

# Little Love Shown By 'Hate' Groups

Christianity is based on love, not romantic love as much as love for God and those around us — those we come in contact with every day. The United States is supposedly one of the most Christian nations in this world of sin. We are the light in the wilderness, the hope of tomorrow. It does seem a shame that the light of the wilderness sponsors more "hate" groups than any "sinful" nation in the world.

Russia — well, the Russians hate capitalists and non-communists.

China — The Chinese hate capitalists, non-communists and Russians.

France — now, the French don't know just whom they hate; they change from one day to the next depending on how the world wind is blowing.

England — at the moment the English just hate call girls.

Ah, the United States, land of freedom, home of love. Making the cupid on our valentine is the John Birch Society with such a cause. Its motto: "Think — Hate!" Hate Negroes, Jews, Catholics, anyone who isn't pure, all American. But then the John Birchers also hate the American Indians too — such a shame.

Cupid's arrow can be made of groups such as the DAR (Daughters of the American Revolution), the Daughters of the Confederacy and other groups that preserve American history. At those Tuesday afternoon tea parties the one thought most preserved is "anti" — anti-England, anti-Yankees, anti-Negro, anti-immigrant, anti-anti.

Cupid's arrow bag can be made of America's HATE papers, the "white paper," the "Negro paper," etc. These gems of literature, usually signed with "in the love of Christ our Savior," can be found all over our country. There are even those printed by groups professing to be ministers of Christ, who want to use Hitler-type methods of exterminating our Jewish population. It would be easier to send all Jews to Israel and drop a bomb on the whole lot. Happiness would come to so many that way.

There are some who believe that it would be heavenly to send all Negroes "back to Africa" and drop a bomb on them too. This would bring happiness to so many.

It would be fabulous if we could send all Catholics to Rome, all Spaniards to Spain, all Mexicans to Mexico, all Cubans to Cuba, all Puerto Ricans to Puerto Rico, all the English to England, all the yellow people to China; but where would we send the Indians? In fact, where would we be ourselves?

—Sally Osborne

Student Government elections will be held in March. The ballot was to be printed in this issue, but due to the editor's mistake, it did not reach the press in time for printing.

The ballot, however, will contain changes which could be made in the constitution. It is up to us, as students of Mars Hill College, to decide the qualifications our leaders should have. Our leaders represent us to the outside world. If you agree with the standards set on the ballot — put yes; but if you disagree — put no and why you disagree.

It will only take a minute to cast your vote one way or the other. If you don't vote, you need not criticize the leaders we have and will have.

Published by the Students of Mars Hill College

# The Hilltop

Box 486-T, Mars Hill, N. C.



Second-class postage paid at Mars Hill, N. C. Published 15 times during the college year.

Volume XXXVIII Feb. 22, 1964 Number 10

### STAFF

Editor-in-Chief ..... Sally Osborne  
Business Manager ..... Jim Daughtry  
Sports Editor ..... Bill Deans  
Circulation Manager ..... Ken Huneycutt  
Religion Reporter ..... Martha Penley  
Reporters ..... Faye Shaw, Barkley Hendrix,  
Dolly Lavery, Bessie Cline,  
Tom Hall, Ralph McGee  
Typist ..... Rebecca Gurley  
Faculty Advisor ..... Walter Smith

## LITTLE MAN ON CAMPUS



## Letters to the editor...

After reading the letters written by Bessie Cline and Jerry Davis, I supposed that both students were engaged in a bit of collegiate spoofing, but somehow Mr. Davis' letter had a sharper edge than the previous one written by Miss Cline. Perhaps I feel this way because I am a woman or more significantly because I am a married woman.

Mr. Davis' statement that the world could not function without women "only in a biological sense" was especially perturbing. I am not advocating the "superiority of women" cause, but to say that women are needed only for biological functions is quite an unfair statement. I prefer to think that my life is more than a vessel for reproduction. True, a man and a woman were created to replenish the earth, but there is so much more to be done.

As a wife, I am needed by my husband not only physically, but mentally, socially, emotionally and spiritually. If we are to place the function of woman, or man, on a simple biological scale, we are to be reduced to the mere title of animal.

Going further, is the ability to give birth — as Mr. Davis has stated—the only "real advantage" the female has over the male? On the other hand, is this really an advantage? Obviously a woman cannot give birth to a child without the assistance of a man; therefore, should not a man and woman use their "God-given characteristics" in a supplementary manner?

Mr. Davis stated that some girls misuse this precious gift, for popularity, etc. Who persuaded them that this was the way to popularity? Who told them that "everybody does — besides, I love you!"

Concerning the issues of eating in the cafeteria, going to the library, and making better grades — I have the feeling that boys about equal girls on this score, but what about the implication that girls lure boys away from their studies? If this be true, is it not evident that boys really do not mind if a girl aids creation by visiting Sears and Roebuck?

In his last paragraph, Mr. Davis separates the human race into three categories: men, humans of

low intelligence, and women. Obviously since there is a section for men as well as a section for women, some people — both male and female — must fall in the middle section. In conclusion I must say that, in my opinion, women will never be replaced by a test tube in a laboratory. Take a poll and find out how many men would be content to marry, date or meet their needs with a test tube.

—Mrs. Nancy Thomas

This semester is new. Last semester has become a memory—only a memory. Yet that memory has been jotted down in the form of A's, B's, C's, D's or F's. The value of a grade has been undoubtedly overemphasized; yet grades do have a value. I have repeatedly heard that teachers abhor depositing grades in their severe-looking little old grade-books; nevertheless, the chore is theirs.

As a dean's list student, I may be alluding to myself, but let the finger point where it will. It saddens me that after two and one half years at "the Hill" I feel that grades are rationed out unfairly on occasion. This "on occasion" deserves qualification.

If a student has previously made excellent grades or if a student has ease of tongue, often that student receives the benefit of the doubt gradewise. This "benefit of the doubt" may include as much as five or six points of benefit. This to me seems more benefit than doubt, dear Editor. At the same time, there are others who, in lacking less than a single point receive no "benefit of the doubt."

Equality is an undefinable term perhaps, and I am wary of speaking in undefinable terms. But my contention is that more of this abstract term should be exercised in issuing grades.

I feel that a student should be given a grade in a course on the basis of his performance in that course, not on previous achievement or future promise. There have been incidents on "the Hill" which fall short of the "all men are created equal" clause, and most of these incidents have concerned grade-giving vs. grade-earning.

—Mary Horton

## Separating Trivia Essential Ta

Commentators on our age agree on little but they are almost unanimous in pointing out that more change has taken place in the 50 years than in any other comparable period in history. This rapid rate of change makes difficult to find a set of values or a sense of purpose in life. Old ideas and institutions way to new ones, and we cannot uncritically ape the manners and morals of previous generations lest we find ourselves obsolete as as out of style.

The surge of interest in the Peace Corps indicates that many American young people are interested in being more than just wage earners and consumers. They want to feel they are doing something useful. There are many problems in our world still to be solved: poverty, ignorance, disease, social unrest, political uncertainty. These tasks will challenge the best that human ability and determination can muster, but we will be helpless to help them unless we have some vision of the world we are trying to achieve.

The college years should provide an opportunity to examine various philosophies and world views in order that the student may decide on his goals in life and his course in pursuing them. It is not important for the student to learn everything about life; it is necessary that he learn what is important in life.

—Ellison Jenkins

## Belated Valentine Thoughts Express

Courtship is a man pursuing a woman she catches him.

—Anonymous

Love, an episode in the life of man, is the entire story of the life of woman.

—Mme. De Stael

The only victory over love is flight.

—Napoleon

Marriage is the only evil that men pray for.

—Greek Proverb

Love is a contact of epidermises.

—French Proverb

Women have become so highly educated that nothing should surprise them except bad marriages.

—Oscar Wilde

The heart that loves is always young.

—Greek Proverb

Love is the most fun you can have without laughing.

—Anonymous

Faults are thick where love is thin.

—James Howell

The more causes the girl finds to regret she did not marry you, the more comfort you will feel over it. It isn't poetical, but a mightily sound doctrine.

—Mark Twain

Who travels for love finds a thousand not longer than one.

—Japanese proverb

Reprinted from CREEK PEEBLE