Clayton.

Mr. and Mrs. Gene Crumpler of Goldsboro, James Bell of Greenville, Mr. and Mrs. Leslie Bell attended a family dinner Sunday held at the home of Stacy Price of Seven Springs.

Mrs. Ella Cuttaw spent the woekend with Mrs. Joe Sutton of Warsaw. Mrs. Thomas Sunnmerlin joined them Sunday.

Mr. and Mrs. Raymond Brock, Mr. and Mrs. Raymond Brock, Mr. and Mrs. Refus Brock in Lenoir M. Hospital Sunday, Mrs. Fred Alphin Sr., Mrs. Cyrus Rhodes, Mr. and Mrs. Cyrus Rhodes, Mr. and Mrs. Carl Ivey visited Mrs. Minnie Sunmerlin of Kenansville Wed.

Mr. and Mrs. Colon Garner.

Mrs. A.M. Davise has re-

Mr. and Mrs. Colon Garner, visited Mr. and Mrs. Gearald

Garner of Belhaven Sunday.

Mrs. D.L. Cherry has returned home after spending several days with Miss Magorie Mr. and Mrs. Carl Morgan and Mrs. Lila Mas Smith were super guest of Mr. and Mrs. John R. Crow Wed.
Mr. and Mrs. M.C. Brwden
have returned home after a
visit with Major and Mrs. Dan

Cherry, and Mrs. Gedeon F.
Cherry of Hyattaville, Md.
Mrs. Andy Ivey was guest
Sunday of Mr. and Mrs. Billy
Joe Ivey of Wallace.
Sgt. and Mrs. Marvin Cher-

ry of Dunn, Miss Shirley Smith of Teachey, PFC Ray Cherry of Ft. Bragg, Mr. and Mrs. W.D. Cherry of Dudley visited Mrs. Woodrow Cherry, Mr.

unday at Pearsell Chapel

guests Saturday of Mr. and Mrs. J.B. Godbold.

Mrs. Limwood Grady of Raleigh were over night guests Saturday of Mr. and Mrs. Et-bert whitmen.

Mr. and Mrs. John Fonvielle Jr. of Greenville visited Mr. and Mrs. C.C. Ivey Jr. during

Ellis Dail of Durham visited

Ellis Dail of Durham visited Mrs. Bessie A, Herring Sun, Mr, and Mrs. A, Bernard Goodson of Kinston, and Mrs. Naomi K, Brock of Rose Hill were visitors during the weekend of Mrs. T.A. Jernigan, Mrs. Fred Alphin Sr. spen Monday with Mrs. Peggy Swinson of Jacksonville, Mr. and Mrs. Eimer Taylor of Mt. Olive were guests Sunday of Mr. and Mrs. Roland Taylor, James Bell of Greenville, Mr. and Mrs. Lestje Bell visited Mrs. Annie Rose Bell, and

Wars and Pamela Mrs. T.A. Jeroigan and Mrs. Carl Ivey attended the fuoeral of Mrs. Edin Holt Friday.

Leonard in N.J.

Mr. and Mrs. Mon't Troublefield are visiting relatives in

TELLO TO

Drip Dry Cetton 45 Inches Wide Reg. \$1,59 yd.

ESQUIRE

SALE THRU SATURDAY

MEN'S & LADIES'

ONE GROUP

ONE GROUP

NEW FALL BATES

The Y.F.A. of Rhory Brancistess to the District V Co-Church met Thursday Octoberference of the Wilmington Pi 25th in the fellowship hall of abytery Tues. Oct. 23.

Craft, Mrs. George Cates, M-rs. Tomny Taylor and Mrs. Harold Stanley.

Calypso and Warsaw won the Attendance record. Approxima-

tely 100 attended.

dal inne had their

Hostess To

District



YD.

"Wet" and "Dry" have nothing to do with the November 6 referendum. It really is a question as to how liquor will be sold and controlled. The choice is yours. You must decide. Do you want to keep our present system or add the Liquor-by-the-drink plan to it? It's as simple as that and has nothing to do with whether or not you drink

LET'S LOOK AT BOTH SIDES:

1. Liquor-by-the-drink will bring in more

2. It will bring more entertainment to North Carolina.

It will increase tourism.

mixed for you.

5. The higher price per drink will result in a better control of liquor by economically encouraging moderation.

6. Liquor-by-the-drink will result in more revenues to the state.

7. There will be no increase in alcohol-related

8. They don't say what else will follow if this plan is passed.

. I hey are probably right.

whistian stead

They are probably right, but WHAT KIND:

3. Perhaps, but tourism is North Carolina's 3rd largest industry now, (approximately \$900 million per year) and we can't imagine liquorby-the-drink encouraging more families to vacation at our beaches, mountains, or theme parks like Carowinds.

4. It certainly will do that

5. Absolutely not. Studies show that the price of liquor has little effect on its consumption. We believe that the state ABC stores offer a better means of control than a bartender or a cocktail waitress.

6. We disagree. Records show that states collect less taxes on beverage alcohol when it is sold by the drink than when it is sold by the bottle.

7. We strongly disagree. Statistics from similar American communities show that when liquor is allowed to be sold by the drink, the legislation is almost automatically followed by increased traffic injuries and fatalities. More broken homes, greater alcohol-generated absenteeism from work, and chronic alcoholism, as well as greater and more expensive social problems that your tax dollars must pay for.

8. WE say that's what WE are worried about!

