

THE LANCE
St. Andrews Presbyterian College
 Laurinburg, N. C. 28352
Staff

Editor Sara Lee
 Associate Editor Charlie Pratt
 Associate Editor Wayne Warren
 Sports Editor Mark Kieber
 Business Manager Hunter Watson
 Advisor Mr. Fowler Dugger

This staff is committed to the guidelines set up for campus media as recorded in the Code of Responsibility calling for "Recognition on the part of authors, editors and commentators that freedom entails corollary responsibilities to be governed by the canons of responsible journalism, such as avoidance of libel, slander, impropriety, undocumented allegations, attacks on personal integrity, and the technique of harrassment and innuendo." The opinions expressed by individual authors are not necessarily those of the College or the staff of the Lance. Letters to the editor and articles are welcome, subject to space limitations.

Subscription Rates \$3.00 per semester
 Advertising Rates \$.90 per column inch

Graduation Gowns

Seniors are urged to consider carefully their rental of robes for graduation. There is already a good deal of concern among some seniors about the traditional black robes for graduation, on practical grounds as well as for other important considerations. Tradition is not really a part of St. Andrews yet; we are still vital and young enough to realize that. Our picking up then, of one tradition which is in reality meaningless to our academic accomplishments is almost an anachronism in the context of SA. Robes, it need not be pointed out, are also hot, bulky and uncomfortable. When it comes right down to it, students have accepted the tradition with no rational validification of why gowns must necessarily be a part of graduation.

It is suggested as an alternative that the senior class take a stand on the issues; that instead of spending five dollars of their graduation fees on renting a gown, seniors contribute the money to a worthy cause, either on campus or in the Laurinburg community. Six hundred dollars would be a sizeable contribution. But the majority of seniors must decide to do this, or it can't work. Dr. Hart and Dean Davidson have indicated their approval of such a scheme, provided seniors agree to do it as a group and that seniors dress "conventionally" for graduation, in dresses or coats and shoes.

There is a meeting of the Graduation Committee tonight at 6:30 in the Student Association Office. We strongly urge all seniors to attend and to voice their approval of discarding robes in favor of helping someone else.

Tenure Procedures

Editor's note:

This information was provided to the LANCE by Dean Davidson after several questions were asked him about tenure during WSAP's "Dial-A-Dean" program.

I am listing below some of the information about faculty tenure which I thought would be of interest to students, along with a list of faculty members who now have tenure. This information is taken from the Faculty Handbook.

Tenure

In no case is tenure automatic. Specific recommendation to and approval by the Board of Trustees is necessary in each case.

The evaluation of a faculty member for promotion and for tenure shall be made by his peers who have daily contact with him and who are in a position to judge accurately his contribution to the College. To be eligible for tenure, a faculty member normally should have earned the highest degree appropriate for his field.

Criteria Used in Recommendations for Tenure

1. Teaching performance: Classroom competence and skill and effective counseling with students.
2. Prior academic training and experience.
3. Continuing professional growth through scholarship, research, publications, creative achievements in the arts, and activities in professional organizations.

4. Service to the College: Participation in committee work and extracurricular activities, years of service, service as a representative of the college to off-campus groups, and contributions to community life.

After a faculty member has been given tenure, his services may then be terminated by the College only for adequate cause, except in the case of retirement for age, or under extraordinary procedures ruled by the Board of Trustees.

Termination "for adequate cause" may involve moral turpitude, professional incompetence, neglect of academic duty, or a significant violation of the terms of academic freedom.

Faculty Members with Tenure:

Alexander, William M., Bayes, Ronald H., Bennett, Carl D., Bennett, Margaret (Library), Bullock, P. Leslie, Crossley, Ronald C., Daughtry, John P., Doubles, Malcolm C., Fulcher, J. Rodney, Gelfert, Carl W., Hackney, Rufus R., Harvin, Harry L., Hix, Douglas W., Horn, Herbert A., Holmes, Elizabeth A. (Library), Joyner, Charles W., Lietz, Richard J. (Library), Ludlow, L. Spencer, McDonald, Arthur W., McLean, David A., Melton, George, Miller, G. Tyler, Neylans, Catharine E., Pedigo, Robert A., Prust, Richard C., Rogers, Helen, Rolland, William W., Smith, Alvin H., Somerville, Thomas C., Wade, Gentry W., White, W. D., Williams, John E.

Letters To The Editor

Dismissal Of Morrows Questioned; Information Sought On Room Search

To the Editor of the Lance:

The recent action taken by the Social Sciences Division appears to a significant number of students to have been based on a poor selection of possible alternatives; that is, alternatives which we see not only as possible but imperative. This action is the recent dismissal of Lance and Margo Morrow, two of the most responsible and prepared professors who have become a part of the St. Andrews faculty. Not only is their dismissal detrimental to St. Andrews, but the procedure taken for this action appears to have been unethical. To be given final notice as late as the end of February certainly limits the possibility of securing new positions, especially when this type of action is normally taken much earlier. For whose benefit was this late decision made? Does St. Andrews have to jeopardize the careers of others for its own security? For not only have the Morrows been affected by this practice, but so have other college personnel. Institution at heart!

This dismissal results not only in a substantial weakening of the Division (specifically history), but also creates a definite imbalance for the Junior C&C team. Because of this effect, not only are the students taking history deprived, but so is the entire Junior Class. We wonder whether this factor was given sufficient consideration when the decision was made? These questions and more concern the students which can neither approve nor be pacified by "administrative" answers. We do not want this appeal to be given token consideration, but would like the decision seriously reviewed.

Students in the Division

Dear LANCE,

To better inform the student body would you please print students' rights (and responsibilities) in regard to rooms being searched for drugs?

Uninformed student

Dean Decker commented on this question that a clear distinction must necessarily be made between inspection and search. Inspection is designed to make maintenance procedures easier. That is, it may involve looking at a dormitory room, but it does not include searching through a person's personal belongings. A search, on the other hand, could involve going through some belongings, but the procedures are carefully spelled out in the Code

Spring Recital

(Continued from Page 1)
 role of mad gypsy in the opera "The Medium", will present her senior recital May 7, in the LAA, at 8:00 p. m.

Two nights later, on May 9, SA's one music education senior, Mildred Broome, will sing her senior recital, also at 8:00 p. m. in the LAA. Senior piano major Tracy Moore presents his final recital on May 14, at 8:00 p. m. in the LAA.

The lab recitals, to which all faculty and students of the college are invited, are held every Wednesday afternoon at 5:30 p. m. in the Choral Rehearsal Room of the Vardell Building. These informal recitals offer the music students practical experience in performing before an audience.

of Responsibility.

They include 1) that the reason for the search and what is being sought, be written and on file with Dean Decker and given to all students involved, 2) that justifiable cause for a search is found to exist by Dean Decker, 3) that the student whose room is being searched is present if at all possible and 4) that a representative of the Student Personnel Office and the dormitory president, vice-president or the suite leader of that suite conduct the search. The president or vice-president of the dorm must agree that it is impossible for the student to be present, e.g. if he is hospitalized or if his whereabouts are unknown, before a search

can be made.

Students have the right to safety, privacy, freedom from others' disruptive conduct and to an atmosphere conducive to study. Students also have the responsibility to provide those rights for all, and to respect college property. The College is responsible for providing a security system.

In particular, students have the responsibility of knowing the implications which drug usage carries.

A full statement of students rights and responsibilities is found in the Code of Responsibility, p. 18 in the SALTIRE. Further questions may be addressed to Craig Barton, Attorney General.

Army Spying: They Can Watch Anybody, Anytime

BY MARSHALL GRAVELY

The recent Senate hearings on the Activities of the Army in keeping files on potentially violent persons and organizations raises a number of questions about protest and its effects on the military establishment.

The plan, called the Civil Disturbance Information Collection Plan, involved the keeping of computerized files on individuals and groups capable of violence--this meant several political figures, reporters, labor leaders and organizers. The surveillance also covered members of the NAACP and SCLC, certain church groups and leaders or participants in civil rights or peace demonstrations. The justification for this spying on private citizens was that the Army protects civil order, and, to do so, must know as much as possible about possible sources of civil disorder. Also though, the witnesses before Senator Ervin's committee stated that there was a violent minority bent on "the

destruction of America"--professional agitators.

The Army did this spying, however. It was not handled by regular law-enforcement agencies which are the first line of civil defense. This shows that the Army has made assumptions about its duty as a protective force which transform it into more than that. If these files were to be continued at all, which is illegal under the First Amendment, that Army should let the FBI or individual states handle them.

Although the committee ordered the files declassified, the Army stated that they felt it was legal--a point which will allow it to continue spying on whomever they decide threatens civil order--whenever they want to. They Army thus has decided it will be the self-appointed guardian of civil order in the nation--the secret police who will decide who can protest and much protest will be allowed before they go into action to prevent "chaos."

COMMUNITY DRUGS

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| <p>MICRIN MOUTH WASH & GARGLE</p> <p>12 oz Reg \$1.87c</p> <p>18 oz Reg \$1.94</p> | <p>GILLETTE PLATINUM PLUS</p> <p></p> <p>Double Edge 5's Reg. \$1.00 75c</p> <p>Injector 11's Reg. \$1.99 \$1.25</p> <p>Double Edge 10's Reg. \$1.99 \$1.29</p> | <p>KODAK COLOR FILM</p> <p>CX126-12 Reg \$1.90 99c</p> <p>CX126-20 Reg \$1.95 \$1.49</p> |
| <p>WEST BEND CORN POPPER</p> <p>4 quart 5468A</p> <p>Reg. \$9.95 \$5.99</p> | <p>WEST BEND PERCOLATOR</p> <p>9 cup 29360A</p> <p>Reg. \$11.95 \$7.49</p> | <p>BRIGHT SIDE SHAMPOO</p> <p>6oz by Colgate</p> <p>Reg \$1.95 69c</p> |

March

Specials