

Stay in the kitchen
It's probably cooler than the
outdoor highs near 93. Fair
tonight with lows about 68.

The Daily Tar Heel

© Copyright 1985 The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 93, Issue 54

Thursday, September 5, 1985

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 982-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163

Mandatory DTH meeting

Even a staff infection is no
excuse for avoiding Room
226 of the Student Union at
4:30 p.m.

University pays tribute to Sharon Lynn Stewart

By ANJETTA McQUEEN
Staff Writer

Though 300 people gathered in Memorial Hall Wednesday afternoon, all was quiet.

Students, faculty and community members had come to mourn Sharon Lynn Stewart, a 23-year-old graduate student who was kidnapped and murdered last week.

The silence lasted through most of the half-hour memorial service for Stewart.

There was no sound of tears. Many in the assembly had only come to know Stewart during the past 10 days.

"I attended out of respect for a fellow student," Judy Allen said before the service. Allen, a junior, sat in the back row of Memorial Hall with a friend. They had never met Stewart.

Student Body President Patricia Wallace spoke first, expressing the loss on behalf of students. She spoke of two kinds of love — the love of oneself and the love of others.

"Through all of this, we've discovered the true appreciation of the value of another human being," Wallace said.

The Rev. Larry Hartsell of Holy Trinity Lutheran Church, delivered the homily.

He drew a parallel between the death of

Stewart and the destruction of the Michelangelo sculpture "Pieta." When put back together, he said, the sculpture seemed almost perfect. Only when viewed closely could one see the damage that had been done.

The sculpture was also placed behind a protective shield, Hartsell said.

"It is easy to put up a protective shield when tragedy traps us," he said. "But we weren't molded to be museum pieces. We were created to reach out to others in their need."

Afterward, Hartsell said that finding hope out of the shattering of lives had been the message of his homily.

"Feelings of anger and shock came out of this tragedy," he said. "My point was in sharing these feelings with each other so some healing could come out of it."

"All sorts of influences seek to shatter our lives, our relationships, and our trust in one another and in God," he said.

Reading passages from the Bible were Donald A. Boulton, vice chancellor and dean of student affairs; Frederic W. Schroeder, dean of students; and Harold G. Wallace, vice chancellor of university affairs.

A co-worker and a family friend gave remembrances of Stewart.

Harold Smith, reserve desk supervisor at the Undergraduate Library where Stewart had worked for the past year, said she was a quiet, giving person. He described the casual friendship that developed between them.

"It is difficult to imagine the impact of these relationships," he said. "It is sad that they become really important when they are gone."

Traci Sittason-Stark, a UNC student, said she had known Stewart through the close friendship between Stewart's grandmother and Sittason-Stark's mother.

"Her grandmother would always have reports on how well her granddaughter was doing," she said. "She was the granddaughter any grandmother could want."

Stewart's parents greatly appreciated the campus and community concern about her kidnapping and death, said Hartsell, who communicated with them through Boulton.

"They realized the law enforcers as well as the students really cared," he said after the service.

James Coley, a graduate student in attendance who did not know Stewart, said that because of publicity, she had been more than

See MEMORIAL page 2



Harold Smith speaks of his friend, Sharon Lynn Stewart, during the memorial service



Friends of Sharon Lynn Stewart grieve outside Memorial Hall Wednesday

Law professor issues statement for collective bargaining rights

By MARK POWELL
Business Editor

A UNC professor was among a group of leading labor law professors across the United States who issued a Labor Day statement calling for the restoration of collective bargaining rights for private university and college faculty members.

Daniel Pollitt, Kenan Professor of Law at UNC, was one of 24 professors of labor law from universities and colleges around the United States to sign a resolution calling for a return of collective bargaining rights for professors.

Pollitt is also opposed to the lack of collective bargaining rights at public universities, such as UNC. He said, "UNC does not recognize professor's bargaining rights, (because) the state has a law that makes it unlawful for state employees (such as UNC professors) to organize. I think it's rotten."

The resolution Pollitt signed, published by the American Association of University Professors, said, "...We urge that during this year of the anniversary observance (of the National Labor Relations Act) our faculty colleagues unfairly excluded from the protection of the National Labor Relations Act be remembered and supported, and that efforts be made to secure for professors the freedom to engage in collective bargaining..."

Professors in the UNC system are among the professors teaching at 64 percent of public colleges and universities in the United States that cannot collectively bargain according to the AAUP.

Only 4.8 percent of professors teaching at private colleges and universities have collective bargaining rights according to the AAUP. These institutions are the only colleges and universities left that allow collective bargaining after the U.S. Supreme Court ruled 5-4 in *Yeshiva University vs. National Labor Relations Board* that professors are not under the coverage of the National Labor Relations Act.

The NLRA, passed by the U.S. Congress in 1935, gave protection to employees in the private sector seeking to join labor organizations. In the early 1970's, the NLRB extended these protections to faculty members at private colleges and universities until it was taken away in the *Yeshiva* case.

"In the *Yeshiva* case the Supreme Court ruled that professors are in managerial positions," Pollitt said. "Since *Yeshiva*, university employees (such as groundskeepers) can join unions, but not professors."

Pollitt said professors are not managerial employees because they do not handle administrative tasks for the most part. Professors' tasks are in the classroom, he said.

Pollitt calls for professors rights.

"I feel resentful that I can't do what others can do," Pollitt said.

UNC Chancellor, Christopher C. Fordham III, said the inability of UNC professors to collectively bargain is not an issue at the University. He said professors are managers because they are in positions to manage the curriculum of the University and classroom requirements.

"Professors are involved in the decision-making (at the University)," Fordham said. "They're professionals, they expect to be treated as professionals."

Paul H.L. Walter, a professor at Skidmore College in New York and president of the AAUP, said college professors are not managerial employees.

"If, in fact, professors are managerial employees they would not elect to use collective bargaining," Walter said. "Most professors would not start faculty unions, but they have not had rights, as other employees, to organize."

Walter said most professors are treated well and have a share in the running of their institution, but some universities and colleges do not allow their faculty to share in the running of their institution. He named *Yeshiva University* and *Boston University* as examples.

Duke University is one of the many private institutions which disallow collective bargaining, but there is not much of a problem with faculty unrest over the matter according to former AAUP president Bill Van Alstyne, now Chairman of the Department of Constitutional Law at Duke.

"Many of us at private universities are not pushing for action (on the reversal of the *Yeshiva* decision), because we do not believe there is much to be gained from it," Van Alstyne said. "The administration (of Duke) is a fairly decent bunch."

But, university professors should not be excluded from collective bargaining if they desire otherwise, Van Alstyne said. Professors are professionals and should not be classified as managers.

Chances of reversing the *Yeshiva* decision are not good under the Reagan Administration, Walter said. He said there are too many other major issues which the administration will deal with first.

"It (legislation amending the *Yeshiva* decision to allow collective bargaining at private institutions) won't move until there is a democratic Senate," Pollitt said.

Group ousts CGLA backer

By RANDY FARMER
Staff Writer

Students for America expelled an executive member of UNC's chapter Tuesday because he supports homosexuality, and two other executives of the group resigned in protest.

In a unanimous decision, the SFA national Board of Directors expelled Brad Torgan, vice chairman for the UNC chapter, because he publicly supported the Carolina Gay and Lesbian Association last spring, said David Fazio, SFA national chairman.

The CGLA was in danger of losing student funding during the Campus Governing Council's budget hearings.

Jimmy Hopkins, SFA chapter chairman, and Lee Creech, SFA chapter publicity chairman, responded to the expulsion by resigning on Wednesday.

SFA is a conservative student political group that advocates Judeo-Christian values. It has 108 chapters and 7,500 members. Fazio formed the UNC chapter in the spring of 1984, and he said it had about 30 active members this fall and about 250 on its mailing list.

The SFA's Board of Directors consists of three adults. Fazio is a non-voting member.

Members of the board felt Torgan had violated a Judeo-Christian principle that does not tolerate support for homosexuality, Fazio said.

"The Judeo-Christian values allow a lot of room for interpretation," Fazio said. "Our board of directors interpret the values as they see fit. Brad was kicked out of SFA because of his public statement in support of CGLA and a group of values we do not support."

Torgan said he was disappointed with how the board had treated him.

"I am not bitter," Torgan said. "But they did not give me a chance to defend myself. It doesn't sit well to expel me because I don't toe the line on one issue."

Fazio said other reasons contributed to Torgan's dismissal.

Torgan supported other groups in violation of SFA's principles, Fazio said. "He endorsed a member of the Democratic Socialists of America for student body president," he said.

In addition, Fazio said, Torgan did not contribute as much time to SFA as he should have. "Brad never lifted a finger for putting up posters but always wanted to be a spokesman," he said. "Brad could have done a lot of work, but I didn't know about it."

Hopkins defended Torgan, saying no one could uphold all the Judeo-Christian values.

"Brad is the best spokesman for the conservative movement on this campus," he said.

Fazio agreed that some SFA members might not have followed all SFA principles but that Torgan's violation was different. The board of directors voted to expel him because his support of homosexuality was a strong breach of Judeo-Christian values, he said.

"We're not telling anyone that they can't speak out," Fazio said. "But SFA is an outlet for

'It doesn't sit well to expel me because I don't toe the line on one issue.'

— Brad Torgan

conservatives to speak through. Why doesn't he join a group that supports what he believes?"

Torgan said he had joined because he was a conservative and Fazio had invited him to become an SFA member. But Fazio said he didn't remember any such invitation.

Fazio said he told Torgan this summer that if he renounced his support for CGLA, he could stay with SFA. Torgan said he refused, adding that he would speak out for CGLA again should the occasion arise.

The SFA's board members acted with prejudice in expelling him, Torgan said.

"SFA is a conservative, political student organization," he said, "although, in this case, they've chosen to supplant conservatism with bigotry and prejudice."

"I'm relieved to be out," Creech said. "If I hadn't resigned in protest, I'd probably have resigned anyway."

Hopkins said, "I am proud of Brad for standing up for what he believes."

In addition to protesting Torgan's expulsion, Creech and Hopkins said they were dissatisfied with how the SFA had changed since they had joined.

"I've been a member since January," Creech said. "It was mostly a pro-Reagan group. But since, it has become more and more evangelical. In fact, it has become a more religious, Christian group than a political group."

Hopkins said SFA had turned into a Christian group that sang gospel songs and had evangelists speak at meetings.

"The campus needs a conservative action group," Creech said. "It needs clear, rational-thinking adults. It doesn't need a group following the fashionable thing to do."

Hopkins said he thought the group would swing to the far right.

For example, SFA members voted last January at the group's national meeting to urge the Justice Department to bring treason charges against Jane Fonda for her stance on the Vietnam War, Hopkins said.

The loss of three of its executive members will not affect the group, Fazio said, and the SFA will hold elections in two weeks to replace them. "SFA will exist without them," he said.

In addition, a new feature will be added to SFA this fall — an executive board of 10 students who will advise the chairman, Fazio said.

"In the past, the acting officers were taking over," Fazio said. "The board will expand the decision-making process."

CGC tries to aid security

By GUY LUCAS
Staff Writer

Student concerns about campus security will help the University know where efforts to improve security are needed, said Campus Governing Council representative Jimmy Greene (Dist. 9).

Greene heads the CGC's recently formed committee on campus security.

"The overwhelming issue that concerned all CGC members at their last meeting was the issue of campus security," he said. "The best way for the CGC to get something done about campus security is to have student input."

The committee has compiled a list of questions to find out what areas of campus students are concerned about and suggestions students have about how to improve security, he said.

The CGC will incorporate the results into a bill and present it to the administration, he said.

"We can take this concrete evidence to the administration and say, 'Here's what students say about security on campus,'" he said.

Greene said students were more likely to answer questions about security and give more suggestions now because they still remember the kidnapping and murder of UNC graduate student Sharon Stewart.

One suggestion several CGC members brought up at last week's meeting was additional security phones. But another member said the present ones are hardly ever used, so the University might be reluctant to install more.

Greene said the phones provided students a sense of security even if they're not used.

"Students know the phones are there if they need them," he said, so the phones provide a sense of security.

He said another idea would be a campus patrol similar to the Rape and Assault Prevention Escort service, but students would be on patrol around the campus.

"It would take the burden off the campus police and the Chapel Hill police and give students a feeling of helping," he said.

A campus watch program similar to neighborhood watch programs might also be set up as something students can do and a costless way to improve security, he said.

The questionnaires will be collected Monday. Greene said he hoped to have the results compiled within a week.

The other members of the committee are Bill Peaslee (Dist. 9), Jaye Sitton (Dist. 13) and David Brady (Dist. 12).

Answers to the following questions may be placed in collection boxes located at the Union Information Desk, Chase Hall and Granville West. Attach a separate sheet of paper if necessary. The boxes will be collected Monday Sept. 9.

Are you male or female?
Where do you live?

Are you a freshman, sophomore, junior, senior or graduate?

1. Are there any particular areas on or near campus which you feel are dangerous or insecure?

2. Do you know of any specific problems on campus which lead to unsafe conditions? (For example, insufficient lighting or lack of emergency phones.)

3. Do you have any recommendations for the University to improve campus security conditions?

4. What can students do to improve security on campus?

5. Would you actively support a community watch program for your residence area?

Hear the other side — St. Augustine