Racism, go home

There has been much ado in The Pendulum lately concerning the Confederate flag. It's clear that there are strong emotions on both sides of the issue. I, as many Northerners do, see the flag as a symbol of treason, slavery, ignorance and hate. While I cannot and should not ban the right to display this flag [such an egregious error would have terrible Constitutional consequences], there are ways to sway public opinion which isn’t can be embraced.

The Confederate flag at Elon isn’t much of an issue; it’s limited to what can be brushed aside easily, such as a random bumper sticker and a certain apartment in The Oaks which has it obviously on display. There is, however, a pertinent and current issue which affects Elon directly: athletics.

Sanctions by the NCAA and endorsed by the Black Coaches Association have been placed upon Southern states [mainly Mississippi, Georgia and South Carolina] and institutions displaying a Confederate flag. The most recent event came in January, when the NCAA rejected a proposal to extend a ban on certain athletic activities to “include championships awarded on merit” [playoffs] from the aforementioned states. The NCAA based its decision on the lack of political influence the schools; they are not to be punished for what is beyond their control. We agree with this decision. Yet there are still actions to help foster a collegiate atmosphere without the foul stench of hate hanging about.

To take the moral high ground, Elon athletics should undertake two policies which will be the subject of an upcoming article.

First, to refuse to partake in any sporting event held in Mississippi. This policy can be viewed as largely symbolic and undirective given that no school in Mississippi is part of SoCon and in the 2006-2007 academic year, no Elon sporting events occurred in the state.

Second, the university should vow to immediately terminate participation in any sporting event at which a Confederate flag is prominently displayed, regardless of the state.

Will this cause some forfeits for Elon? It is possible. But the righteous path is often the more difficult one.

If the issue continues to be a thorn in the side of these states and individuals, change can happen. One university, one student body can affect another. And another. And then change will happen.

-Spectrum's stance on the issue. The organization is not an official sponsor. We neither support nor condemn the actions of those who attended the protest, though we continue as always to support events that advance gay rights and support freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.

As individuals, though, our opinions differ. To us, the gay rights movement boils down to one very simple concept: the First Amendment of the Constitution. It means being allowed to say, to think and to feel whatever you like. That is why we personally disagree with the protest.

We fully support Monaghan’s rights to say and to believe whatever he chooses about gay rights or abortion. We may not always agree with him, but that’s okay, too. That’s what we’re trying to change, and it’ll be a long road, but we’ll get there.

We have found no instances of Monaghan attempting to incite violence against GIBTs. If there were, this would be different. But he has only offered not-so-uncommon opinions.

Also, we feel that this is an inappropriate venue for such an event. Monaghan is coming to speak about business. Not his religion, not where he donates his money, not his stance on abortion. Another important part of the gay right’s movement to us is the utmost respect for personal privacy.

We feel that this is an intrusion upon Monaghan. We urge all Elon students to always support free speech, and not just when they agree with what is being said. We encourage the Elon administration to bring speakers with all sorts of viewpoints to campus, and for there to be lively discussion.

However, perhaps there are better ways of having this discussion than to protest a man for his religious beliefs when he is only trying to talk about his business.

-Allison Carter and Brandon Tyma '09

Free speech for everyone

By now, some of you might be aware of a “protest” that occurred during Wednesday’s presentation by Domino’s CEO Tom Monaghan. For those of you who don’t know, the so-called “sit-out” was in protest of Monaghan’s involvement with Ave Maria University, a conservative Catholic institution, and his stances on gay rights and reproductive rights.

As co-presidents of Spectrum, Elon’s straight alliance, we’d like to clarify its point that this letter has nothing to do with gay rights or abortion. Spectrum has not been brought in to settle the debate of Monaghan attempting to incite violence against GIBTs.

We do not wish to comment on the protests. We believe that Monaghan and his company, Domino’s, should not have been protested against. We wish to give our students the right to make their own decisions, not be told what to think.

Don’t mislead your audience

I am writing about the “Sex is complicated, always come prepared!” article. I understand that space limitations likely prevented you from exploring some of the differences between birth control methods [birth control methods include condoms, diaphragms and oral contraceptive pills], but I feel as though you neglected a key difference: STD prevention. In fact, the sentence “Whether you use a condom, diaphragm, vaginal ring or birth control, using protection is essential in preventing unwanted pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases” is particularly misleading.

Only condoms have been shown effective at preventing [some] STDs. While a diaphragm, vaginal ring and oral contraceptive pill are effective at preventing pregnancy, they do nothing for preventing transmission of Gonorrhea, Chlamydia, HIV/AIDS, etc. For high school and college
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