

Sunshine
Fooled ya!
Cloudy, highs near 70.

The Daily Tar Heel

Editorship of the
Summer Tar Heel
Applications due today

© Copyright 1985 The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 93, Issue 34

Friday, April 12, 1985

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163

Man faces charges in dorm case

By LEIGH WILLIAMS
City Editor

University police have obtained a warrant for the arrest of UNC graduate student Michael Boyd Evans, 25, on second-degree kidnapping charges in connection with Evans' barricading himself in a second-floor room in Ruffin dormitory Wednesday night.

Evans, who reportedly was armed with a .32-caliber revolver during the incident, was also charged with having a weapon on campus, said Ned Comar, of the University police.

Evans entered the room of Kelly Grady, a junior from Danville, Va., around 7:05 p.m. Wednesday, witnesses said. Grady had taken out a warrant for Evans' arrest on March 13, charging him with assault in an incident that occurred near Ruffin.

Grady and most of the other residents of Ruffin were evacuated by police shortly after they arrived.

Police talked to Evans on the phone while he remained in Grady's room. Frederic W. Schroeder Jr., dean of students, talked to Evans on the phone before going to the room to talk to him in person for an hour. Schroeder, along with the police, brought Evans peacefully from the dorm at about 9:20 p.m. Schroeder also accompanied Evans to the hospital.

Neither the University police nor Schroeder would comment on why Evans had gone to Grady's room or on what he had planned to do once he was there. Grady could not be reached for comment.


Evans has been transferred from N.C. Memorial Hospital, where he was originally taken for psychiatric evaluation, to Charter Hill Hospital in Greensboro, Comar said. Greensboro is Evans' hometown. A spokeswoman for the hospital said she could not confirm any information or answer reporters' questions.

The warrants have been transferred to the Greensboro police who will serve them, but as of Thursday afternoon they had not been served to Evans. Greensboro police could not say why they were waiting to serve them.

Comar said police met with the magistrate Wednesday night to decide on the charges, and the warrants were issued after they determined that second-degree kidnapping was the appropriate charge. "When there is a crime, you have to match the stated law with the events. The elements more

See EVANS page 2

Spring or fall?



Law students Carol Thompson and Joseph Roseborough enjoy the 73 degree temperatures and sunshine in front of Van-Hecke-Wettach.

CGLA receives low scores in CGC budget hearings

By GUY LUCAS
Staff Writer

The Carolina Gay and Lesbian Association received low merit scores from the Campus Governing Council's Student Affairs Committee as the second round of budget hearings drew to a close Wednesday evening, but the CGLA may appeal those scores.

The CGLA's eight programs received scores ranging from a high of 20.75 for its orientation brochure, to a low of 10.5.

In the qualitative round of the budget process, each organization's programs are evaluated by the Student Affairs and Rules and Judiciary committees. The committees rate each program on a scale of zero to 30, with 30 being the best score. The scores from each committee will be averaged for a total quality score. In the final round of hearings, the scores will help determine which programs get budgeting priority.

Most scores in the qualitative round have been in the low to middle 20s.

Student Affairs Committee Chairman Todd Mason (Dist. 14) said he felt some of the committee members were not as objective as they should have been when scoring the CGLA.

"I kind of felt they (CGLA) got slighted," he said. Personal opinions about gays entered too much into scoring decisions, he said, and he compared the prejudice against gays to the prejudice against blacks in the '60s.

Mason said he felt the CGLA was an outlet just like any other minority organization, but some of the committee members said gays were not the same as other minority groups because they chose to be gay.

Some committee members were also evaluating the organization's importance to the University instead of just the organization's merit, Mason said.

"I don't want to appear as if at some time in the future I want to be gay or I support them (CGLA) 100 percent ... but you're there to evaluate the organization, and you're just defeating the purpose when you evaluate this organization's or that organization's importance to this institution (UNC)," he said.

Anna Critz (Dist. 12), who gave the CGLA the lowest scores for almost every program, said there were major differences between the CGLA and other minority organizations. She said the greatest difference was that other minorities did not choose to be what they were.

"I don't think an individual's sexual

'I kind of felt they (CGLA) got slighted. Personal opinions about gays entered too much into scoring decisions.' — Todd Mason, Student Affairs Committee Chairman

activity should be a basis for funding," she said.

Alan Ross (Dist. 5) said he gave the group low scores because he believed that was what his constituents wanted.

"I feel like the people that elected me made very clear to me that they were against the CGLA," he said. He also said his own feelings may have influenced his scoring. "Personally I feel it's a group we should not be funding at all," he said.

Ross said he gave programs that affected both gays and straights scores similar to what he would have given any group. He gave the outreach program, which CGLA co-chair Robert Pharr said answers questions from anyone about gay issues, an 18, and he gave the orientation brochures, which go in freshmen orientation packets, a 21.

Pharr said the CGLA believed the budgeting process was basically good but that there were some new CGC members who were "narrow-minded."

"This council basically scares me," he said. "At the same time there are some members who are judging the CGLA as any other organization."

Jim Duley, chairman-elect of the CGLA, said, "(Some members of) the CGC are violating its own by-laws and discriminating on the basis of sexual preference. (Anna Critz) even admitted she was treating us different than any other minority group." Every organization receiving student funds must state in its constitution that it does not discriminate on the basis of, among other things, sexual preference.

Cathy Labyris, CGLA co-chair, said the qualitative process wasn't objective. "It was a rating of what the people in the (committees) felt about these groups," she said.

Pharr said he had understood that Critz was a member of Maranatha campus ministries, and that had affected her scoring.

"If (she is a member) there is no reasoning with her," he said.

Critz said she did belong to Maranatha but Pharr had not attempted to

reason with her.

"That (statement) is uncalled for, he didn't even try to reason with me," she said. "Just to stereotype me and say, 'Well, forget that,' is totally uncalled for."

After the meeting, the CGLA raised questions about whether the scores were allowable because only four committee members were present, one short of quorum. Pharr said he had not decided what the CGLA would do.

Mason said there were a number of options but any of them were more likely to hurt the CGLA than help it. He said the committee could meet again with quorum, but that could create tension among committee members who had already gone through the qualitative hearing.

The CGLA could let the scores stand or throw them out and try to argue their case before the full CGC when it meets to approve the budget, he said, but he doubted the group would have much success with that.

He said the CGLA could write to *The Daily Tar Heel* but that it might spread the tension to the whole student body and "just promote worse relations for them and the CGC."

Critz said she also did not understand how a meeting could be held without quorum.

"I would be more than willing to set up another time to discuss it if they would raise a fuss," she said, adding that she would not change any of her votes but that she wanted the rest of the committee to have a chance to vote.

Ross said he would not want to schedule another meeting.

"It would cause bad feelings for me certainly because I know they would be trying to get around what's already happened, but as to whether that would influence my scores, I don't know," he said.

Many qualitative meetings were held by both the Rules and Judiciary and the Student Affairs committees with fewer than five committee members present.

CAMP members to hold rally in Pit

By GRANT PARSONS
Staff Writer

The Committee Against the Meal Plan will hold a rally to educate students on the mandatory meal plan and to express student dissatisfaction about the plan at noon Tuesday in the Pit.

The rally will "let the University and the outside community know that there is widespread dissatisfaction with the meal plan," said Fetzter Mills, co-founder of CAMP. It will be held two days before a campus-wide referendum that will allow students to voice their opinion on the mandatory meal plan.

"We plan to whip up support for the referendum and bring the issue to the forefront of student awareness," Mills said.

"I do think (the Board of Trustees' decision to implement the meal plan) can be changed — there is no doubt," Mills said. "I don't think the Board of Trustees is unfeeling toward students. I think they are very responsive to student views."

Although Student Body President Patricia Wallace has voiced opposition to the referendum, she said she planned to speak at the rally. "If we want to get rid of the meal plan, it's important that Student Government presents a united front," she said.

Wallace said that since she already signed the bill calling for the referendum, it was important that Student Government "rides the horse in the right direction."

"Students have to get out and vote, or it won't be powerful," she said.

Law student Tom Terrell, co-founder of CAMP, said a rally was needed to demonstrate the extent and intensity of student opposition to the meal plan.

"It is important now that student opposition is not just directed toward the meal plan, but to the manner in which it was implemented," he said, referring to allegations in a Student Government report he co-authored, charging that University administrators did not act in good faith when considering the implementation of the meal plan.

"The issue of freedom of choice goes to the heart of the problem," he said. "Why spend \$3 for lunch, when you can spend \$1?"

"It all boils down to simple issues," he said. "First, ARA doesn't need this

subsidy. Second, administrators have misled the University community about data used to justify (the meal plan)."

The meal plan also would have a disproportionate effect on South Campus, Terrell said, since residents there could be forced to pay more than the required \$100 to keep Chase Cafeteria viable if it does not receive adequate income once it reopens.

Terrell also said that since students already were required to pay for the renovations of Chase and Lenoir halls, as well as the mandatory meal plan, the faculty and staff were reaping the benefits without charge. "The faculty and staff are being subsidized by us," he said.

Mark Stafford, former Residence Hall Association president and one of the scheduled speakers for Wednesday's rally, said: "It is going to be an educational rally. None of us are going to get up on a soapbox. That will accomplish nothing."

"Some people have said that students are not educated enough on the issue to vote responsibly," Stafford said. "But now they will not be able to dismiss the student vote because they were

uneducated.

"We have already concentrated on the misleading aspects of (the implementation of) the meal plan," he said. "Now we need to find out whether or not the meal plan is in the students' best interests. That could best be accomplished through a rally."

CAMP members also have organized a grass roots effort to publicize the rally.

"We will be banging on doors all over campus, trying to get people out to the rally," Mills said. "The Campus Governing Council representatives are going to try to turn out their constituents. David Fazio (Dist. 19) is trying to get the Students for America members out, and Doug Berger is trying to turn out the Democratic Socialists of America. That shows how diverse the support for this thing is going to be."

"Sibby Anderson (president of the Black Student Movement) is trying to get her supporters out, and (RHA president) Tim Cobb is helping with the grass roots effort also," he said.

The rally will begin in the Pit where student leaders and a faculty member will speak out against the meal plan. The speakers will then lead the crowd

South Campus Jam moves to Taylor's

By LISA BRANTLEY
Staff Writer

Taylor's at 159½ E. Franklin St. will host the South Campus Jam Sunday because of difficulty in finding a suitable outdoor site for the concert, concert organizers said Thursday.

The concert, sponsored by the South and Mid-campus dormitories, was originally scheduled for March 23 on Ehringhaus Field, but was postponed because of rain and high winds.

Sunday, April 14 was the only day the four bands under contract for the concert could be rescheduled to appear, but the Chapel Hill Town Council refused Tuesday night to grant South Campus Jam a noise permit, said concert organizer Staci Ferguson.

Under local noise ordinances, outdoor events that are expected to exceed a certain noise level are prohibited within the town limits on Sundays.

Concert organizers tried to secure an indoor University facility, but ran into problems on such short notice, said Robin Kaminsky, a member of the South Campus Jam committee. The Tin Can was considered as a concert site, but University officials would have prohibited alcohol there.

"We felt that not having alcohol would be a big letdown for students," Kaminsky said. "There are lots of students who associate concerts like this with alcohol, and we wanted to make the concert as appealing to students as we possibly could."

Plans for the indoor concert, which will last from noon to 6 p.m., are for a happy hour with 25-cent draft beer from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. and 50-cent draft from 3 p.m. to 6 p.m.


There will be no cover charge for the concert and students of all ages will be admitted, although students under 19 will be stamped so they cannot purchase beer.

The headliner band, The Pressure Boys, will perform last. It will be preceded by Lead foot and Cruise Control, who will open the concert. The Next (formerly known as Doc Holiday) and Puer.

Green T-shirts with white lettering will be sold at Taylor's during the concert. The shirts are partially funded by Pizza Transit Authority and include the names of all the South and Mid-campus dorms which sponsored the event.

To advise students of the concert's rescheduling, fliers

See JAM page 3



Tom Terrell

in a march toward South Building.

Speaking will be Wallace; Nick Didow, a business professor and former member of the Food Services Advisory Committee; Mills; Terrell; Sherrod Banks, former BSM president; Anderson; CGC Speaker Wyatt Closs (Dist. 10); Stafford; Tim Cobb, RHA president; Fazio; Berger and Robyn Hadley, UNC Rhodes Scholar.

Senate postpones drinking age vote

From staff reports

The state Senate Thursday postponed voting on a bill that would prohibit alcohol drinking for 19- and 20-year-olds.

The action follows a preliminary vote Wednesday to approve raising the age from 19 to 21 in the face of a federal push to raise the age.

Senators decided to delay final consideration of the bill for five days, until Tuesday, after one of its members said he wanted to talk to more people about the bill.

Legislators Wednesday said they had little choice but to vote for the bill. In an effort to cut down on drunken drivers, Congress sent an ultimatum last year saying states would lose millions of dollars in highway money unless they raise the drinking age to 21 by October 1988.

North Carolina could lose about \$20 million a year in highway funds.

Senators voted Wednesday 43-4 to

give preliminary approval to raise the drinking age for beer and wine to 21. The proposal hit a snag later that day when Democrats argued that the proposal does nothing to help one of its intended purposes: decrease drunk driving. But the bill was scheduled for a final Senate vote today.

The bill includes a provision to return the drinking age to 19 if the courts rule the federal action unconstitutional, or if Congress repeals its mandate. It also makes violation of the law by 19- and 20-year olds an infraction, rather than a more serious misdemeanor offense.

Young adults should not face jail sentences, heavy fines or criminal records for drinking alcohol, lawmakers said.

"It's not out of enthusiasm we're doing this," said Sen. Bob Swain, D-Buncombe, Wednesday. "It's about the litlest bill we can put through that satisfied the federal requirements."

Beer promotions decrease

By ROBERT KEEFE
Staff Writer

With the recent national crackdown on underage drinking and a move to raise the age for beer and wine consumption to 21, students are seeing fewer alcohol promotions on campus.

Beer promotions by breweries such as Anheuser-Busch, Miller and others are on the decline, not only on college campuses, but in all advertising areas. It's something that distributors hate to see, but what some college administrators are hoping for.

"We're totally opposed to it (the banning of advertisements)," said Stuart McAfee, manager of Harris Wholesale, an Anheuser-Busch distributor. "Various research has shown that advertising doesn't really increase consumption of beer, but it does alert consumers that there is a choice of beer."

According to McAfee, and other beer distributors, the aim of on-campus promotions and other advertisements is

to show younger drinkers that their brand is the one to choose; not to promote overconsumption or to raise sales.

Many distributors have started campaigns that stress moderation in drinking. "Know When to Say When," is one such program started by Anheuser-Busch. Miller brewers have donated \$500,000 over the past nine years toward alcohol abuse programs on many college campuses.

Still, colleges around the nation are rejecting offers by distributors to sponsor student activities. The University of Massachusetts at Amherst rejected several offers by beer distributors to co-sponsor concerts on campus, and other colleges are following the same pattern. Nearby Boston College has also turned down offers by distributors to sponsor concerts and other student activities.

At Southern Illinois University, the

See BEER page 2

I'd rather see America save her soul than her face — Norman Thomas