

PRINCE ALBERT
the national joy smoke

TALK about smokes, Prince Albert is geared to a joyhandout standard that just lavishes smokehappiness on every man game enough to make a bee line for a tidy red tin and a jimmy pipe—old or new!

Get it straight that what you've hankered for in pipe or cigarette makin's smokes you'll find aplenty in P. A. That's because P. A. has the quality!

You can't any more make Prince Albert bite your tongue or parch your throat than you can make a horse drink when he's off the water! Bite and parch are cut out by our exclusive patented process!

Buy Prince Albert everywhere tobacco is sold. Toppy red bags, tidy red tins, handsome pointed and half pointed tin containers—and—that clever, practical pointed cigarette holder lined with sponge moisture top that keeps the tobacco in such perfect condition.

R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., Winston-Salem, N. C.

RATIOS OF MARRIAGE TO DIVORCE: 1916

Based on the 1919 Census Bureau Bulletin on Marriage and Divorce, ratios ranked according to the ratios of marriage to divorce, being ratios of 1 to 1, 2 to 1, 3 to 1, etc. In the number that includes the state of South Carolina it is noted because in 1878 no record had been kept of wanted marriages.

The following figures are given in every 9 states in the divorce court; but in the District of Columbia only one marriage in every 91 ends in divorce and in North Carolina only one in every 32; which is the best showing made by any state, South Carolina excepted.

The ratio numbers mean 91 marriages to 1 divorce, 32 to 1 divorce, and so on.

Rank States Ratios

1	District of Columbia	91
2	North Carolina	32
3	New York	30
4	New Jersey	26
5	Georgia	23
6	Maryland	20
7	West Virginia	18
8	Connecticut	16
9	Massachusetts	16
10	Pennsylvania	15
11	Louisiana	14
12	Vermont	13
13	Mississippi	13
14	Minnesota	12
15	Virginia	11
16	Alabama	11
17	North Dakota	10
18	Wisconsin	10
19	Delaware	10
20	Florida	10
21	Tennessee	9
22	South Dakota	9
23	Maine	8
24	Rhode Island	8
25	New Mexico	8
26	Illinois	8
27	Kentucky	8
28	Nebraska	8
29	Utah	8
30	Michigan	7
31	Ohio	7
32	Iowa	7
33	Kansas	7
34	Arkansas	7
35	New Hampshire	6
36	Missouri	6
37	Arizona	6
38	Texas	6
39	Indiana	6
40	Wyoming	6
41	Oklahoma	6
42	Montana	5
43	California	5
44	Lake	4
45	Washington	4
46	Oregon	3
47	Nevada	3

Just What Does The EDISON TONE TEST Demonstrate?

This is an effort to explain in simple lucid language exactly what the Edison tone test demonstrates. When you have read it we want you to have as clear an idea of just what the test signifies as though you personally had witnessed one.

We have repeatedly asserted that in the New Edison the great inventor had perfected a device which Re-Creates the artist's voice with such complete fidelity that no human ear can detect the slightest shade of difference between the living artist and the inanimate instrument. The tone test is conducted merely for the purpose of proving the truth of this statement.

The demonstration is simple in the extreme. The instrument is placed upon the stage; an artist stands beside it. The instrument begins to render one of the singer's own songs. After a few moments the artist begins to sing the same song with the instrument. Suddenly the singer ceases and the New Edison continues alone. Can you distinguish the difference? That is the question.

Over 1500 of these tone tests have been staged. Over 30 great artists: Anna Case, Marie Rappold, Marie Sundelin, Alice Verlet, Thomas Chalmers, Arthur Middleton, Giovanni Zenatello and others of similar eminence have participated in them. Of the more than 2,000,000 people who have been present not one has been able to detect any difference between artist and instrument: between a singer's living voice and the New Edison's Re-Creation of it. With the lights lowered to hide the singer's lips not one of the 2,000,000 has been able to say when the singer ceased and the instrument continued alone.

In plain, everyday English the foregoing is a description of an Edison tone test. These tests have convinced more than 2,000,000 people that Thomas A. Edison has achieved what was believed to be impossible. We are publishing this not only to convince you of the truth of our assertions about this incredible instrument but also to urge you to call at our store and learn for yourself what we mean by the phrase "Music's Re-Creation."

Barnes & Holliday Co., Dunn, N.C.

COMMUNITY CHAUTAUQUA

Fresh From the Trenches They Come

These four fighting Doughboys who bring the songs they sang to cheer their comrades on the fighting line.

The Doughboys' Male Quartet Will Bring Cheer to You!

Hear the famous war songs of America and the Allies!

Hear the snappy trench songs that our soldiers wrote!

Hear the old favorites—the songs you used to sing!

Fifth Day Attraction

SEASON TICKETS \$2.75. But the First 500 Will Be Sold at \$2.20. Get Yours Early and Save 55 Cents.

NOW LET'S BUILD COTTON WAREHOUSES.

The Supreme Court of North Carolina by a unanimous decision has joined Judge Oliver Allen of the Superior Court in saying that the new North Carolina cotton warehouse and marketing law is constitutional.

In other words, the highest courts of the commonwealth have now said the machinery provided for enabling North Carolina cotton farmers to help themselves. Now it is up to the farmers to make use of the machinery. As Mr. James H. Pou says:

The fact should not be overlooked that this statute is a great deal more than a mere warehouse law. It has three outstanding provisions as follows:

1. Cotton will be stored so as to feed the market gradually, thereby better preventing glut and helping maintain prices.

2. All cotton put in warehouses will be officially graded by government representatives; and this should mean an end to the old practice of docking cotton to the limit when it is below middling, but giving the farmer no premium when it is above middling.

3. The warehouse officials are authorized to sell cotton in quantities for farmers, and in this way better prices can be obtained than under the present system of piece-meal sales.

It was probably because of these two last mentioned features as much as anything else, that powerful interests wished to see the whole system destroyed before it could have a test.

The State Board of Agriculture has elected Mr. O. J. McConnell, a highly capable man, as State Warehouse Commissioner, and everybody interested in the system should write him for any needed information. He will gladly give advice as to the most economical and business-like plans of construction and operation of warehouses and will visit communities where the people wish to hold warehouse meetings.

The time has come when the old fashioned way of cotton marketing must give way to really modern and business-like plans. We must have efficient methods of warehousing and marketing cotton. This is no longer the question. Today the only question is as to whether the work shall be done under the direction and control of the farmers, or under the direction and control of manufacturers and cotton buying interests. The National Association of Cotton Manufacturers has just announced plans for building warehouses to accommodate three million bales of cotton, and

manufacturing interests may direct the general warehouse business of the South unless farmers get busy and organize State warehouse systems all over the South modeled on the North Carolina plan.—Progressive Farmer.

MAN WITHOUT A COUNTRY

Writing from San Francisco, Cal., a correspondent says:

A bit of human jetsam on the lonely Pacific Ocean—a modern man without a country—is Paul Freeman, exile.

Like Philip Nolan in Edward Everett Hale's immortal romance, Freeman is disowned by the land of his birth because of words that he uttered during wartime.

Australia has twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

He can immigration officials declare he is not American and have twice refused to admit him.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher. Americans can immigration officials declare he is not American and have twice refused to admit him.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.

Australians have twice sought to have him deported to the United States, saying he is not a Britisher.