Campaign Week is now in progress. Many of the prophets are promising to deliver "their children from the bondage of inactiveness."

The candidates for the presidency of the Student Council have given "soul-stirring speeches", have made it clear that they believe that they can do an excellent job if only they had the votes needed to win, and that if they are elected a "change is going to come."

Let's pray that a change will come. Let's hope that each one of them will be more active on campus than they have been for the past two years or more. Let's hope that they work harder in their administration than they did in the Student Council administration of 1964-65.

Under ordinary conditions it seems that a person who is seeking to get elected for a position as high as president or vice-president would have worked his way up to this position in one way or another. If one does a close evaluation of many of the candidates who are running for offices, he would find that many of them have had no previous experience in the Student Council. Consider the number of students who actually know the candidates.

The question is, "How can a person sell himself as being better qualified if he does not know the organizational structure of the position in which he is seeking?"

Perhaps if he had participated wholeheartedly, given his support in previous administrations, made suggestions where needed, and worked faithfully with whomever was in office, then he would have some qualifications to give.

Just what is considered "qualifications"? Is it being on the Dean's List? Is it being a member of a particular fraternity or sorority?

Does it mean having one's name listed in a number of activities of which he is INACTIVELY a part?

The Dean's List should not weigh heavily and neither should the Honor Roll. Both can be gotten on in some questionable ways.

Some of the "biggest nuts" to meet on campus are those who are determined to vote for their sorority sister or fraternity brother even