

Students Legal Rights Are Clarified by Statement

EDITORS' NOTE: The following is a statement issued Feb. 2 by Bill Early, SGA President, concerning student legal rights.

In a recent meeting of the Judicial Council of the SGA, the question of when a student had to be informed of his legal rights during an investigation was brought up and discussed. As you may recall, this was a very important issue in a recent court case that left the court in a difficult situation. Since student freedom presupposes acceptance of the Student Government procedure for dealing with a breach of that trust, and since a student's rights are an integral part of the procedure, the Judicial Committee concluded that each student should exercise the responsibility of informing himself of his rights.

Therefore, I would like to inform you that should you commit any violation that you will be informed of your legal rights by the Student Attorney General prior to any discussion he may have with you. Any

other person that you talk with prior to this time will not have the responsibility of informing you of your rights.

I have taken the liberty to present these student rights to you in hopes that you will read these and that this question will not come up again. Not only will these be given to you now, but next year we will publish them next to the code of conduct so that everyone will be sure to read them. If you have any questions as to this procedure, please come by my office.

Rights of Students

- A. Right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty
- B. Right to refuse to respond to questions that would tend to be self-incriminating
- C. Right to a speedy trial
- D. Right to an assigned counsel or a counsel of his choosing from among those students under the jurisdiction of the Student Court hearing the case
- E. Right to summons material and

character witnesses from the college and community. (Material witnesses are those possessing direct knowledge of the case under the consideration or those having technical or expert information relevant to the case.)

- F. Right to a separate trial upon request
- G. Right to face the accuser
- H. Right to question any material witnesses or evidence
- I. Right to plead innocent without fear of being tried for lying in relation to that plea if proven guilty. (This does not disallow being tried for lying if the defendant lies in order to support a plea.)
- J. Right to be free from retrial for the same offense after acquittal
- K. Right of subsequent appeal as enumerated in Section 6, Mars Hill College, Student Government Association Constitution
- L. Right to waive any of the above rights provided that he does so of his own free will and in writing.

I think that that was wrong. I don't mean wrong sinfully but mistaken. It was done in good faith and not to consciously exclude. It was just new and folks did not really know how to go about it. I wish I had been consulted at that time for I would have liked to have given my opinions of how to go about it. Because I think it was done wrong.

ZIP: You mean you were never consulted about the change and meaning of CSM?

LONG: That's right. I did not know the first thing about Encounter "71", which is the first effort of some type of a religious happening on campus.

Nor did I know anything about the nature of CSM until time for freshman orientation last semester, when I was then given information about what indeed had been planned and created. So at its creative level, where the thing was given life and started, it was not done on the ecumenical claim, at least in terms of representatives from what had been active denominational groups on campus prior to that time. But now the leadership is trying to drop back and regroup from an ecumenical perspective. It is hard to do now for we found this out last semester during the first of the school registration. I had given the word to those students who had previously been in MSM to go through the registration line and make sure they signed their names on the list to join the CSM. So when they did they were getting such remarks from those who were handling the list—"Aren't you in MSM?", as though some of the kids at the desk had not yet grasped what CSM was all about. They were still questioning those who were of MSM as though this was not their group. So in this conception there was not a whole lot of clarity in all of our minds because some were thinking of it as one type of organization and others were thinking of it as a different type.

ZIP: What do you think of the Encounter 71-72? Do you feel the purpose is valid?

LONG: I think the purpose of Encounter is all wrong. The purpose reads: "Encounter 72, represents an effort by Mars Hill College students to confront the campus with Jesus Christ. Outstanding Christian concert groups, films and speakers offer guidance to individual religious search." Well, I think the bit about confronting is wrong and those you call the Jesus Freaks I call the God

Squad. You don't go up to someone and tell him that God's the only way and start preaching hell-fire and damnation. When a person knows he's getting preached at he'll turn you off real quick. I think a perfect example of this was when I was in New York just recently. While walking down the street there was a preacher on the corner confronting everybody that walked by if they had accepted the Lord Jesus Christ as their savior, at the top of his lungs with his finger high in the air telling them they better hurry, for God would get them. So as I walked on further down a group of Jesus People were telling a drunk man

that was lying in the gutter that he was beautiful and that God loved him very much, and then they left. They didn't point the finger and didn't shout to the top of their lungs, they said their say and left. To me this man would respect them and would remember more from them than from the other preacher. Another thing I thought was wrong with Encounter was that they had too many preachers and Billy Graham movies. I think this Encounter will be better and I don't think I should be on there, so when I have to talk it will not be on religion! But to me the CSM is really just another club at Mars Hill College.

because last year the BSU was just for Baptists. There were others in there. We didn't have as many as we do this year. People last year heard BSU was just for Baptists. The main reason we changed the name and we changed union to CSM because we wanted it to be out to the campus since we were recruiting members. At the first year we set up a table at the registration and asked those who wanted to join to sign up for CSM. About a hundred signed up; but only about thirty came to meetings. Isn't that recruiting?

ZIP: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

the new CSM. ZIP: Is the CSM still the BSU in some extent? And what do you think of the CSM?

LONG: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

WHO? ME, ...

BOBBY?

Mrs. Doe:



WERE VERY PROUD OF OUR SON. HIS HAIR IS SHORT, HE'S CLEAN CUT, WEARS A DARK SUIT AND BLACK TIE. HE'S OUR PRIDE AND JOY!

But those ol' long haired hippies! Just disgraceful! AND those beards—Eugh! PEACE, PEACE, PEACE! Is that the only word they know?

Sharon Walker '72

Religious Bias ... Cont.

because last year the BSU was just for Baptists. There were others in there. We didn't have as many as we do this year. People last year heard BSU was just for Baptists. The main reason we changed the name and we changed union to CSM because we wanted it to be out to the campus since we were recruiting members. At the first year we set up a table at the registration and asked those who wanted to join to sign up for CSM. About a hundred signed up; but only about thirty came to meetings. Isn't that recruiting?

ZIP: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

the new CSM. ZIP: Is the CSM still the BSU in some extent? And what do you think of the CSM?

LONG: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

because last year the BSU was just for Baptists. There were others in there. We didn't have as many as we do this year. People last year heard BSU was just for Baptists. The main reason we changed the name and we changed union to CSM because we wanted it to be out to the campus since we were recruiting members. At the first year we set up a table at the registration and asked those who wanted to join to sign up for CSM. About a hundred signed up; but only about thirty came to meetings. Isn't that recruiting?

ZIP: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

the new CSM. ZIP: Is the CSM still the BSU in some extent? And what do you think of the CSM?

LONG: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

because last year the BSU was just for Baptists. There were others in there. We didn't have as many as we do this year. People last year heard BSU was just for Baptists. The main reason we changed the name and we changed union to CSM because we wanted it to be out to the campus since we were recruiting members. At the first year we set up a table at the registration and asked those who wanted to join to sign up for CSM. About a hundred signed up; but only about thirty came to meetings. Isn't that recruiting?

ZIP: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

the new CSM. ZIP: Is the CSM still the BSU in some extent? And what do you think of the CSM?

LONG: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

because last year the BSU was just for Baptists. There were others in there. We didn't have as many as we do this year. People last year heard BSU was just for Baptists. The main reason we changed the name and we changed union to CSM because we wanted it to be out to the campus since we were recruiting members. At the first year we set up a table at the registration and asked those who wanted to join to sign up for CSM. About a hundred signed up; but only about thirty came to meetings. Isn't that recruiting?

ZIP: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

the new CSM. ZIP: Is the CSM still the BSU in some extent? And what do you think of the CSM?

LONG: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

because last year the BSU was just for Baptists. There were others in there. We didn't have as many as we do this year. People last year heard BSU was just for Baptists. The main reason we changed the name and we changed union to CSM because we wanted it to be out to the campus since we were recruiting members. At the first year we set up a table at the registration and asked those who wanted to join to sign up for CSM. About a hundred signed up; but only about thirty came to meetings. Isn't that recruiting?

ZIP: Well, first of all, I think I've got both praises and criticisms of it. I think CSM is a definite step in the right direction, whether to say it's a change in name or a change in emphasis—whatever it turns out, it really is a step in the right direction. So far as it is trying to move away from denominational separations and experiment some way to find a workable co-operative new form of ministry to this campus. Now in so far as it is new, it is moving out into new territory it has never explored before. I guess it's bound to carry a whole lot of baggage with it, it's kind of slow to break out of the form with which we have become familiar. So I do think it is a step in the right direction. But again, from my perspective, I think it by no means has gone far enough into becoming ecumenical. I think at least at this point, and I've said this to those that are the leaders of the group, and this is no secret that these are my feelings. It is not an ecumenical group at this point. I think it is trying hard to become one and making some mistakes along the way and learning from those mistakes so that it is falling back and continuing to readjust. For instance: the basic idea as I understood it, and what we had undertaken to do when last year I disbanded the Methodist Student Movement was that a new organization would be created and formed and in the creation of it, all those who had been a part of the various denominational groups would be brought together. We would together talk about the nature of this organization, the election of whatever offices or leadership that we needed. And out of this ecumenical gathering would have come this new organization, the CSM. Well, in fact, that's not the way it happened. The way CSM was created, as according to the information I've been given by those that are the leadership there, that it took place wholly within the context of last year's BSU. The BSU gathered itself and decided that it needed a more ecumenical flavor to it. Solely within the context of the BSU, renaming took place and election of officers and some prior definition of what the nature of the organization would be. Well,

**Play it Smart
with your
Valentine Heart,
give her...**

PANGBURN'S

Western Style

CHOCOLATES

Give your Sweetheart Pangburn's Chocolates made with Milk and Honey. Sure to please her most because she knows Pangburn's best. Select from our big display.

MARS HILL PHARMACY

truthfully say is
ive a damn about
ns whether they
red or yellow
matter. We are
le?? Wish it was
o say I am very
o what to think.
my side it seem
aining is a lot
itting down to the
y do anything, you
plain. It seem
of people have
ately. Again I re
problem of racism
s Hill. I tried to
something, but I
to give up so to
whether black or
olea or help.
I may be cheating
going deeper into
ybe I am but I
nto it any deeper
like to study it
ally know what I'm
like I said before,
stand. Be patient
ll have a long w

groups.
has this to do
more particularly
my opinion, it po
question. Is it p
others in moving
as many as we do this year
ce sheltered from
counter with the
not. Put plainly
eeling of unreality
me and we changed union to
t has almost no P
s community. The
erience in that co
ysfunctional. It do
life; it is a re

at to be debating
ss of playing D
ction in 1971 is a
Isolation. Unles
increase the cultur
our student body
ates will contin
asy world.
Donald Anderson
(professor)

eligious
rview

We are trying to make it for
as long as they have a basic
for Jesus Christ.

talking to Joy, I went to see
m Long. Over a bowl of
Riff pipe tobacco, he went
views of the old BSU and