

Continued cold
Well, it's not so bad as before, but today's high of 40 may leave something to be desired. Low tonight will be 22.

Copyright 1986 The Daily Tar Heel

Volume 93, Issue 129

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Thursday, January 30, 1986

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

60s dance

The 5th annual WXYC 60s dance will be held at 8 tomorrow night in the Great Hall. Doop-doop. Wop-wop Do it, Lou.

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163



Protesters facing the rear of the auditorium during Calero's speech



Nicaraguan rebel leader Adolfo Calero speaking at Memorial Hall

Contra defends actions

By RACHEL STIFFLER
Staff Writer

Adolfo Calero, commander in chief of the Nicaraguan Democratic Force, told a restless audience of about 800 in Memorial Hall Wednesday night that the persecution of civilians in the ongoing Nicaraguan civil war has been caused by the Sandinistas, not by members of his organization.

Calero heads the group of rebels often referred to as "contras" or "freedom fighters."

He said the Sandinistas have persecuted farmers and peasants, burning their homes and sending them to concentration camps.

"Even the church, Catholic and otherwise, has not escaped persecution," he told the crowd. "Laborers are persecuted because they are trying to form labor unions. And the reason why the people are persecuted... is the fact that they are helping us in the struggle for freedom."

Calero was interrupted frequently by about 120 protesters, who shouted and remained standing with their backs to the stage throughout the entire speech. His statements about Sandinista persecution were countered by remarks from the audience that it is the contras, not the Sandinistas, who are persecuting the Nicaraguan people.

The protest group was a coalition of members of the Carolina Committee on Central America and the Carolina Interfaith Task Force on Central America. Wearing dark clothes, white armbands and white face paint, the protesters marched into Memorial Hall before the speech chanting, "USA, CIA, out of Nicaragua!"

Calero continued to speak over the protests from the audience. "I never keep my back to anybody I don't trust," he said.

A Nicaraguan native, Calero aided the Sandinistas in their 1979 overthrow of the Somoza government and was pleased at first to see them assume control of the government.

"We thought that since they had led the revolution, that they had a right to initiate a new era in our country that would involve a democracy," Calero said. "But the Sandinistas started to do and continued to do exactly the opposite of what they had committed to do. The result was that the same Nicaraguans who had taken up arms against Somoza began to take up arms against the Sandinistas."

He said Nicaraguans today have no civil rights and no freedom of the press, of assembly, or of expression. Nicaragua, after six and one half years of Sandinista rule, still does not have a written constitution or a democratic system of electing its leaders, he said.

At the end of Calero's speech, Renee Dye of the Carolina Union Forum Committee came onstage to announce that a question-answer session based on questions submitted earlier by students was about to begin. Calero said he would not keep talking if the verbal abuse from the audience did not stop.

Members of the audience shouted demands for an open forum. Dye said the reason the questions were screened in advance was not to censor the material but to limit the length of the session and to insure that the most intelligent questions were asked.

He denied any connections with the CIA. "But even if it were true, I would take no shame in working for an

See CALERO page 8

Candidates' forum airs minority issues

By GRANT PARSONS
Staff Writer

Student body president candidates had a chance to explain how they would address black concerns at UNC during a forum Wednesday sponsored by the Black Student Movement.

About 40 people were present, with most questions coming from a panel of BSM Central Committee members, and a few from the audience. When each candidate was asked to cite what they thought were the two main concerns of black students at UNC, Jimmy Greene said a main problem was blacks not being able to express cultural concerns to whites on campus.

"A minority cultural center would be the answer," Greene said. "It would serve as a place to educate white students about black culture. It would also help in recruitment."

Some panel members said they were concerned the BSM would be slighted if the Black Cultural Center was renamed the Minority Cultural Center. But Greene said he saw no difference between a "black" and a "minority." He said he would prefer the center be called a Minority Cultural Center, because there was a need for it to represent all minorities.

Minority Cultural Center would be a good name also because the University tries to be non-racist, and it should present itself that way, Greene said. Jack Zemp also said he advocated an MCC, located in the old Fastbreak area, to give cultural exposure to the rest of the campus. Displays and programming could be used to educate others about black culture.

Zemp said he also would like to have black cultural events on North Campus, because North Campus students don't usually know when programming on South Campus is scheduled.

"Like the BSM, many campus minority groups face the problem of exposing their culture to others on-campus," he said.

Zemp said he was not trying to take anything away from the BSM by proposing the name change. "I'm not trying to douse the BSM flame," he said. "I'm trying to make the flame brighter."

People should respect minorities as a whole, he said, and by calling it a Minority Cultural Center, all minority groups would be represented. "Why have a limited organization when you can have a diverse one?" Zemp said.

Billy Warden said one of the main problems facing black students was the tension between blacks and whites. The solution to this, he said, was for blacks and whites to work and play together.

"Look at the basketball team," he said. "You don't see them having any problems working and playing together." Smiles also would help ease racial tension, Warden said. "When you smile, the smile takes over," he said. "Then your body and skin color just melt away, and it doesn't matter anymore."

Another problem facing black students is ingrown toenails, Warden said. "When you take off your shoes and socks, and you have an ingrown toenail," he said. "It just ruins everyone's day."

See FORUM page 8

Group presents grievance list

By TERESA KRIEGSMAN
Staff Writer

Students concerned that the Division of Student Affairs has stripped them of their influence in administrative decisions gave a list of grievances to Chancellor Christopher C. Fordham III on Wednesday.

The division has lost sight of its purpose and has allowed student input to become a low priority, according to Students for a Student Voice, the group that presented the grievances.

The list cites a lack of professionalism and credibility in the division and says the administration had a passive approach toward students. It also says the division wastes time by not forming committees to deal with problems and does not give student organizations enough support.

The list shows that problems in the division are not limited to the Campus Y, SSV spokesman Joel Katzenstein said.

The division had serious problems before Campus Y Associate Director George Gamble was fired last fall, Katzenstein said. "... (The list) cannot be seen as a ploy to better George's situation," he said.

Fordham said Wednesday that he would remain open-minded about the list and respond to the grievances "as soon as I've had a chance to study them in some detail." He said he would respond within two weeks.

Fordham said he planned to share the list with members of his administrative staff including Donald A. Boulton, vice-chancellor and dean of Student Affairs.

Fordham said he thought it was "entirely proper and appropriate" for students to protest the Division of Student Affairs at last Thursday's walkout. "I was pleased to see students expressing their freedom of expression in a responsible way."

He said he hoped students would focus on "the issues rather than the person," adding that singling out and incriminating people was "not high statesmanship."

SSV grievances include:

- disregard of student and faculty roles caused by growing administrative control;
- lack of a student-coordinated Student Affairs Advisory Board;
- lack of a means to evaluate administrators' performances;
- lack of student input and disregard for the little input that exists;
- the division's unethical hiring and firing practices, which have damaged its credibility;
- lack of confidence in the vice-chancellor and assistant vice-chancellor of Student Affairs;
- reluctance of division personnel to speak against superiors for fear of losing their jobs;
- lack of power by selection committees to fill employee vacancies;
- lack of explanations about decisions that greatly affect students' lives;
- the division's isolation, which fosters a "wait and see" attitude toward student criticism and concerns;
- the division's lack of initiative in maintaining contact with students;
- lack of concrete effort to bridge the gap between students and the division;
- lack of efficient committees to shorten the time it takes the division to solve problems;
- weak leadership, which causes committees to disband and allows controversies to become non-issues;
- postponing major decisions until the summer when student input is minimal and ineffective;
- lack of division cooperation with student organizations in their efforts to achieve tangible goals.

Conflict erupts over 'Tar Heel' profits

By RANDY FARMER
Staff Writer

Jim Zook, a candidate for editor of *The Daily Tar Heel*, disputed a claim made Wednesday by Catherine Cowan and Jim Greenhill, candidates for co-editorship of the *DTH*, that the 1985 summer *Tar Heel* had made a profit.

The candidates spoke at a forum sponsored by the Black Student Movement in Chase Hall.

Greenhill, who was editor of the 1985 summer *Tar Heel*, said the paper had made a profit of \$14,000 after the paper published its last issue in August. Zook countered that the paper had lost \$36,349, based on information his campaign workers had obtained from a member of the *DTH* business staff.

But afterward, full-time *DTH* General Manager Anne Fulcher said an editor's actions had no effect on advertising revenue. "I want to make it understood that... (Greenhill's) administration had nothing to do with the amount of revenue generated during the summer," she said.

Greenhill said he thought his figure of \$14,000 was accurate because, he said, Fulcher had given it to him. Fulcher said she had informed Greenhill with daily memos during the summer that the paper was operating

at a loss. She said she never had given him the \$14,000 figure.

After the forum, Greenhill said he resented Zook's campaign tactic. "I resent the implication that we are lying about our figures," Greenhill said. "I am one that takes pride in his integrity. I am outraged at the way my integrity has been challenged."

Greenhill added that his \$14,000 profit was supported by the *DTH* Revenue and Expense Report, which is an estimation of the paper's possible losses or profits. He said the reports showed the paper ending with a \$10,859 profit after 10 issues on Aug. 1, 1985.

Greenhill said there was an additional orientation issue of the paper Aug. 19, which he said had raised the paper's profit to \$14,000. The \$10,859 profit could not erode in a week, he said.

Greenhill said the loss could have been caused by factors beyond his control. There was construction in the *DTH* office last summer, and perhaps the profits he made from the paper were used to pay for the construction, he said. The paper also could have started behind, made a profit and still been behind.

Fulcher said the paper started at a balance of zero when Greenhill took over as editor, meaning the paper was neither financially ahead or behind. In addition,

she said, the construction was paid for before Greenhill took office.

The *DTH* was in the red until October because the paper did so poorly in the summer, Fulcher said.

"At the end of the summer, we were in dire straits," she said. "I don't think Jim (Greenhill) has done anything malicious, but I think he has misquoted some figures."

Zook said low incentives may have affected the advertising staff's revenues. The advertising staff was paid by commission, and the commission was not high because the paper was a weekly, he said.

In a statement released after the forum, Greenhill and Cowan said it was "unfortunate" that Zook had presented the information at the forum without consulting them. The letter stated that Greenhill would pursue the dispute over the finances.

Zook said he had not intended to be malicious. "I was just trying to point out that the figures were wrong," he said.

"In reference to any questions concerning the finances of the 1985 summer *Tar Heel*," Greenhill and Cowan stated in the release, "it is apparent after talking with the general manager, Anne Fulcher, that Jim Zook and ourselves have different parts of a larger story."

Interest in SBP race high; candidates abound

By RACHEL ORR
Staff Writer

Beginning with the 1984 elections, UNC experienced a surge in the number of candidates vying for student body president.

The SBP candidate field more than doubled between 1983 and 1984, when seven people ran for the office. Prior to 1984, students were faced with three or four choices for SBP.

In the 1985 race, nine students contended for the office. This year there will be six candidates on the ballot for SBP.

Elections Board Chairman Bruce Lillie said the increase in candidates possibly could be traced to Hugh G. Reckshun's SBP campaign in 1983.

Hugh Lamb, a sophomore from Asheville, ran as Hugh G. Reckshun and promised to spend most of that year's student activities fees by throwing a huge, all-campus keg party if elected. Andy Sutherland, 1984 Elections Board

chairman, said Reckshun's campaign popularized running for SBP.

In general, candidates run because they want to serve, have personal interests, are dissatisfied with Student Government or view it as a joke, Sutherland said.

Kevin Monroe, 1983-84 SBP attributed the increased number of candidates to popular issues — such as the meal plan — and to student realization that candidates did not need prior Student Government experience to run and win. Monroe ran against John Reckford and Lamb for SBP.

More students also might be running for office because of a resurgence in campus activism, Monroe said.

"Maybe students feel like the way they can impact the larger issues in life is to start on college campuses," he said.

Fetzer Mills, one of nine SBP candidates in 1985, said the increased number of students

running resulted from genuine dissatisfaction with Student Government, increased student activism and varied political stances on campus.

"Until Student Government actually gets better, there will be a large number of candidates," he said.

SBP Patricia Wallace, Sutherland, Lillie and Monroe all agreed that students benefit from a large number of candidates because they gain the opportunity to choose between many different viewpoints.

Monroe said, "If candidates can present themselves and their platforms well, irrespective of the number, then the student body benefits in having clear choices if they choose to participate in the process."

Mills, however, said the only good thing about having a large number of candidates was it indicated increased student activism. "Having that many candidates, issues overlap, and it's

hard to distinguish who is the most qualified to hold office."

Mainstream candidates cancel each other out in the general election, Mills said, leaving students to choose between the "lesser of two evils" in the runoff.

Mills said that as a candidate he spent most of his energy distinguishing himself from other contenders. "The biggest thing candidates have to overcome is making themselves stand out in the crowd."

Lillie agreed that having nine SBP candidates last year made it difficult for the contenders to voice their views in the forums but said the number of candidates this year was very manageable.

Some students, however, said they could not distinguish between the candidates this year.

Jennifer Manning, a freshman political science major from Hazelwood, said she did not see much difference between the candidates or the

issues they raised. "Even though there is a large number of candidates, I don't think there's that much choice."

Penny Armstrong, a freshman psychology major from Pinehurst, said she was going to choose from the candidates who came by her dorm room. "There's so many. It's like, who are these people?"

Monroe said, "Choice may come down to such trivial things as who has the best-looking poster." Wallace agreed that the major influences in the outcome of the race had more to do with the candidates' posters and endorsements than the number of contenders. She said several candidates had talked to her about the job of SBP.

"It seems that there's an increase in the number of candidates who don't have a clear understanding of the job," Wallace said. "Student body president is a lot different from CGC."

Rancor is an outpouring of a feeling of inferiority — Jose Ortega y Gasset