

Charges

Board. At this time the Board voted that the Executive Committee and Board advisor would establish by-laws and present them to the board for approval at the next meeting. Removal of members (no particulars) had been discussed at one meeting because of lack of participation but no formal action was taken.

5. The petition states that the C. U. B. is considering new regulations which treat grants for Dorm events as loans and require full payment of the principal and 60% of gate receipts. A proposal came before the Board which set down procedures for groups requesting funds from the C. U. B. Due to a lack of quorum, no vote was taken. The proposal did state that a percentage may be returned to the C. U. B.; however, the proposal did not ask for both full reimbursements and 60% of gate receipts.

6. The petition states that the C. U. B. attempted to cut in half the films to be shown this year without benefit of a full report or even in the presence of the chairman of the film series. At the November 8th meeting, it was brought to the attention of the Board that there was a possible overbudgeting by the movie committee chairman. Subjects discussed at this time were:

- a) attendance at the movies
- b) number of films under contract
- c) relevancy of having 4 films per month
- d) amount of money collected at the gate.

A motion that the film series be limited to two per month was tabled until a report could be obtained from

the movie committee chairman, who was not present at the time of this discussion. One of the Board members then summoned the film committee chairman from the dining area. The film committee chairman was asked to present a written report to the Board at the first C. U. B. meeting after Thanksgiving break but chose instead to report orally to the Board right then. The original motion was again brought up but, voted down. The Board would like to restate that the main reason for this discussion was to determine if there was, indeed, an overbudgeting, and if a movie every weekend was relevant. The C. U. B. does not wish to eradicate the film series, as so stated by the Lance.

We, the C. U. B. as an entity, represent the whole campus and it is the responsibility of the student to offer feedback on past and future programs that could be sponsored by the C. U. B. If you have any questions please stop by to see one of us and discuss it, don't let this opportunity pass by.

Ed Wilson, President
Shannon Huff, Vice President

Grada Byrd, Treasurer
Margaret Kays, Secretary
Kathleen Benzaquin, Advisor

Story

Executive Board be revealed.

This reporter frankly admits not understanding how cutting film showings from four to two a month is not cutting the series in half. Contentions that this would provide better movies are questionable in view of the already superior quality of the series. In the face of Thompson's counterarguments, contentions of preventing the film series from having a deficit do not hold up.

Reports of the meeting given to this reporter conflict greatly with the account given by the Executive Board and the CUB minutes. It should be noted again that the LANCE report was taken the day after the meeting in independent interviews. Further, while criticizing the LANCE for presenting an incomplete record of the meeting, the CUB presented minutes which did not accurately record:

- a) which members were present
- b) sponsors of all motions
- c) exact content of all motions
- d) a roll-call vote for each motion.

While the CUB contends the LANCE erroneously reported votes, a The LANCE figures are inaccurately, the number of people recorded voting in the minutes ranged from 11, to 13, to 14, and back to 13 again.

The CUB Executive Board presents a different account of the November 9 meeting is evidence that the meeting was indeed "stormy" and "confused." While allowing for differences of opinion on several details (most of which were not printed), this writer must stand on the account of the meeting substantially as it was written.