

THE LANCE

Steve Newton Editor
 Greg Piccola Managing Editor
 Steve Kunkle Academic Affairs
 Ed Neely Student Affairs
 Norman Moseley Sports
 Fred Harbin Editorial Cartoonist
 David Swanson Photography Coordinator
 Dennis O'Toole Business Manager
 Rufus Poole Circulation

Staff

Holly Allen Scott Robertson
 Hal Bailey Mike Snider
 Johanna Boxman Lisa Wollman

William J. Loftus, Advisor

Printed by The Laurinburg Exchange

Editorial mail welcomed. Box 757, campus mail.

Anonymous letters will not be published.

EDITORIAL

There is an orange sign on the ground floor of the Belk Center. You can see it proclaim, very boldly, to all the world, that the Student Life Committee is taking applications for the job of editor of **THE LANCE**. Lest you wonder that the present editor of this paper has suddenly reversed every position he's ever held, do not worry. He was not consulted. He has not even, as of this writing (Wednesday night), been sent a copy of the new restrictions Student Life wants imposed on **THE LANCE**. By speaking with two members of the committee and **THE LANCE** reporter on the scene we have established the following facts about the proposal:

1. The Student Life Committee has started accepting self-nominations for the past of editor of **THE LANCE** next year. The nominations will be screened by a subcommittee of the S.L.C. and the advisor of **THE LANCE** as well as its present editor. This group will recommend one choice to the Student Life Committee. The Student Life Committee will then make the final decision.

2. The editor or business manager will be required to present a monthly financial report to the Student Life Committee.

3. The newspaper will be required to carry a disclaimer written by Student Life which states that **THE LANCE** represents no one's official opinion and that the school and the student body claim no responsibility for what is printed.

4. The advisor of **THE LANCE** will have the right, even the responsibility, of checking the copy of each issue prior to publication for "libel," "slander," or "obscenity." None of these terms have been defined (even by the Supreme Court).

Settle in, folks, we're ABOUT TO GO POINT BY POINT.

Student Life put up the poster before the current editor of the newspaper was even informed of the decision. But common courtesy aside, let's look at the merits of the proposal.

1. **THE LANCE** selects its editors internally. So does virtually every other student organization on campus. The Student Life Committee has said, via this proposal, that hence forth a faculty committee shall determine who runs this particular organization. The Committee has not been able to point, in **THE LANCE**'s case, to any justification based upon non performance of the functions of a student newspaper. They were only able to say, "Something might happen, so we'd best prepare for it." Our managing editor, who sits on the committee and fought the whole thing, suggested that next week Student Life pass an ordinance requiring crash helmets be worn in the Student Union in case the ceiling falls in.

And what's next? The Cairn? WSAP? The Black Student Union? Let's face it folks, whether or not you agree with the editorial stance taken by this paper on any particular issue, if **THE LANCE** goes this route, the door is open for everything to come under the dominion of Student Life. **THE LANCE** is the largest target and has the most resources to bring to bear in



Sun Day, Wednesday, May 3
 and effective coalition for the
 development of solar energy.

Congress, President Carter,
 many federal agencies,
 businessman, labor unionists
 and consumers and en-
 vironmentalists will make
 Sun Day a nationwide event.

The Environmental Studies
 seminar has been debating
 the Carter Energy Plan and
 researching the effectiveness
 of its comprehensive goals.
 "The main goal," Allen said,
 "of Sun Day at St. Andrews is
 to raise the land of con-
 sciousness and make our
 community more aware of the
 potentials of solar energy."

If it's o.k. wth Student Life . . .

Asking for volunteers

Election results

votes) and Vivian Bikuledge (98 votes). Polling third was junior Randy Dinkins with 84 votes and Debbie Kauffman with 36 votes.

In the hotly contested race for the Presidency of the College Union Board, Craig Withrow managed a 219-156 victory over Scott Robertson. Withrow carried all the dorms except Granville and Mecklenburg.

The race for Secretary of the Student Association was decided in favor of Terri Jones of Concord over Bronwenn Woodson by a 213-141 margin.

Treasurer resulted in the third of the runoffs between Rick Scott (140 votes) and Jim Conyers (129 votes). Gary Blackwell was eliminated, polling only 81 votes.

Dawn Clark piled up 165 votes to narrowly avoid a run-off and claim the Attorney General's seat. Hal Bailey lost with 110 votes as did Cheryl Shapiro with 49.

President of the College Christian Council was another close race, not decided until the final results came in. Robert Poston was finally elected, edging Nancy Jackson 182-159.

Vice-President of the College Union Board went to Robert McWhorter over Barbara Barnas by a 196-153 majority. Carol Wall was unopposed for C.C.C. Vice-President and Tommy Brown mustered 181 votes to defeat Curtis Leonard with 156 for CCC Secretary-Treasurer.

Rick Pope, Joey Sherr, and Dean Ruff all won unopposed one-year seats on the Judicial Board. Wynne Segal (225 votes) and Janet Cole (230 votes) defeated Gary Hoyle (180 votes) for the two available two year seats on the Board. All three candidates for Student-Faculty Apple late Board, Michael Greene, Jon Rossi, and Brian Dolge won their seats unopposed.

The North Carolina Chapter Public Interest Research Group members elected were Mary Bowman, Wynne Segal, Jon Rossi, Jeff Walker, Anne Hinnant, Eddie Cashwell, and Cheryl Shapiro. No other candidates nominated.

To the Editor:

Any student or faculty member interested in helping out during the Fourth Annual Wheelchair Olympics, May 12-13, should contact Mr. Dean Betts immediately.

Please feel free to call (ext. 207) or stop by his office in the P. E. Center.

April 30th is the deadline for volunteers. Thank you.

Mr. D

M. Dean Betts

Judicial review

convicted without facing his accuser. Dr. Leslie Bullock said that "in no way should this be done." "Well," said Ron Diment, Assistant Dean for Residentail Life, "we have to do a little research of the campus situation." Dean of Students Bob Claytor, who had originally proposed the tight judicial guidelines to the SLC, said that they are trying to avoid "anything which looks as if there might be constitutional questions."

Also discussed was the binding legality of the student handbook, the SALTIRE. At issues was the point of whether what the SLC printed in the SALTIRE was legal just because they had written it. Garnett Conoway, who proposed this motion, said that the SLC had the power to pass down such binding legalities, whether it had pasdsed the Interdormitory Senate or not. Despite student opposition, such a statement will appear in nextyear's SALTIRE.

Also discussed was the case of the missing by-laws of the Constitution. These by-laws were printed in the 1973 SALTIRE, but left out of the 1974 issue by its editors, and all other following issues. The by-laws contain "a good deal of information, particularly on procedure" and what is at issue is whether, when the faculty approved the 1975 Student Association constitution without mentioning the bylaws specifically, they meant to delete the by-laws passed in 1973. Such a removal would cripple student government and lift many of the rights the students now have. Bullock said that "the faculty did not

(continued on page 3)

To The Editor:

A small sign outside the cafeteria indicates that the Student Life Committee will soon take nominations for editor of the LANCE. Just what do they think they are doing? Who do they think they are? What do they think the LANCE is? It is a student newspaper, isn't it? I agree that it is run with Student Association funds, but then is every other club, organization, and publication. That is their only connection with Student Life. Will Student Life begin picking CUB presidents? Club presidents? What about student body president, vice-president. . . Where will it stop? I say that it shouldn't even start! I think that this interference in the inner workings of the student body is appalling. To attempt to appoint the heads of the students' organizations is very different than over-seeing what the organizations do. It is contrary to everything St. Andrews should stand for. How can students grow and achieve and succeed if at every step of the way the administration makes decisions for us, even to the point of appointing "our" publications, activities, and club presidents? To appoint an editor implies the authority to dismiss one. To appoint the head of one student organization implies the authority to appoint (or dismiss) all of them. Is that the role that Student Life was intended to fill. I think not. It appears that Student Life sees no limit to their authority. Perhaps the LANCE can let us students know just what Student Life was originally appointed to do. That is, if it's all right with Student Life.

Dennis O'Toole

BOB'S JEWEL SHOP

The Place To
 Go For All Your
 Jewelry Needs!

MAIN ST.
 COLLEGE PLAZA

A free press can of course
 be good or bad, but, most certainly,
 without freedom it will never
 be anything but bad.

Albert Camus

Paid advertisement by WSAP.