may be imposed for a semester or for specified periods.

Winstead's March 27 trial was adjourned until this week because the Court session could not be completed before 1 a.m., the latest the Student Code allows an Honor Court session to run.



DTH/Larry Childress

Chapel Hill firefighters battle a fire that struck the Chapel Hill Cleaners around 9 p.m. Thursday. No one was injured.

'Totaled,' says owner

Fire scorches Franklin Street cleaners

By KAREN YOUNGBLOOD
Staff Writer

A fire at the Chapel Hill Cleaners on Franklin Street last night left it extensively damaged, burning the ceiling and breaking the front windows.

Firefighters put out the fire — which occurred shortly after 9 p.m. — within five minutes. The business, at 169 E. Franklin St., had just closed for the evening. No one was in the cleaners at the time, and no one was hurt.

One assistant fire chief on the scene said the fire appeared to have started in the office at the front of the store and then spread. The front part of the business was burned extensively, and the front windows broke because of the heat.

"It's totaled," said owner Robert Humphreys. When asked if insurance would cover the loss, Humphreys replied, "I sure hope so. Oh, I hope so. Don't ask me questions like that."

Traffic on Franklin Street was

congested because of the blaze. Westbound traffic was rerouted down Henderson Street, while eastbound traffic moved as usual.

Businesses on each side of the cleaners, The Fireside Ltd. and Wentworth & Sloan Jewelers, were filled with smoke from the fire. The owners of those businesses were called so firefighters could open the doors to let the smoke out and to prevent the fire from spreading.

One man lost his tuxedo in the

fire. Ray Harrison, who will be the best man for a wedding a week from Saturday, said his tuxedo was probably lost.

"It's probably gone," he said. "I'm going to find out what happened. Sooner or later they'll cover the loss or I'll sue them."

When asked what he would do in the meantime, Harrison replied, "Well, I'm sure I can buy one."

The exact cause of the fire is unknown, pending an investigation.

Missing owner of Taylor's seen Monday in New Bern

Company interested in soon repurchasing Franklin Street club

By MELANIE WELLS
Special to the DTH

Purdy's on Franklin Street may soon return in the absence of Richard N. Taylor, who reopened the club as Taylor's in January.

Chapel Hill police are still searching for Taylor to serve a warrant for his arrest on charges of writing worthless checks.

According to New Bern Motor Inn in New Bern, Taylor was registered there for about a week and a half, checked out three days ago and left no forwarding address.

John Kelly, of Consolidated Entertainment and manager of Elliot's Nest, said Thursday that Ray McCotter of Consolidated Entertainment had expressed interest in buying the club. McCotter owned Purdy's for about a year before he sold it to Taylor.

McCotter paid rent for the club this month, Kelly said, so the lease wouldn't be broken in case he decided to buy the club back. "If he hadn't paid it, (the club) would have closed," Kelly said.

He also said that if Consolidated Entertainment bought Taylor's, he would be responsible for getting a management crew there. In that event, Kelly said, he will lean toward eliminating it as a bar.

"It has gone through the wash a couple of times, and I don't know if

it'll come out clean this time or not," he said.

Durham Distributing Co. obtained a warrant for Taylor after three of his checks, totalling \$1,065, were returned to the company because of insufficient funds in Taylor's account.

Taylor also owes \$1,100 to Henderson Residence College for Springfest and approximately \$300 to *The Daily Tar Heel* for advertising.

An employee of Taylor's, David Creech, said Taylor had created a lot of hard feelings within the club. "He had big plans that he never followed through with, except \$10,000 in (dance floor) lights," Creech said. "He bought the lights but took them when he left."

Brian Gallagher, who is acting as temporary manager of the club, said Taylor hadn't been seen "since the lights disappeared."

Gallagher admitted that he and Taylor were on good terms but said he was disappointed with the things that had happened. He said a lot of changes would be taking place soon.

Creech and Wes Adams, former manager of Purdy's and Taylor's, said Taylor also owed the employees money.

"When Richard left, he took the time cards for the last two weeks so it couldn't be proven that the employees worked (during that time)," Adams said.

Elaine Purdie, of Raleigh, who has remained part owner of the club since her son opened it as Purdy's in 1979, said Taylor wrote her a worthless check once when he was making payment on a note. "The check bounced, but then he made it good," she said.

Women's group scores low in CGC rankings

By GUY LUCAS
Staff Writer

The Black Student Movement received high merit scores in Campus Governing Council budget hearings Wednesday, but the Association for Women Students received average to very low scores.

The programs of the two groups were evaluated by the CGC Student Affairs and Rules and Judiciary committees.

In the current round of budget hearings, the committees evaluate each program and assign it a score from zero to 30, with 30 being the best. The two scores will be averaged and given to the Finance Committee, which will use the scores next week to help determine how much can be cut from the budgets.

The AWS did not fare well with either committee, but the Student Affairs Committee gave it some of the lowest scores of any of the groups it has reviewed so far.

The best score the AWS received was an 11.8 for its speakers program. The AWS's pamphlets scored a low of 10.8.

Lori Spainhour (Dist. 18) said: "I don't think we should even have had to listen to them. They're obviously political."

According to the Student Code, the CGC is prohibited from funding programs, services or events

that are political or religious in nature.

Todd Mason (Dist. 14), chairman of the Student Affairs Committee, said the AWS took political rather than objective stands on a lot of issues. The speakers the group invites tend to be controversial and political, he said.

But Greg Hecht (Dist. 21) said political activity should not go into the evaluation. He said the committee should have added a footnote to the total score — as he did to his score — saying the group was political and ineligible for CGC funds. The question of whether or not to fund them at all then would be left up to the full CGC, he said.

Committee members cited the group's size and opinions as reasons for giving it low scores. "I feel they're not representative of the majority of female students," Lisa Jacobs (Dist. 6) said.

Spainhour agreed. "I don't think they represent the majority or even a substantial part of women's opinions," she said.

Mason said, "I get the impression that it's just that central committee making decisions, and they don't take into account the opinions of other women."

Hecht said he gave the group slightly higher scores than the other committee members because he felt AWS represented the views of at least some women. But the group's small size did hurt their scores, he

said.

The AWS fared better with the Rules and Judiciary Committee but still received scores ranging near 20. Most groups so far have received scores in the low to mid 20s.

The scores were lifted somewhat by the scoring of Chuck Shafer (Dist. 1) and Susan Marshall (Dist. 2).

Shafer said he gave high scores because women comprise a large portion of the campus. "I think they serve a vital purpose," he said.

Marshall said the AWS contributed to an awareness of women's issues and started discussion on those issues.

But Jaye Sitton (Dist. 13) said she gave the group low scores because they overlapped with the Women's Forum and other programs on campus. The size of the group also contributed to the low scores, she said. "They have the fewest members of any organization we've seen," she said.

The BSM received the best scores from the Student Affairs Committee, which gave three of the BSM's seven programs perfect scores of 30.

Chairman Mason said he was a little surprised by the scores. "I didn't expect each program to go so

See BUDGET page 4

A hen is only an egg's way of making another egg — Samuel Butler