PAGE TWO

THE LANCE

Dear Mr. Junod, I am writing in response to

your last editorial, "Mr. Nixon

for President". I am writing

because I think that you are

very mistaken in your analysis

of Mr. Nixon and, more important, because I think that

America is in a time of crisis

and I think that Richard Nixon

plays a very important role

We are faced with a war in

Vietnam, and we are faced with

possible riots in our cities.

These are not the only pro-

blems which face our country,

but they are certainly two of

the most important and I, along

with probably the majority of

Americans, think that they de-

serve top priority. I will there-

fore use these two problems

facing our nation to discuss your

editorial for Richard Nixon,

and perhaps present you with

at least a portion of my rea-

sons for thinking that Richard

Nixon is a serious threat to

the welfare of our nation and

the world.

in that crisis.

THE LANCE St. Andrews Presbyterian College Laurinburg, N. C. 28352 Staff EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Joe Junod ASSOCIATE EDITOR Linda Susong ASSOCIATE EDITOR David Wagner SPORTS EDITOR Scott McCrea

PORTS EDITOR So	ott McCrea
VEWS EDITOR Sa	ara Lee
JINESS MANAGER	m Bouck
SSISTANT BUSINESS MANAGER St	u Harris
Supscription Rates \$1.50 per se Advertising Rates \$.80 per co	emester

Not A Loser

Nobody likes a loser. The petition now being circulated in Scotland County concerning the liquor and package beer referendums does not bear the stamp of loser. The organization has been superb, the enthusiasm genuine. Yet, the real job has not actually started. The names have to be obtained-a great number of them in fact. Reports indicate that a minimum 40% of the people who vote for Governor on November 5th are needed if the petition is too be considered sending to the vote. Law requires only 25% but examples of other counties in the state indicate that the larger percentage is needed.

Only the conscious effort of all those canvassing for names will secure the victory that this county needs.

Letter To The Editor

Leaders' Lack Of Responsibility

All day Tuesday "student leaders," those holding student government offices, were excused from their classes to attend a re-evaluation retreat at Camp Monroe. Last spring they were elected to fill certain positions responsibly. Others were appointed to their positions because of their socalled responsibility. This fall, approximately fifty or sixty "student leaders" out of over a hundred student positions, found time to attend a conference to re-think and review the ideals, purposes, and directions of their student government positions and, indeed, the St. Andrews Student Government. This show by our leadership at Time Out '68 certainly says something about the quality of our student leaders, and consequently, about the feeble progress that is being made on this campus in the projects and directions of tremendous importance to the future of St. Andrews College and the St.

LAURINBURG BAKERY 276-0686 Specialists in Wedding and Birthday Cakes, Party Cakes, Pies, Donuts "We Serve To Serve Again"

Andrews community. Can this possibly be responsibility? Can this really be representative of our student leadership? It certainly is!

Within an outlined framework, the program of the retreat proved to be more spontaneous than pre-planned, which heightened and intensified the success and meaningfulness of the day. However, two things dimmed the success of the retreat in the opinion of this writer.

First of all, the slim participation on the part of our elected "student leaders" limited the effectiveness of the retreat. Will these leaders ever know the ideas and feelings of both students and faculty that were brought to the surface on Tuesday? How will this possible majority of irresponsible "student leaders" possibly be able to carry these thoughts into their action in student government decisions and activities? The answer is, they won't!

Several elected and responsible "student leaders" presented the group with tremendously significant thoughts on the directions and moods that now prevail at St. Andrews. Several professors took time from their academic duties to come to this conference and to air their feelings about 'what's happening' at St. Andrews. Pastor Setzer, our only non-college representative from Laurinburg, took time from his pastoral duties to

hear what SA students were saying and feeling, and to express his view as to what we can do to more effectively reach into the Laurinburg community. Bill Wilson, an unelected "student leader" came to express his unique and valuable ideas about

unique and valuable ideas about where St. Andrews need to go. Sam Sutton provided a most valuable view to everyone on the feelings of black students on the predominantly white SA campus. All of the thoughts of these people need to be considered and possibly put into action. Yet these ideas will probably go no further than the campgrounds. A great number of "student leaders" who most needed to hear these things were not there. Where was the rest of the Senate who will ultimately put into legislation what needs to be done'? Where were the other two student members of the Code of Responsibility Committee, who met at 4:00 Tuesday? Where were the many members of faculty committees Student Life committee, and the numerous other student committees? They didn't have time. They missed out and now, so will we.

The second factor weakening the success of Time Out '68 was the restriction which limited the retreat to "student leaders." This failure cannot be justly blamed on anyone in particular, but on the student leadership power structure in general. Carol Lynn Wood



You mentioned that Mr. Nixon will help American to "build peace in the world" and not spread fear". I think it would be nice, but Mr. Nixon clearly does not speak this way. I would like to quote Mr. Nixon as he spoke in Pennsylvania on October 24, 1968.

Nixon Found Incompetent

"If we let those who threaten world peace outpace us, in time we will generate tensions which could lead to war -- first by our display of physical weakness and flabby will, and second, by tempting an aggressor to take risks that would compel us to respond."

"Our country has followed policies which now threaten to make America second best both in numbers and qualities of major weapons."

Thus Mr. Nixon's foreign policy is nothing short of instilling the fear of war upon the Soviet Union and keeping the upper hand by having more and bigger weapons. It is a dangerous game, that of an arms race, and the consequences

could mean the destruction of the world. Mr. Nixon's foreign policy is anti-communist --not pro-peace, not even pro-American, but anti-communist whatever the cost -- even if it means world war. I say this because I think that it is ridiculous to think that we would make a lot of nuclear weapons and then say that we would, under no circumstances, use them.

It is impossible for me to see how stepping up an arms race between the United States and Russia is going to in any way decrease tension in the world and make war any less likely. It will only insure the world of its destruction if world war does break out. I am convinced that this is not the way to insure peace, and I cannot vote for it.

On the domestic scene, I see little evidence that Richard Nixon is capable of dealing with the problems we face in our cities. He concerns himself with the effects of rioting, but does not show me that he is prepared to deal with the causes of rioting and by that I mean the problems of the ghetto, unemployment and racism. He instead levels attacks on the Supreme Court, and declares

th at "We should be uncompromising in resisting breaches of the law, whether they take the form of wanton rioting, of civil disobedience in the cause of civil rights, or of illegal strikes against the public health and safety." (Boston, February 15, 1968)

I am firmly convinced that more police, more "law and order", will no more solve the problems of the ghetto which seem to produce riots, that a larger army and more nuclear weapons will bring "law and order" into the world. Mr. Nixon's militarism is not the answer for our American society and it is not the answer for our troubled world and it will certainly not insure peace.

When I vote next Tuesday I cannot vote for Richard Nixon, Frankly, I enjoy my freedoms too much. I will vote for the one candidate of the three running who I think will best serve the cause of peace in the world and relief for the underpriviledged in our cities, I will vote for Mr. Hubert Humphrey.

Pete Cook

Two Faculty Speak At Furman

Two St. Andrews faculty members are appearing as guest speakers in the Babcock Foundation Lecture Series at Furman University. W. D. White, chairman of the Language and Literature division, spoke there Monday and Tuesday, and David A. McLean, associate professor of anthropology, will speak next week.



IF YOU ARE HUNGRY! EAT AT SHADY REST?

Because We Offer You What You Want.

The Finest Quality Steak Cut to Your Order

Your Own Chef or Toss Salad Created by You from Our Salad Bar

The Best Spaghetti in Town

Delicious Home Cooked Food at a Price You Can Afford

Just South of St. Andrews on Highway 401

"The Family Restaurant"

Shady Rest Restaurant

OPEN 11:30-2:00 and 5:30-10:00 Every Day Except Monday TEL. 276-3047

BILL EVANS CO., INC. Printing Office Supplies Typewriter Sales & Service

126 E. Church St. Phone 276-0519