

## Weather

Today: Cloudy with a chance of showers. High 70. Low 58.  
Tuesday: Partly cloudy with a chance of showers. High in the 70s; low in the 40s.

Copyright 1986 The Daily Tar Heel

Volume 94, Issue 39

# The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Monday, April 21, 1986

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

STV to show  
'Shadows of  
Dromgoole'  
11 p.m. tonight

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245  
Business/Advertising 962-1163

## Student Congress wields money ax

### CGLA budget maimed in funding battle

By GUY LUCAS

Staff Writer

The Carolina Gay and Lesbian Association's budget was a major point of contention at the Student Congress's final budget hearing Saturday, surviving more than three hours of debate and an attempt to defund the group.

The congress finally settled on a Student Government appropriation of \$905 after see-sawing between several proposals ranging from zero to \$1,200. The Finance Committee had recommended an appropriation of \$1,697.

Much of the debate was marked by confusion, and several congress members voted in favor of high and low funding levels.

Jim Wooten (Dist. 19) proposed defunding the CGLA, citing lack of student support, programs that overlapped with those of other area groups, such as the Student Health Service, and what he said was the group's antagonistic attitude which prevented rather than promoted understanding.

Other Student Congress members also said they supported defunding the group because that was what their constituents wanted. Rob Friedman (Dist. 16) cited a poll that he, Jody Beasley (Dist. 16) and Lori Taylor (Dist. 16) had taken in their district that showed 68 percent of their constituents in favor of defunding the CGLA.

"The students don't want it, and we're spending students' money," Friedman said. "Liberals on this congress cite student opinion on some issues... then ignore it when it's convenient."

If 10 percent of the student population is gay, as the CGLA asserts, then

the group could get more than enough funding if each gay would give the group \$1, Friedman said.

Todd Patton (Dist. 18) said opposition to the CGLA was shown by reaction to Blue Jeans Day, when the CGLA calls on people to wear jeans in support of gay rights.

"Jeans Day is the one day of the year when students make an effort not to wear blue jeans because they don't support the gay cause," he said.

Brad Torgan (Dist. 4) said the CGLA was needed to help gays deal with the hostility some people felt toward homosexuality. Fear keeps gays from openly supporting the CGLA as Friedman suggested, Torgan said, and Blue Jeans Day was meant to make others aware of this fear as well as show support for gay rights.

"You'll never know the fear of walking down this hall (to the CGLA office) and wondering if one of your friends will see you," he said.

"You'll never know the fear of being run out of your dorm because your roommate found out you're gay. You'll never know the fear of a friend who has to leave school because his parents cut him off."

CGLA Chairman Jim Duley said his group's programs didn't overlap with those offered by groups like Student Health Service because the CGLA addressed different issues. Many gays and lesbians also perceived the SHS staff as having anti-gay attitudes, Duley said.

Student Body President Bryan Hassel said using student opinion as a reason for defunding the group was just an

excuse that hid the real motivation of moral opposition to homosexuality.

"I want to hear people say they are morally opposed if they object for that reason," Hassel said. "The standard that the students don't want us to fund this group this year has not been used for any group we saw today. I want you to apply the same standards for everyone you judge."

"The mission of Student Government is to serve the best interests of students, but that doesn't necessarily mean what students think is right," he said, comparing opposition to gay rights with opposition to civil rights in the 1960s.

Kari Trumbull (Dist. 17) said constituents' wishes could not be dismissed as an excuse to hide moral opposition to the CGLA. She also said the congress shouldn't fund a personal preference group.

"... (Students) care that their money is being spent on something they don't belong to, can't be a part of," she said. "You can't tell me the money doesn't matter."

The proposal to defund the CGLA failed 13-9.

Debate on attempts to cut the appropriation to the CGLA centered on the group's ability to raise money from other sources, particularly subscriptions to the newsletter Lambda.

Torgan and Student Body Treasurer John W. Williams said \$1,200 was the minimum amount needed to give the group a working margin. The CGLA would not be able to raise any more money on its own than it had this year.

See CGLA page 5

### Phoenix to publish less, tighten spending

By SUZANNE JEFFRIES

Staff Writer

After much debate and several budget amendment proposals, the Student Congress voted 14-6-1 to cut the Phoenix's original Finance Committee allocation of \$20,879 to \$15,374.

The \$5,505 cut would force the Phoenix to print 6 fewer issues and circulate 5,000 copies per issue. Todd Patton (Dist. 18) proposed the final amendment.

The Finance Committee originally cut the printing and publicity amount submitted by the Phoenix from 28 issues to 23 issues, and cut the number of pages from 24 to 16, said Finance Committee Chairman Jody Beasley (Dist. 16).

The congress reached its decision after questioning former editor Dan Cowhig and current editor James Farrer about the circulation and student demand for the Phoenix.

Congress member Jim Wooten (Dist. 19) asked Cowhig and Farrer why so many stacks of the Phoenix were in the Phoenix office in the Student Union. Wooten then passed photographs taken in mid-February, he said, to other congress members. The photographs show stacks of back issues in the Phoenix office, he said.

Cowhig said that Phoenix staff members were responsible for distribution, and some did not distribute their share of the publication.

Congress member Steve Griffin (Dist. 5) moved that the entire congress go downstairs to the Phoenix office and see the stacked issues for themselves.

In the office, Farrer told the congress that all 5,000 issues of last week's

Phoenix were distributed. "This will be the policy for the coming year," he said. He also said the Phoenix now has drop boxes to hold the papers.

Chuck Brown (Dist. 13) asked Farrer how he would improve student demand for the publication.

Farrer said improving the content of the paper and coming out regularly would help. He also said more in-depth reporting was needed. Cowhig said that building up the staff would build up readership.

Wooten proposed a cut in printing and publicity by \$11,112, exactly half of the Phoenix's request for that category.

"Until you can build up a readership, I think we should cut the issues down," he said, adding that the Phoenix should get more advertising to cut some of its costs.

Paul Winter (Dist. 17) said area businesses did not advertise because they knew that Phoenix readership was low. He criticized the Phoenix for "wasted space" in the paper's layout with large photographs and art drawings that could be used for more articles.

"After five years of trying to get off the ground, this paper is not being read," Griffin said. "I think now they have to bring us the results before we give them more money."

Lane Matthews (Dist. 14) said the congress should either cut the Phoenix totally or fund it. "Letting them go downhill slowly isn't right," he said.

Patton's amendment was accepted after an amendment by Ben Burroughs

(Dist. 20) to cut printing and publicity by \$3,864 failed.

The congress voted to give the Undergraduate Art Association (UAA) \$200 on an amendment by Brian Sipe (Dist. 14).

UAA did not receive any funding in its Finance Committee Budget hearing. Beasley said its members are primarily art students and it seemed to be an "academic faction" of the art department.

Sipe and some other congress members agreed that since the UAA was open to all undergraduate and graduate students and seeks to increase art awareness on campus, then the congress should allocate funds.

The congress also voted not to cut the \$1,000 requested by the Executive Branch to help fund some Campus Y volunteer programs.

Beasley said the Executive Branch was "redirecting to get Campus Y the funds they were denied."

Campus Y co-president Rudi Coloredo-Mansfeld said the Y ran into some problems with fund-raisers this year. "We need to secure the volunteer programs now, but the \$1,000 won't be spent until next semester."

He said the money would be used for volunteer programs the Campus Y sponsors — such as the Big Buddy program, help at a local nursing home and work with juvenile delinquents.

"It is bad policy and bad precedent for the Executive Branch to bail out student organizations," Brad Torgan (Dist. 4) said.

## Eligibility rulings process changed

By NANCY HARRINGTON

Staff Writer

Cumulative grade point averages and semester hours will now carry greater weight in the appeals process for students who do not pass nine hours and obtain a 1.0 grade point average, the UNC Faculty Council decided Friday.

And Faculty Council Chairman George Kennedy, professor in the classics department, told the council that UNC was behind in its affirmative action goal.

Also in Friday's meeting, the council decided to consolidate the University's scattered tutorial programs in one place.

According to the new minimum-eligibility guidelines, students must now pass nine semester hours and obtain a 1.0 grade point average during their odd semesters in residence before being sent to the appeals court.

W. Miles Fletcher, associate professor of history said the present requirement, where students must achieve a 2.0 GPA before graduation, was enough of a burden on the students, so the additional requirement was not necessary.

"Some students might not get a 1.0 or pass nine semester hours, but they may meet cumulative requirements," Fletcher said. "It's a change that helps students because it means that only one criterion is applied (to their eligibility)."

Because of the changes, cumulative grade point averages and semester hours will have more weight in determining a student's eligibility.

Also in Friday's meeting Kennedy said the University had not made any progress in increasing the number of black faculty members, although December 1986 was the deadline for the University's affirmative action plan.

To fulfill the plan, the council decided last September to appoint 34 women and 30 blacks to positions. In the 56 appointments made since then,

Kennedy said, 19 were women and none were black.

Kennedy said he was not convinced the faculty understood the serious effects of not fulfilling the plan and that they should try harder to seek qualified black candidates for their departments.

"If we fail," Kennedy said, "we will bring serious criticism on the University."

UNC System President C.D. Spangler also told the council that academic freedom was needed to ensure the survival of schools in the UNC system.

"Great institutions of higher learning cannot exist without academic freedom," Spangler said. "We want our scholars and teachers to be uninhibited."

Tutorial programs, now located in various departments of the University, will be placed in one department, the council decided after hearing a committee report saying students were not using the tutorials and were not aware of the various programs.

"What we're asking them to do right now is to run through a maze to find what they need," said Gillian Cell, dean of Arts and Sciences and chairman of the subcommittee on mandatory academic support programs. "There's no one place where students can go to get advice."

"In any freshmen class, at least 500 students need academic help and they are not finding it," Cell said.

"Only 70 percent of advisors (that the committee surveyed) knew there was a reading program in Phillips Hall," Cell said, referring to a program that was not being used by a large number of students.

Although the faculty council had approved having a single program, the committee would do further research to decide whether mandatory attendance would be required for all freshmen, Cell said.

## Food service result may be public soon

By RACHEL ORR

Staff Writer

The University hopes to have state approval of its food service contract recommendation before the last day of classes so the decision can be publicized in The Daily Tar Heel, said Frank W. Fearington, director of purchasing and stores.

Officials in business and finance said they were not sure how students would be notified of the selected food service if the decision was not approved before the end of the week.

"The University really wants to get the decision from the state as quickly as we can," said Biruta Nielsen, assistant to the vice chancellor of business and finance.

Fearington said the State Division of Purchasing and Contracts must

approve the University's recommended food service before the contract can be awarded.

Once the state makes its decision, the companies that bid for the contract will be notified, Fearington said, and then the choice will become public information.

Fearington said he must agree with the recommendation made by Farris W. Womack, vice chancellor of business and finance, before it was submitted to the state for final approval.

Once the recommendation is sent to the state for final approval, the University has no control over when the decision is made, Fearington said.

He said, "If Raleigh is in conflict with our decision, a lot of people could become involved."

## Mudslingers



Photo by David Minton

Some over-enthusiastic participants in the annual Delta Kappa Epsilon Mud Sling attempt to tarnish the image of the local police

force. The sliding and wallowing took place Saturday in a mired DKE front yard, prepared for the event by a days-worth of hosing.

## Lax drops respectable Duke in OT

By TIM CROTHERS

Assistant Sports Editor

Sunday afternoon at 4 p.m. the North Carolina-Duke lacrosse matchup was officially proclaimed a rivalry. After 18 years of having sand kicked in their collective Blue Devil faces by those UNC bullies, Duke came to Fetzer Field and lost a lacrosse game, but won respect. And how.

North Carolina's 9-8 overtime victory over Duke was its 19th straight victory over its next-exit neighbor, but it had absolutely nothing in common with the first 18. This was a contest. A war. And were it not for the ball finding a comfortable home in Gary Seivold's flailing stick at the crucial moment, Duke may have rebuffed history and taken some of those UNC bullies back to Durham as prisoners of lore.

The ball met Seivold's stick after a furious faceoff scramble in the opening seconds of sudden death overtime. Seivold cradled the ball and took off up

field. He spotted Brett Davy open at midfield and delivered a perfect pass. Davy saw daylight and trudged toward the Blue Devil net. Unlikely star Corey Gavitt picks up the story. "It was a fast break. It was just a question of who was going to slide over and pick Brett up. My defenseman slid, and there was no question that I was going to shoot the ball," Gavitt said. "I think (Coach Scroggs) would rather have had one of the older guys take the shot, but now I don't think he really minds."

Mind? Heck no. In fact, Gavitt's game-winning goal made Scroggs look like a genius. The coach inserted the freshman as a starter a week ago against UMBC and Gavitt paid off with five goals and three assists in two games. But his dart that zipped past helpless Duke goalie Scott Schraff only 12 seconds into sudden death was Gavitt's greatest dividend to date.

The game was destined to create a hero right from the start. Tom Haus gave UNC the early lead when

he stole the ball in front of his own net and raced the length of the field to score only 44 seconds into the game. Duke retaliated two minutes later with two goals only 55 seconds apart from leading scorer Peter Rubin. The Blue Devil goals served notice that years of humiliation were over. UNC wouldn't lead again until it was time to shake hands.

Down three, 6-3, midway through the third quarter, the Tar Heels were beating themselves. "We were our own worst enemy," UNC coach Willie Scroggs said. "We didn't seem to be able to catch and throw the ball and then we got tentative."

What North Carolina needed was a spark and senior James Koester produced it by rubbing two goals together. Koester scored twice in 38 seconds. The latter came in an extra-man situation on a beautiful weave through Duke's depleted defense. The Tar Heels trailed

See LACROSSE page 7

## STAND gives mixed review of D.C. lobby trip

By MATTHEW FURY

Staff Writer

Sixteen members of the UNC Students Taking Action for Nuclear Disarmament led the Southeastern delegation Thursday of the third annual National Student Lobby Day in Washington, D.C.

About 600 students from around the nation displayed their demands for nuclear disarmament by marching to the Capitol and meeting with

congressmen.

STAND co-chairpersons Matthew Tiedemann and Ingrid Brunk presented 4th District Rep. Bill Cobey with over 100 proxies from UNC students. The proxies echoed the three main causes of the student lobby: disapproving of President Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative, condemning first strike weapons and calling for a comprehensive nuclear test ban.

Cobey was 45 minutes late for his

appointment with the students and declined to discuss the disarmament problem in depth, Tiedemann said.

"I was a little dismayed with Cobey's lack of command of the issues," he said, while emphasizing that the meeting was not hostile.

Tiedemann and Brunk said their arguments for limiting SDI funding and mandating nuclear test limitations were rejected by Cobey. The congressman did agree to review a law prohibiting

the use of Department of Energy funds for SDI, they said.

"We both agreed that we did not want to die from a nuclear war, but on any level more specific than that, we do not feel that there was much common ground," Brunk said.

Brunk said the meetings with two swing Democratic N.C. congressmen, Stephen Neal and Charles Rose, were

See STAND page 5

It's harder to give away money than it is to make it. . . . You want it to be useful. — M. Upson