## OPINION/EDITORIAL

## Sharon's Choices/Arafat's Options

Has the present dire situation Israelis and Palestinians come so desperate that only merican intervention can shape a ase-fire? Is there a way for rafat to extricate himself from physical and political cul-de which has narrowed around m in recent days? Or will Israel ntinue to surround Palestinian ies, keeping the Palestinians enned in for an indeterminate riod of time only allowing food, edical, and other necessary sup-es into the Palestinian areas? fill Arafat depart office uncere-oniouusly or be killed, leaving Palestinian political community a state of civil turmoil as sucsion to a new regime emerges? Is Sharon interested in deposing disposing of Arafat? So far the wer is no. In an interview in ebruary 2001, Sharon said, "It is up to us who the Palestinians et to lead them, [Arafat] is the n we must talk to ... gradual utions should be pursued ... the st phase [with the Palestinians a state of non-belligerency to followed by the gradual development of a relationship." In an terview published at the end of ovember, Sharon remarked, "I Plieve I can reach a settlement with the Palestinians] ... I believe m one of the few who can bring s about. Peace is almost as ainful as war because you must hake painful concessions. ... [In] the final analysis, when we get brough the testing chapter of a alm life without terror and with cooperation, be it in the economic nd other spheres, we will reach a plution in which there is a Palestinian state. But it must be a lalestinian state by agreement and must be demilitarized with the

ngs we need to exist."
In the past, when moments of olitical urgency demanded olitical

himself and the status of the PLO as the sole representative of the Palestinian people. His strong preference is not to expand definitive cleavages in the Palestinian community, which a sincerely prolonged crack-down would inevitably create. With the Bush Administration confiscating assets of Hamas in the U.S. and unequivocally declaring Hamas a "murderous terrorist" organization, Arafat is left with an ever smaller middle ground on which to maneuver.

"Arafat does not want to show to his people that after 15 months of uprising against Israel he is capitulating to either American pressure or Israeli threats."

And by stating that as a sover And by stating that as a sover-eign nation Israel has the right to defend itself, the Bush Administration estimated that such overt positioning against Arafat will not adversely effect the success of the international coalition established to destroy the terrorist network in Afghanistan. Rightly or wrongly, like it or not, less than three months after the assault on America, Arafat and Hamas are surrounded like Osama bin-Laden, al-Qaeda, and the Taliban. In its own way and for its own purposes, actions of Hamas have allowed Israel to join actively the coalition against terrorism.

American foreign policy favors establishment of a Palestinian state alongside Israel; President Bush called for one, Secretary of State Powell requested an end to the Israeli occupation of the West Bank and Gaza, and two senior

U.S. envoys were dispatched to the area to try yet again to mediate another cease-fire. Key disagreement exists over the term "ending occupation." The U.S., Europeans, and Israelis define it as all or some of the West Bank, Gaza, and portions of east Jerusalem; many Palestinians, including Hamas and other Arabs, use the term "ending occupation" as a geographic equivalent for the end of Israel's right to exist as a Jewish nation-state. They believe that Israel illegitimately occupies all the land of Palestine. No matter what Arafat has said in the past, he is being forced to choose which definition of "ending occupation" it will be: some or all of Palestine.

The presence of American envoys in Jerusalem gives Arafat an available escape from the clos-ing vise. Arafat does not want to show to his people that after 15 months of uprising against Israel he is capitulating to either American pressure or Israeli threats. While he waits, perhaps to be bailed out one more time by Arab, Islamic and European leaders, Israel may have already made contacts with potential Palestinian successors. Israel, like the United States, realizes that ultimately the Palestinian and Israeli people will have to share the land west of the Jordan River in separate states, regardless of whether Arafat is the first president of that state or

In Atlanta, Georgia, Professor the Atlanta, Georgia, Projessor Kenneth W. Stein teaches Middle Eastern history and political sci-ence at Emory University. He is the author of Heroic Diplomacy: the author of Heroic Diplomacy: Sadat, Kissinger, Begin, Carter and the Quest for Arab-Israeli Peace (Routledge, 1999). For Microsoft's Encarta Encyclopedia, Stein is the author of six entries dealing with the Arab-Israeli con-flict, including the 1973 Arab-Israeli War, the PLO, and Hamas.

The View from Israel

## **How Much Democracy Can** Israel Afford?

By Carl Alpert

Haifa - Israel is proud of the fact that it is a democracy - the only democracy in the Middle East. Its government is elected by majority vote of its citizens, and from time to time the government is changed by the wishes of the electorate. But the definition of democracy includes far more than merely change of government. It embraces a broad range of personal liberties, protection of privacy, freedom for expression of varying opinions, the right of the public to be kept informed, freedom to come and go, freedom to practice religion and more.

What happens to these freedoms when a country is at war, and national security may dictate certain abridgement or restrictions of these rights? Such questions are being asked in Israel these days. Most immediate case in question is the decision by the Knesset to strip M.K. Azmi Bishara of his parliamentary immunity so that he may face prosecution for making speeches in Syria praising the ter-rorist Hizbollah. His reaction: He was democratically elected to the Knesset by a large number of voters whose views he represents. He was exercising his right in a democracy.

There are other public actions that have for some time been questioned here. The torture of prisoners to extract information from them has long been regarded as typical of repressive dictatorial regimes. Yet Israeli military authorities justify such action in special circumstances, as when they receive reliable information that a major terrorist attack is about to be carried out, and the prisoner in hand has information which would enable Israel to anticipate, act and prevent the attack. That is known as the ticking bomb. Does a country that is faced with enemies both within and without, and is in effect in a perpetual state of war, have the right to suspend the application of

certain democratic axioms?

Should the press/television/

radio, which in the long run determine what the public knows, and therefore to a large extent help formulate public opinion, provide a platform for enemies of Israel to present their views, which may be antagonistic to what most consider the best interests of Israel? But in the name of democracy? It must be added that the media here to an extent follow the democratic line,

despite much criticism.

The press here is subject to military censorship, but it is applied only to matters affecting immediate security, and the press is to a large degree responsible and selfcensored on such matters.

Emotions play an important and Emotions play an important and colorful role in our lives. To what extent can the government seek to control emotions when expressed in public rallies and demonstrations at which provocative placards are displayed, and pictured on television screens? The issues may be political economic social but be political, economic, social, but it is not forgotten here that such uncontrolled expressions of emo-tion led to the assassination of Prime Minister Rabin. If incite-ment is banned, who determines what constitutes incitement, with-out trespassing on treasured principles of the right of the individual to hold opinions and give expression to them?

Restriction of private rights may take other forms as well. There are many who complain that municipal ordinances that forbid the sale of pork products, or ban public transport on the Sabbath constitute an invasion of the rights of the individual citizen, who should be left free to make his own choice in such matters. This has led to wide ranging discussion as to whether the concept of a Jewish state is in conflict with the definition of a democratic state

It would not be correct to say that the country is torn by dissension on these issues, but such matare being given thoughtful

consideration in many quarters. \*\pi\$
Carl Alpert may be reached by e-mail at: alpert@techunix.tech-

## WNET

Continued from page 13)

Carolina Room of the Public Ubrary of Charlotte and brary of Charlotte and ecklenburg County, in partnerip with the Charlotte Jewish istorical Society, a CAJE project.
Why May 2002? May will be e first annual History and eritage Month Celebration in the harlotte region, a joint marketing fort coordinated by the Charlotte regional History Consortium, to isse public awareness about the proderful history and heritage sources available all across our ca. CAJE and its project the harlotte Jewish Historical ca. CAJ Charlotte ciety are longstanding members the Consortium, which was inded almost a decade ago when local history museums, her ge sites, archives and historical sources banded together. The onth-long celebration will kick-f at Taste of Charlotte on the first eekend in May, and will con-ude at a community-wide event the first weekend in June. There ill be a family-friendly "Queen harlotte's Treasure Hunt" activity ssport that kids and parents may mplete during the month by vis-ng participating sites, such as r exhibit here in the Galleries at

The national list of WNET/ Thirteen Heritage: Civilization and e Jews grant recipients includes:
1. University of Alabama,

peptartment of Religious Studies, pscaloosa, AL.

Arkansas Institute of Holy Land Studies, Sherwood, AR.
 Kehilla Community

Synagogue, Berkeley, CA.

4. Agency for Jewish Education, San Diego, CA.

5. Bureau of Jewish Education,

San Francisco, CA.

6. Greater Hartford Jewish West Center,

Community Hartford, CT. Adolph and Rose Levis Jewish Community Center, Boca

8. Board of Jewish Education of Metropolitan Northbrook, IL.

Northbrook, IL.

9. Jewish Foundation of the Quad Cities, Rock Island, IL.

10. Bureau of Jewish Education, Getzville, NY.

11. Conference of Jewish Organizations of Nassau County, Successfully. Syosset, NY.

12. Jewish Federation of Broome County, Vestal, NY. 13. Workmen's Circle/Arbeter Ring, Michigan District, Oak Park,

14. Temple Israel Libraries and Media Center, West Bloomfield,

15. St. Louis Jewish Community Center, St. Louis,

16. Carolina Agency for Jewish

Education, Charlotte, NC.

17. Jewish Federation of Southern New Jersey, Cherry Hill,

18. Jewish Community Center, Albuquerque, NM. 19. WGTE Public Broad-casting, Toledo, OH. 20. UAHC Camp Harlan,

Lehighton, PA.
21. Jewish Community Centers of Greater Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

22. Bureau of Jewish Education of Rhode Island, Providence, RI. 23. Barshop Jewish Community

Center, San Antonio, TX.
24. KWBU/Baylor University,

Waco, TX.

In addition to the lecture by Dr.

Goldfield on the evening of May 9, there will be a demonstration of the interactive DVD-ROM and its many features that complement the video series. The nine-hour video series has continued to be a popuitem in the CAJE Re-Center over the years, and many families and schools borrow the videos on a regular basis. Heritage: Civilization and the Jews trace the history of the Jewish people from biblical times to the present, telling their story as part of the broader history of Western Civilization. Now enhanced with new content bringing the epic saga up to present day, Heritage is a portrait of the Jewish experience with a scope and depth unlikely to be surpassed.

The grant program is sponsored by WNET/Thirteen, the Educational Broadcasting Corporation and the Charles H. Revson and the Charles H. Revso. Foundation, all of New York City

Watch future issues of The Charlotte Jewish News for more information about the lecture and demonstration on May 9, about the upcoming photo exhibit, and about History and Heritage month in the Charlotte region. Or contact CAJE at 704-944-6780 or email lsstein@vnet.net.₽

