

Hough : and Summer Session

Dear Editor:

I am concerned about the misunderstanding which has resulted from the policy passed on September 12. As Director of both summer school and the January Term, I would like to try to interpret the present policy.

First, I am including some background information. Students and faculty were very critical of the 3-7 summer calendar even before we had begun; and particularly after the June Term, the criticism grew. As a result, a committee of students and faculty met twice and then held an open meeting. After the open meeting, it was decided that a questionnaire should be circulated in order to gain a better understanding of the feeling of faculty and students. Eighty percent of the respondents to the questionnaire favored a return to two sessions (5-5, 5 1/2-5 1/2, 6-6) and eighty-five percent of that group favored two five week terms. With that information, the Admissions and Academic Standards Committee presented the proposal which was passed by the faculty in September.

The following is my interpretation of the present policy:

1. The June Term was eliminated because most students coming to summer school did not want innovative courses. However, some courses will be offered for short term credit during the summer. In addition, several students are already designing independent proposals to satisfy a short term during the summer.

2. There are now two five week terms scheduled for next summer (June 4-July 6 and July 9-August 10). A student could complete 4 courses during that ten weeks period thus allowing a student to graduate earlier. However, a student may find 16 hours in ten weeks may involve more work than he can accomplish in that period of time. Twelve hours will probably be the normal load for a student.

3. The short term requirement was reduced to three for incoming freshmen (fall, 1973) in order to give them more flexibility. Tuition costs for the short term will become part of the two semesters rather than a separate charge. This is

an attempt to keep the overall tuition from rising in 1973-74.

The change was made to give more flexibility to the summer program and thus to give students more options rather than less. If it has created a problem for any student, please come by my office in Administration 21. I believe together we can effect a reasonable solution.

John M. Hough, Jr.
Associate Dean for Academic Affairs (Director of Summer School & Short Term)

to the student affairs council by not saying that we want you to consider this?

Kuykendall: No, we made that point rather clear. I got up and said I do not care whether this proposal is passed, I don't care whether it is defeated with stipulations, I just want you to consider it in committee.

Hilltop: But did not the student affairs council do their homework?

Kuykendall: The charter says there shall be no tipling house within four miles of campus and Gehring said in essence this means no drinking on campus, but in essence that does not say what it is suppose to mean... it just simply says that there will be no establishment that sells alcohol... it doesn't say anywhere in that charter that a student can not have a drink.

Hilltop: Historically, Baptist in-

but he doesn't have divine inspiration... at least I haven't found this out yet, I'm sure after this interview he will inform me if he does or not. The board of trustees is made up of intelligent men, even they make mistakes, they will be the first ones to admit it. The North Carolina Baptist Convention is made up of people and people do change their minds...

Hilltop: But they haven't changed their mind.

Kuykendall: Right! Because nobody has ever questioned it.

Hilltop: What was the strategy behind your move after the student affairs council rejected the drinking proposal?

Kuykendall: In talking it over Duane, Frank and I tried to come up with something else besides resigning from S.G.A. We hashed it over for a long, long time and we decided to wait until we had talked to George Peery, who is the faculty advisor to the S.G.A.

Hilltop: Who was the main proponent of the idea to resign?

Kuykendall: We all had the idea in our heads. We talked to Peery and told him the way we felt; if it is a toy we do not want to play the game and if it is business we want to be in it, but we didn't perceive it to be business. Peery listened mostly and so we decided that this would be the best thing to do (resign) and it was the only thing to wake students up. I think it worked, I think it really worked... students were inspired. Our next step was to get the senate to reject our resignations.

Hilltop: Did you think at that point you could still work within the framework of S.G.A.?

Kuykendall: We have always said that the framework of S.G.A. was great and the philosophy was great, but only that the people outside wouldn't let it work.

Hilltop: Did you feel the same way after Dr. Bentley's talk?

Kuykendall: We thought we did one thing wrong and that was to let Dr. Bentley have the speech on his grounds, that wasn't a good thing to do. We thought he did make some concessions, however small they may be.

Hilltop: Why do you think the senate accepted your resignation

and rejected Duane and Frank's? **Kuykendall:** My first thought was that the senate has seen Frank work in the judiciary and Duane has been in the senate and they have seen the hassles he has gone through to get where he is. The president of the student body is a person you really can not see everyday doing his job, so they don't know what the presidents job is. In there conception I was not the president of the student body they wanted.

Hilltop: Why do you think Duane accepted the job of President?

Kuykendall: I think he rationalized himself into the job... he found an easy way in and took it. I don't believe he should have taken it this year!

Hilltop: Why?

Kuykendall: Many reasons, the way he got for one, he is a senate president rather than a student body president.

Hilltop: You are saying that he doesn't have the support of the student body?

Kuykendall: I think that was evident in the petition that Frank passed around on Monday. Students were saying listen to us at the Monday night meeting. Procedures wouldn't allow it. Your main objective is students, and that is who you should have been listening to. To hell with the procedures... students are more important!! It is a question of whether you want to follow procedures and get caught up in them or whether you sincerely want to be a government for students.

On Line!

By Sam Easterby

Hilltop: How valid do you think the administration's position is towards what they consider non-negotiable issues?

Kuykendall: I think it is rather funny that when we went to Christmount there were two things that Dr. Bentley was unalterably opposed to and those were, inter-dorm visitation and drugs and then we came back on campus this year and there were three things that Dr. Bentley was opposed to; drugs, inter-dorm visitation, and drinking on campus. Now there are five things that Dr. Bentley is unalterably opposed to; drinking, drugs, inter-dorm visitation, a student on the board of trustees and a student on the administrative team as a member.

Hilltop: But, Dr. Bentley, in actuality has no control over the membership of the board of trustees.

Kuykendall: But, he did say he was opposed to it.

Hilltop: Do you think that a mistake was made in presenting the drinking proposal passed by senate

stitutions are against drinking...

Kuykendall: Who is to decide what is historical? Historically they are, but why not question that. The old line that truth without question is false. If you do not question what is happening around you then you are saying there is no use doing anything, because that is the way everything is.

Hilltop: Did you not consider the problem of constituency?

Kuykendall: Of course we did.

Hilltop: Don't you think that allowing drinking on campus might very well be a death blow to Mars Hill?

Kuykendall: But our whole proposal was never to allow drinking on campus.

Hilltop: But by deleting this rule from the handbook you are allowing drinking on campus.

Kuykendall: But everybody in that council meeting knew that the proposal would not be passed. What we wanted to do was get answers for students instead of saying Dr. Bentley is opposed to it. Dr. Bentley is an intelligent man

The public is cordially invited to attend the October, Behavioral Sciences Departmental Meeting, October 23, 1972 A.D. Rabbi Friedman of Asheville will speak in Chambers Gym on 'The middle east crises'. Arabs Welcome. Emile Durkeim

SUPPORTS
Hilltop
advertising
for a
better
paper

You've still got time to get that

Homecoming Corsage



MARS HILL FLORIST
MAIN ST., MARSHILL

Weaverville Milling Co.
REEMS CREEK ROAD
(on the creek)
"Mill around" with Epicureans:
RICO, MILT, MIKE, STOWE, NICK,
JUSTICE, + FRANCESE
BUFFET DINNERS
Mon - Sat 6-9
SPECIALS - MON-THURS WITH
Mars Hill - I.D.
645-9000
"We're Not Run of the Mill!"

COME TO THE
Mars Hill
Pharmacy
For the
LATEST
In Cosmetics
featuring:
REVLON
BONNIE BELL
LOVE
AND
YARDLEY.