

'54 - '55 CANDIDATES

The nominations committee at Gardner-Webb has met and selected the students who will compete for the prominent positions on campus for 1954-55. The positions to be filled are the officers of the Student Government Association, the editor and business manager of the Anchor and the editor and news editor of the Pilot.

The committee members included the present Student Government Association officers, the present distors of the Ancher and Pilot, and the presidents of each house, each class, and each prominent club on campus. Representing the Student Government Association were Bok Estes, president, Gall Baucom, vice president, and Dart Vance, secretary-treasurer. The Richardson, freshman class president, Bunny Price, sophmore class president, Tommy Ellinson, day student president, Virginia Huggins, Young Women's Auxiliary president, Kathy Haaletine, Puttur Teacher's Association president, Richard Yearwood, Monogram Club president and Sigma Pi Alpha president, Pete Banns, Della Pil omena bresident and editor of the from the meeting were David Austin, house president of Clocker Hall and Tomny Ellinston, president of Decker Hall and Tomny Ellinston, president of the day students.

The committee, after ample consideration and discussion decided that the day students should nominate and elect their president instead of having him nominated by the committee at it was established that the day student president is of equalating the status with the house presidents and should be elected in like manner.

Running for the presidency of the Student Government Association are Bill Norts, Cramerton, N. C., Lewis Ballard: Association are Bill Norts, Cramerton, N. C., Lewis Ballard: Workspressed and Control of the Company o

There was discussion relative to the nomination of house presidents by the Students Government Association inslead of being elected by the house. However, it was concluded that the present system of the election of house presidents cannot be improved upon.

improved upon.

In competition for the editorship of the PILOT are Mary Frances Philbeck, Bolling Springs, N. C., and Deree Mayberry, Gastonia, N. C. The Fliot news editor candidates are Bobble Oxford, Kings Mountain, N. C., and Gale Ball, Gastonia, N. C.

Cynthia Covington, Rockingham, N. C., Phyllis Kimaman, Lowell, N. C., and Mac Hill, Woodruff, S. C., are candidates for the editorship of the Anchor. Competing for business manager of the Anchor are Betty Staton, Charlotte, N. C., and

for the editorship of the Anchor. Competing for ousness manager of the Anchor are Betty Staton, Charlotte, N. C., and Richard Hedrick, Gastonia, N. C. Posters are up, speeches are being made and discussions of general qualifications are at hand. The candidates who are elected now will be the leaders of next year. May the best man win!

Legalize Wire-Tapping?

Attorney General Brownell was not the first government official to speak out for the enactment of a law that would make legal evidence obtained by wire-tapping for use against a defendant in Federal Court. Speaking for the Truman Administration in 1949, Attorney General Clark urged epiponage.

At that time, however, a congressional majority agreed with the late Justice Holmes that it was better for some criminals to go free than for the United States to engage in the "dirty business," wire-tapping.

Although the Federal Government does not recognize evidence obtained by wire tapping, many states do. Such evidence has been used by many states for years.

It is not known exactly how widespread this illigitimate practice has become. Mr. Justice Douglas, of the U. S. Supreme Ccurt asserted:

"We have bull! in this country a vast network of wirecappers and eavesdroppers. In New York City alone there were in 1952 at least 58,000 permits issued to tap wires, a practice which in startifier days a great Olympan, Mr. Justice follomes, condemned so many wires were being tapped that officials hardly dared speak in confidence over the telephone."

Certainly if wire-tapping evidence were made legal Congress would place some restrictions on it.

We do not want to encourage or support the entire nation to become wire-tappers. Should the federal law be changed on wire-tapping it should allow tapping only by "public agents" and under specific regulations.

NIXON AND INDO-CHINA

It is a mystery to us why Vice-President Nixon's statement about Indo-China raised so much fury,

The statement came not as part of the Vice-President's speech to the American Society of Newspaper Editors, but in a question and answer period later. In reply to the final question of the day, Mr. Nixon simply said that American troops might be used there if all else fails.

We do not understand how else the Vice-President might have answered the question unless he had said, "no comment." Admittedly, it was hypothetical; it carried some implication that the answer would be personal opinion. When the same question was put to Secretary of State Dulles later, he placed the matter in prophetical question of the record and that he swered a hypothetical question of the record and that he record.

U. S. diplomacy, it seems to us, is going through one of those periods when it must make every effort to be frank and open but at the same time must be concerned about the requirements of the problem. The immediate problem is the Geneva Conferior of the problem. The immediate problem is the Geneva Conferior of the bargaining table strongly united around the tides that aggressions will not be appeased.

This principle has been part of American foreign policy for many years; it was not original with Mr. Dulles, although he seeks to improve certain aspects of it. One of them is that the enemy will be so clearly warned of the consequence of his aggrssion that he will refuse to launch it.

The hope is that war will be avoided by advising the enemy what is in store for him if he steps over the line.

Mr. Dulles and the administration are simply spelling out that truditional policy in preparation for Geneva. A Great Debate over sending U. S. tropps to Indo-Crossin for the subject in an election year, but it would be foods for the Republicans to call the Korean War Truman's War; it would be just as foolish to bale controvery in Indo-China the Elsenhow-er War. Foreign policy and partisan politics don't mix and never will.