Continued from the first page.)

fo far as regards the duties, but real judg a fo far as regards the falary. It mult be a falary thin, and not, the dutie; which conflitute a judge -For my part, I do not know under what class of things to range them, or what name to give them. They are unacknowledges by the letter, fpirit or genius of our constitution, and are to me non descripts.

There is another deficulty under this conff uction fill to encounter, and which also grows out of the constitution .- By the constitution, a new flate may be formed by the junction of two or more fates, with their affent and that of Congress. If this doctrine, once a judge and always a judge be correct, what would you do in fuch an event, with the di!triff judges of the flates who formed that junction? Bo h would be unnecellary, and you would have in a lingle flate, two judges of equal and concurrent jurisdiction; or one a read-judge with an office, and another a qual judge without an office. The flates alto forming fuch junction, would be equally embairaffed with their flate judges; for the fame construction would be equal y applicable

Upon his confirmation alfo, an infa libility is predicated, which it would be arregance in any human inflitu ion to affirme, and which goes to cut up legislation by the roo . We should be deba red from that, which is in. dulged to us from a higher fource, and on Subjects of higher concern than legislation, I mean a retraction from, and correction of our errors. On all other subjects of legislation we are allowed it feems to change ou minds, except on judicial fubjects, which of all others is the most complex and difficult. I appeal to our own fla u e book to p ove this difficulty; for in 10 years Congress have passed no less than 26 laws on this fobject.

I conceive fir, that the entire by which a judge holds his office, it evidently bot omed on the idea of fecuring his honefly and independence, whill exerciting his office. The idea was in roduced in England, to counteratt the influence of the crown over the judges; but if the coult uction new contended for that! prevail; we shall in one millaken imitation of this ou" favo ite prototype outflrip hem; by flaithing, what they have not, a judicial oligarchy; for here, their judges are removable by a joint vote of Lords and Commons. -Here our are not temovable, except for malfessance in office, ; which mai-fessance could not be committed, as they would have no of-

Upon the whole fir, as all courts under any free government must be created with an eye to the administration of julice-only; and not with any regard to the advancement or emo. lument of individual ment; as we have under niable evidence before u., hat the creation of the courts now under confideration was totally unnecessary; and as no government can, I apprehend, ferroully deny that this legiflature has a right to repeal a law enacted by a preceding one; we will, in any even, difcharge our duty by repeating this law; and thereby doing all in our power to correct the evil. If the judges are in i led to their falaries, under the conflicution, our repeal will ro affect them; and they will no doubt refore to their proper remedy : For where there is a condimitional right, there mult be a remedy.

Af er Mr. B skenridge closed his remarks there was a confiderable paufe, when the Prefident again read the refolution, and enquired if the house was ready for the qualtion,

Mr. Olcott, of New-Hampihire, thought the fubject was of fo much importance as to merit fu ther confideration. The a guments of the gentleman from Kentucky, however ingenious, had not convinced him that the law ough: n be repealed. It had not rifen like a mulhroon in the night, but the principles on which it refled had been fe iled after mature reflection. He thought it would be ext aordinary before any inconvenience had been discovered, to let such a law afide. For thefe reasons Mr. O moved the postponement of the confidera ton of the queffion.

Mr. Cocke. of Tennellee. This aft is faid to be entirely experimental, and it is further faid, that no inconveniencies had arifen under it. He thought ferious inconveniences had arifen. The inconvenience of paying 137,000 dollars a year was truly ferious; and it was an inconvenience which ought to be got rid of as foon as politible. It was expected that gen lemen opposed to the refolution would come loward with their arguments againfl it. If, however, they had no arguments to use, he shought his frie. d. from Kentucky had brough forward reasons fo cogent and experimental that the house must be convinced of the propriety of the re-

Mr. Dayton, of New Jerley, truffed it was nor the disposition of the mover to press a decision to-day. He sought it would be improper to polipone the discussion, as gen, tlemen would thereby be precluded from of-

foring their opinions on the fubjeft. He haped the motion for pollponement would be an opportunity to fpeak.

Mo ion wi hdrawn.

Mr. Jona. Mafon, of Millachusetts, faid it would be agreed on all hands that this was one of the most important queltions that ever came before a legillature. Were he not of this opinion he would not have rifen to offer his fentiments. But he felt fo deep an intereft in the quest on, and from the respect which he entertained for the diffrict of country he reprefented, he dremed it his duty to meet the fub. ject, and to be fatisfied with giving to it his filent negative.

It was well known, and he prefumed it would be readily agreed to, that no people on earin, for the last twen y four years, had been so much in the habit of forming systems of government as the people of the United Sta es. Nor had any people been fo fortunately lituated for cool and correct deliberation. In the conflitutions they have formed, it would appear that there had been an uniform, concurrence in the establishment of one great prominent feature, and also in the application of one uniform principle to that feature. That the legisla ive, the executive and the judicial should form the three departments of governmen, and that they fould be diffinet from and independent of each o. ther .- And the more the proceedings and fentiments of the people were examined, the more clearly would it appear that all the new additional checks created, had been applied to adjust the relative weakness or strength of the feveral departments of government. The same principle had been observed in the old world, whenever an opportunity prefented for forming a confliction, having for its object, the protection of individual rights. -It accorded too with the uniform opinions of the most celetre ed historians and politicians both of Europe and America; with the opimions and practices of all our leg flatures. Nor had Mr. Mason ever heard any one hardy enough to deny the proptic y of its observance.

He well recollected that among the great grievances, which had routed us into affertion of our independence of England, it was declared in the influment affering that independence " that the crown had the appointment of judges dependent on its will and favor."

From all these circumstances he concluded that the people of America, when they formed a fystem for their federal government, in ended to establish this great principle; and the conclusion would be confirmed by an examination of the confliction, which in every fection recognized or reterred to it.

The conditution, in the conflruction of he executive, legillative, and judiciary departments, had astigned to each a different tenure. -The l'refident was chosen for 4 years ; the Senate for 6 years, fubjeft toa preferibed ro ation biennially; the House of Representatives for two years; and the judic ary during good behaviour. It tays to the Profident, a the expiration of every four years, you that revert to the character of a private crizen, however fplendid your talents or confpi uous your vir. tue. Why? Because, you have assigned to you powers which it is dangerous to exercife. - You have the power of creating offices and officers .- You have prerogatives .- The temp. tation to an abuse of your power is great. Such has been the uniform experience of ages. The confliction holds the fame language to the Senate and Hoofe of Representative: : -It fays, it is necessary for the good of forciety that you also thould revert a flort periods to the mais of the people, because to you are configued the most important duries of government, and because you hold the purse flrings of the nation.

To the judiciary : What is the language legifla nee. applied to them? The judges are not ap. pointed for we, four, or any given number of years; but they hold their appointment for life unless they mifbehave themselves. Why? For this reason. They are not the deposit. taries of the high prerogatives of government. They neither appoint to office, or hold the purfe-firings of the country, or legiflative for They depend en irely upon their talents, which is all they have to recommend them. They cannot, therefo e be disposed to pervert their power to improper purpoles, What are their duries? To expound and apply the laws. To do this with fidelity and fkill requires a length of time. The requifite knowledge is not to be procured in a day. These are the plain and flrong reasons which mult flrike every mind, for the different tenure by which the judges hold ther offices, and ever liberty exiffs.

geffature as of the executive. Because the ego? Does not and manifold presupts ton?

daties which they have to perform, call upon them to expound not only the laws, but the withdrawn, that other gentlemen might have could u ion a fo; in which is involved the power of checking the legillature in cafe it pals any laws in violation of the conflication. -For this reason it was more important that the judges in this country should be placed beyond the control of the legislature, than in other countries where no luch power attaches"

Mr. Mason challenged gent'emen to exhibit a fingle inflance, beside har lately fur. nished by Maryland, of a legislative act. repealing a law palled in execution, of a Con. Iti ution, under which the judges held their offices during good behavior. In truth, no fuch power exilled, nor was it in the power of any legislature, so circumstanced, by a fing e law o dalh them out of existence.

The opinion of Mr. Mafon, therefore, was that this legilla ure have no right to repeal he judiciary law. For fuch an all would be in direct violation of the Coulli'u ion.

The Conflication fays :- " The judicial power of the United States shall be velled in one Supreme court, and in fuch inferior courts as the Congrels may, from time to time, ordain and effablish. The judges, both of the fupreme and inferior con is, shall hold their offices during good behaviour, and fhall, at flated times, receive for their fervices, a compensation, which that not be diminished during their continuance in office."

Thus it lays, " the judges that ho'd their offices during good behaviou." How can this direction of the Confliction be complied with, if the le . la ure thatl, from fession to fession. repeal the law under which the office is held and remove the office. He did not conceive that any words, which human ingenuity could devife, could more completely get over the remarks that had been made by the gentleman from Ken-ucky. But that gen leman fays, that this provision of the confitution applies exclusively to the President. He confidets it as made to superfede the powers of the Prefident to temove the judges. But could this have ben the contemplation of the framers of the Conflicution, when even the rights of the President to remove officers at pleasure, was a mater of great doub, and had divided in opinion our moll enligh ened citizens. Not that he flated this circumflance, because he had doubts. He thought the prefident ought to have the right; but it did not emanate from the Constitution; was not expressly found in the Conflitution; but fprang from legislative confiruction .-

Besides if Cougress have the right to repeal may repeal the law fo far as i. app ics to a partieular diffritt, and thus get rid of an obnoxious judge. They may remove his office om him. Would it not be abfurd flill to fay, that the removed judge held his office during good behaviour?

The Confluction fays, " The judges, thall at flated times, seceive for their fervices, a compensation, which shall not be dim neshed this provition? Why guard against the power to deprive the judges of their pay in a domi. nution of it and no provide against what was more impor ant, their exillence-

Mr. Mafon knew that a legislative body was occasionally subject to the dominance of violent paffions; he knew, that they might pafs unconflicational laws; and that the judges, fworn to lupport the co. floution, would -cfuse to carry them into effect; and he knew that the legifla are might contend for the execu ion of there fla u.es. Hence the necessity of placing the judges shove the influence of the e pathonss; and for he fe reasons the Con-

bill, if gentlemen would not agree with him as to the unconflitutionality of the mea\_ fure propoled, he would alk, was it expedient? Were there no great doubts existing throughout the United States ? Ought not each gentleman to lay, though I may have no doubts or hefitansy, are not a larger portion of our citizens of opinion, that it would vinlate the Confliction? If his divertity of fentiment exists, ought not the evils under the judiciary law to be very great before we touch it ? O ght we no: to aim at harmonif. Maryland which had repeated a law respectrence; an inflrument which ough not lightly to be drawn from is bollowed retreat, and fubjected to the thux and reflux of palfrom. But where is the cuit complained of? they are fuch as will e emally endure where. This fy fless was effeb ifhed only infi fellion : fearcely had it wer been organif d; fearcely On examina ion, it will be found that the had we tried it on it's very threaling a where people, in forming their conflication, meant then the necessary or being to printed, at to to make the judges as independent of the Pe- deflirey a fynem fearcely formed three days

Will it not manifelt more magnanimi y, more rationality to abide by it until we try it; in. fleed of taking up a pen and dalhing it out of exillence?

The reason that the fuits depending were not fo numerous, arofe from the nature of the old establishment. That establishmen had no parallel. It carried with it the feeds of its own diffolution. No fer of judges could be found phyfically hardy enough to execute it. Such was he labour of their duties, that they were denied time for fludy or improvement. Besides a case was heard at one term by one judge, and postponed for consideration to the next term. A that term another judge appeared, and all the arguments were to be gone over anew; and the fame thing might happen agrin and again. Was this the way, to extend juffice to our citizens? Was not the delay equivalent to a denial of juffice? It was a fact that three-fourths of he time of the judges had been taken up in travel-

It may be true, that the number of fuits in the federal courts is leffened; and if the internal taxes are to be swept away, it may be flill more leffened as far as depends upon that fource. But is it possible, that fuits will go on diminishing as the gentieman feems to think? Is reason so predominant? Is the milenium fo near at hand? On the contrary is not our commerce increaling with great rapidity? Is not our wealth inc cating? And will not controverfies arife in p oper ion to the grow h of our numbers and proper y ? Conrove fies, which will go to fede al tribu. nals as foon as the judiciary fystem is fully established. By the document quoted by the gentleman from Kentucky, it appears, that more bufinels has lately been done in the federal courts, than in any o her attecedent time, except in one or two coun ies in Pennsyl-

Belides (faid Mr. Mason,) even if there be not a great preflure of buliness, had we 'no better pay the patery fum of 30 or 40,000 dollars for a fystem too broad, than have one that is too narrow? Is it not a melancholy confideration, that in many of the European States, the coffs are equal to the principal con ended for ? It would be honorable to the United States to exhibit a different example. It would be honorable to them to hold out an example, even if confined to foreigners, of prompt and efficacious justice, though at the expence of 100,000 dollars. Su'h an exambie would be a cause for national triumph, and our people would exult in it.

Inalmuch, therefore, as to render the judges the whole of the law, they must possess the respectable, it was necessary to make their apright to repeal a fection of it. If fo they pointments permanent, as time, labour, ex. perience and long fludy, were required to perfect any man in a knowledge of the laws of his country; inafmuch as it has been tho's good policy, that the judges should be well paid ; and that they should be pared as to be divetted of all lear, and nei her to look to the eight or to he left; mafmuch as they finuld be fo placed as to rende them inde. pendent of legislative as well as of executive during heir continuance in office " Why power; he hoped this law would not be re-

These were the reasons, which Mr. Mason affigned as hofe which would influence his decision. He acknowledged, that he hade no entered the house prepared to offer his fentimen s ; but as the question was about to be pur, he hid thought i beft to offer them, fuch as they were, rather ban to give a fi. lent vo e on a fubject of fuch great impora .

Mr. Wright, of Maryland, faid it must be agreed that his fubject, was one of great importance from i s'ell et upon our revenues. If the repeal of the act of last teffion was conflitution had put them out of the power of the thitutional, he prefumed there could be hinle doubt of its expediency from the documents on our table. Has the conflicution vetted the legillature with a power over the subject of the resolution? If so, then should a law, which had been the effect of a flux of pallion, be repealed by a reflux of teafon. He believed that it had been introduced at the period of an expiring administration. It had been refilted by the republican fide of the Sena c; and he truffed ha: now on he return of reason it would be repealed.

An allufion had been made to the flate of ing inflead of dividing our cirizens? Was not ing he judicinry. Mr. W. here quoted the the Conflication a facted inflrument; an in. confliction of the flate, whose provisions, he firument ever to, be approached with reve. oblived, fo far as respected the tenure of the office of a judge, corresponded with those of the conflitution of the United States .- The Legellature of that flate had been of o pinion, and correctly too, that they did pof. fels the power, of repealing a law formed by their predecellor. And the legislature of the U. 5 ster pollelled the fine power. The they had already de crimined by the very act. of the tall fellion, which, while i cremed a

Continued on the fecond page ) .