## Editorial Viewpoint

## The CAROLINIAN'S



## Urgent Need For Tax Revisions

| There smay be swmerwas chanyes that ought to be saude in eur incense taxk luws, but the cone whating to eqpendency allowence meeds conaitlersible stucy. <br> The State of North Carolina allows the hesd of the bosnse a \$300 deduction for cacla depencerat, wartile the Thederal goverzment permita the sum of $\$ 600$ to be deducted for each dependent. Thse Brederal willowance of \$600 and the staste taeductabile sllowence of \$300 sre not susugh and definitely wnfair to the setwer who muast feed, clothe, house, and edincate his offapringe. <br> RHow can bather or mother prowide a yousgreer with food clothes, plece to live, mwedical care, insurance protcotion, asd recrewtion of menesk throe huxatred dollars for 12 monttrs. The snswer it obvicus. |
| :---: |
|  |  |

We suggeor that bath the Frederal and State
 pand rusre hureeme to incresse the deductible would be noure in line with the coest of living ginetion to find eut that the deppendency
Inole sam wruld be fairer if he sllowed
 inosmes an individual in crught in a wise wherce his preg chrcck it "bled wo death".
"ince the Feederal gwerminemt
naxisel incomes of jts citivens, the state the crumant wosild do well to stualy ways and myeans of aboliahing the stwhe income trax. Surety, where snust he other ways of swisins state-

 the avernge citizen "to muke his ruxpe manet" thy increasing his dependecy millowance

## Kidding And Horseplay Dangerous

Evif Forces Woul Silance Her, But They Wirl Hot Prevail


SENTEMCE SERMONS


## What Other Editors Say



JUST FOR FUN


Along The Celonial Front
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Lovoor wo me ount


Sclaries And Wages Need Scrutinizing


## Were Eoster Pilgrims Turacocts?











