

Mostly sunny,
high 65
Frost likely tonight,
high tomorrow 60

Getting a charge out
of Carolina blue — page 4

Field hockey team
sticks it to Duke — page 8

It's University Day!
Support your local
chancellor
Polk Place, 11 a.m.

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

© Copyright 1988 The Daily Tar Heel

Volume 96, Issue 59

Wednesday, October 12, 1988

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163

Group calls for end to funding of CGLA

By JUSTIN MCGUIRE
Assistant University Editor

Funding the Carolina Gay and Lesbian Association (CGLA) with student activities fees is an assault on the rights of UNC students with "traditional values," the chairman of a group opposed to campus radicalism said at a press conference Tuesday.

Edward Cottingham, chairman of Campus Watch, speaking at a press conference at the Carolina Inn, said the CGLA should not receive fees because it is a political group, and political groups cannot be funded according to the student constitution.

Also, the University has no right to collect fees from students and use those fees to promote homosexuality, he said.

"Promoting homosexuality is just not part of the legitimate business of a state university," he said.

Cottingham, a Durham resident and former UNC student, formed Campus Watch in August to "defend traditional American values on university campuses within North Carolina," he said.

The group has lobbied the N.C. General Assembly to pass legislation

prohibiting the use of mandatory student fees to support homosexual groups at state universities.

More than 40 percent of candidates for the Assembly, both incumbents and challengers, have returned post-cards to Campus Watch indicating their opinions on the proposed legislation, he said.

Cottingham said 120 of the candidates said they are "generally in favor" of such legislation, and one said he is opposed.

"The many endorsements of our position in so short a time and the almost total lack of opposition indicates that legislators are . . . indignant . . . that the University has allowed this situation to go on for so long," he said. "If the University does not promptly remedy the situation, there can be no doubt that the legislature will."

Cottingham was joined at the press conference by sophomore Peter Hans, spokesman for a student panel advising Campus Watch, and John Krynski, a Duke University professor emeritus.

Hans said last year's campus referendum on CGLA funding indicated that most students do not want

their student fees going to support the CGLA.

"To be sure, the overwhelming majority of students at UNC-Chapel Hill do not want to fund the CGLA out of their own pockets," he said.

Cottingham said he believed the CGLA is politically active because it is "energetic and given to theatrics," such as January's march and rally supporting CGLA funding. Also, he said, the CGLA provides networking information detailing the activities of overtly political gay groups.

"I don't think any group with an agenda like they have and that is disapproved of by so many should be forcing others to support their efforts," he said.

Krynski said because he has lived in North Carolina since 1966 and Cottingham is a North Carolina native, they have a right to be involved in what happens at a state university.

"Is this an outside influence or interest in the educational system?" he asked.

All three men said they were personally opposed to homosexual-

See CAMPUS WATCH page 7



DTH/David Surowiecki

Duke professor John Krynski speaks at the Campus Watch press conference Tuesday afternoon

Campus Watch stand unfounded, CGLA says

By NANCY WYKLE
Staff Writer

Carolina Gay and Lesbian Association (CGLA) members said Tuesday that Campus Watch officials misrepresented the CGLA's purpose at a press conference at the Carolina Inn.

Campus Watch, a group formed in August to combat campus radicalism, held a press conference to explain its opposition to funding the CGLA with student activities fees.

Several CGLA members attended the conference and expressed concern

about Campus Watch statements afterward.

Liz Stiles, CGLA co-chairwoman, said the group is very concerned about the Campus Watch movement. "It seems we are always threatened by one group or another," she said. "We're always concerned."

Most of the charges made about CGLA and its purpose were not accurate, group members said.

For instance, Campus Watch representatives said the CGLA is a radical political group and cannot be funded because the student constitu-

tion forbids it.

"We are not a political group," Stiles said.

Patrick Lamerson, CGLA co-chairman, said the group provides educational information and offers support to members of a minority group.

Campus Watch members also said some articles in the CGLA newsletter, Lambda, indicated the group's political nature.

But Stiles said the articles were strictly opinions and did not necessarily reflect the ideas of the CGLA

as a whole.

Student Congress's annual decision to fund the group is an indication of campus support, Lamerson said.

Chapel Hill Town Council member Joe Herzenberg, the first openly gay politician to be elected to public office in North Carolina, attended the meeting and said it is hard to tell to what extent Campus Watch is a threat.

Campus Watch chairman Edward Cottingham said the group has received notice from 120 candidates for the N.C. General Assembly

indicating general support for legislation prohibiting funding homosexual groups at state universities.

Herzenberg said he believes most of the people involved in Campus Watch are conservatives. The candidates for political office that have endorsed Campus Watch's effort are largely challengers for office instead of incumbents, he said.

Peter Hans, spokesman for the student panel advising Campus Watch, said continued funding of CGLA shows how the group dominates campus politics. He also said

the CGLA recruits group members for Student Congress with the sole purpose of voting in favor of funding.

But Stiles said this assertion is in direct conflict with CGLA bylaws, which prohibit dues-paying members of the group from seeking a seat in the congress.

Campus Watch has also said the CGLA is an intolerant group which is forcing others to pay for expression of its views, but CGLA members denied the statement.

See CGLA page 4

Officials, protesters disagree on reasons for congress action

By ANDREW WATERS
Staff Writer

Members of the CIA Action Committee (CIAAC) and University officials disagreed about the purpose of a resolution passed Oct. 5 by Student Congress, which supports the University in guaranteeing students' rights to be interviewed.

CIAAC members said Tuesday that the resolution is a response to their protests and recent Honor Court trial, but student government officials said the resolution affirms the rights of students and protesters.

Kasey Jones, CIAAC member, said she felt the resolution is a direct response to the committee's actions and was not passed simply to ensure students' rights.

"There is no way that you can say that it (the resolution) is not in response to our actions," Jones said.

Jones also questioned the necessity of the resolution.

"Was the resolution something they (congress members) had to do?" she said.

Joey Templeton, CIAAC member, said she thought the resolution might have been passed because congress members believed CIAAC members should not have been upset at the outcome of the Honor Court trial.

"We got censorship, and the reaction from people was that since that was all we got we should be happy, and we weren't," Templeton said. CIAAC members should not have been punished at all for their actions, she said.

The resolution is changing CIAAC members' perceptions of their right to protest, Jones said.

"I'm beginning to get the feeling we don't have the right to protest anymore," Jones said. "I don't feel like I can speak freely anymore about what the CIA is doing."

Templeton and Jones said they felt if students were aware of the atrocities committed by the CIA then there would be less opposition to the committee's actions.

"If students knew what crimes the CIA had committed then there is no way they would want them here," Templeton said.

"I don't think a lot of people have taken the time to sit down and figure out what they feel about the CIA," Jones said.

Neil Riemann, Student Congress speaker, said the resolution was not meant to be a statement against the CIAAC but was intended simply to support the rights of students.

Its support for protecting the rights of students," he said. "The resolution is designed to say we (congress members) support the protesters, as long as the protest is peaceful, and support the rights of students to go to interviews."

If the resolution had been passed before the trial, it would not have had any effect. It was not designed to create any new regulations for student protests, Riemann said.

"The resolution wasn't making a new regulation, it was just stating our opinion and our support," he

See REACTION page 7

Officials examine BSM resolution

By WILL SPEARS
Staff Writer

The Black Student Movement's (BSM) demands that a new site for the Black Cultural Center (BCC) be chosen by Jan. 31, 1989, and that construction begin by Jan. 31, 1990, may not be feasible, University officials said Tuesday.

The BSM passed a resolution Oct. 5 demanding that UNC approve a permanent location for the center, which is now located in the Student Union.

Many factors must be considered when planning the center, said Bob Eubanks, Board of Trustees chairman. Criteria include time, money and planning, and the main consideration is funding, he said.

"This (the BCC) is a big institution," he said. "The building is appropriated by the legislature, not the University. This isn't how it works."

One problem in meeting the BSM's deadline is the amount of planning that has been done, Eubanks said.

"To this point there has been very little planning done," he said. "We need to get it right. What is done now is what the students in the future will have to live with. We really want to do this right."

Gordon Rutherford, director of facilities planning, said the BSM's requests for construction are feasible. "It's certainly possible to break ground by that time," he said. "But they need to come up with the money

and the plans."

A project like this one cannot be rushed, Eubanks said. "They now have a temporary spot to plan their activities," he said. "We just can't put something like this on a time table. It takes lots of planning."

Donald Boulton, vice chancellor of student affairs, said the center has been in the planning stages for some time. "We have been working on it," he said. "Where we are is only a beginning. We're working on it. We're going as fast as we can."

Eubanks stressed that patience is important to the center's success.

"We need to be working together," he said. "We are ready to move forward. We have a new chancellor and we need to let him get his feet

under him. Lots of people are committed to this project. We need to get together and plan it right."

One problem with the current location is its size, said Edith Wiggins, associate vice chancellor of student affairs. "Anyone associated with the center realizes that it is small and inadequate," she said.

The BSM may have set the dates only to ensure that the project will not be forgotten, Wiggins said.

"When a group feels strongly about something, they adopt a strategy," she said. "This may be what they are doing. It's obvious that the center is important to the students. Whether the dates are realistic or unrealistic is hard to say. Who knows what is possible."

Mayors join forces on housing program

By CHARLES BRITAIN
Staff Writer

The mayors of Chapel Hill, Raleigh and Durham presented a joint statement Tuesday announcing the establishment of a partnership to help handle the housing crisis confronting the Triangle.

Mayors Jonathan Howes, Avery Upchurch and Wib Gulley released information detailing the creation of the Triangle Housing Partnership aimed at raising funds from the private sector to aid in easing area housing needs.

The Triangle Housing Partnership is the result of a recommendation by a private sector committee that suggested a private, non-profit corporation was necessary to handle Triangle housing difficulties.

Previously, the partnership was referred to as the Triangle Housing Investment Fund. The fund was formed in March to begin the organizational work and fund raising that led to yesterday's official announcement.

Peter Rumsey, a consultant to the

group, said, "All the mayors did today was give the baby (the fund) a new name."

"The purpose of the partnership remains the same as the fund — to find a way to assist people to obtain housing who could otherwise not afford it."

The partnership hopes to begin allocating funds to area housing projects during early 1989, he said.

The partnership's board of directors should outline the requirements necessary to qualify for funds within 90 days, Rumsey said.

Frank Gailor, president of First Federal Savings and Loan in Raleigh, was elected to head the board of directors of the partnership, Rumsey said.

The partnership hopes to raise the resources it needs through the Committee of 33, a group chosen by the board of directors to undertake fundraising responsibilities, he said.

"The Committee of 33 will serve to promote the partnership in the Triangle, explain its goals and encourage participation in the part-

nership's purpose through donations," he said.

The fund-raising activities of the committee "will be comparable to the United Way in that there will be periodical drives involving respected citizens of Raleigh, Durham and Chapel Hill," Rumsey said.

Any individual, church or business can contribute money or land to the partnership.

Rumsey said he expects a positive response from area businesses, because those who donate money are eligible for federal tax exempt status while they assist in the construction of additional low-income housing.

Christine Berndt, long range planning coordinator for Chapel Hill, said, "The first order of business for the new board of directors will be increasing home ownership opportunity in the Triangle through the building of more low income housing by the private sector."

According to the annual Housing Assistance Plan released by the town's housing department last year, 19,000 families in the Chapel Hill area

are in need of some form of housing assistance, Berndt said.

"The housing needs in Chapel Hill in many ways reflect the needs of the entire Triangle," she said. "The biggest housing need in the Triangle is the need for the construction of affordable homes and rental units, or the use of existing housing for families unable to pay the increasing cost of housing in this area."

"The partnership program was not created simply for the cities of Chapel Hill, Raleigh and Durham, but for the entire Triangle as a whole."

Chapel Hill attorney Grainger Barrett, secretary/treasurer of the partnership's board of directors, said the program's goals for the coming months address two issues.

"Our goals are on two tracks," Barrett said. "The first is the appointment of the Committee of 33 to handle the partnership's fund-raising efforts, and the second is to identify, promote and support area programs that provide affordable housing."

See HOUSING page 6

I respect faith but doubt is what gets you an education. — Wilson Mizner