Conntinued from the firt prge.

 the dutie: which conthtute a judge-zor my part,
1 do not $k n o w$ under what elafs of things to range knowledges by the leterer, foirih. or geniug conntitution, and are to me non deforipts.
There is anoher dififculy under this conIf uetion fill to encoaner, and which alfo grows out of the conftitution.- By the conflition of twonr more flates, whith their affent and that of Cong efs. If this doctrine, once a judge and aiways a judge be correct, what trit jodges of the flates who formed that junction? Bo h would be unneceffary, and you wou d have in a lingie flate, iwo judges a read.judge with an office, and another quaft judge without an office. The lla es a
to forming fuch junction, would be equally embaralled with their flate judges; for the fane con?ruction would be equal $y$ applicable to them.
is predica:cd, which it would be infalibility as predica:cd, which it would be arregance in goes to sut up légiflation by the roo c. We
fleuld te deba red from that, which is in dulged to us from a higher fou ce. a ald on fubjeets of higher corcern than legifla ion, I

On all other fubjetts of legiflation we are ailowed it feems to change ou minds, except on judicial fubjects, which of all others is the moft complex and difficult. I appeal
to our own fta u e book to p ove his difficuliy; for in to years Congrefs have paffed no lefs than 26 la ws on mis fatiocc.
I conceive fir, that the enare ky which a
uidge holds his oflice, it evidently bot juige holds his office, it evidently bot omed
on the idea of fecuring bis honefly and independence, whilt exercifing his office. The att the inflaerce of the crowa over the judges ; but if the confl vetion new contended for thall Pevalt; we thait one mataken wita ion ry. Aaifhing, what ther bave nor, a jucicial otgarchy; for here: their judges are remo-
vable by a jewt vo e of Lords and Commons. -Here fur are not temovable, except for mal feafance in office, ; which mal-featance could not be commited, as they would have no of.
fice.
Upon the whole fir, as all courts under any free government muf be created wihh an cye
to the adminiflration of jullice-only; and not wilk aly egard ro the advancement or emo. lumen: of irdividual men:; ar we have unde. niable evidence before $u$, hat the creation of the courts now undel contideration was total-
ty unneceffaty; apd as no gove:nment can, I appreherd, ferioufly deny that this legifature hat a iglet to repeal a law enated by a preceding une; we will, in ary even, difcharge our daty by repeaing this law; and
thetely doing all in our power to correct the evil. If the jucges are in i. led to their fa. laries, under the conflitution, our repea' wili
ro iffet then'; and they will no doubs sefore to that proper rumedy: For where there is a Af er Mr. B-akenridge ciofed his tematks there was a confiderabic paufe, when the l'refident azain icad the refolution, and enquired if the houfe was ready for the $q$ vition,
Mr. Olcott, of New. Itampihire, thought the fubjert wat of fo mach thener ance at to merit fu ther confideration. The s guments
of the genteman from Kentriky, however ingeninets, hat not coivituod han that the law ougin $n$ be tepraled. It had not rifen like a mullaroon is the night, but the princi-
ples on which it relts had been fo thed afier mature taltetion. He thought it would be eat aordinary before any meonvenience bad been difcovered, to fet fuch a law afide. For thefo reafons Mr. 1 moved the poftponement of the confidera ioa of the quellion,
Mr. Cocke. of Tennelfee. This at is faid to be entirely' experimen'al, and it is funther faid, ther no inconvanieneies had aveniences bad arifen. The incozvenience of paying 137,000 doliars a ysar was truly fe. oaghe to be got $r d$ of at foon as poffible. Is was expetted that gen lemen' oppoled to the refolution would come forward with their ara guments againflit. If, however, they had no arguments to ufe, he thought bis frie d from Kentucky had brough forward reafons fo cogent and experimental that the houfe
mufl be coavinced of the propicisy of the repeal.
Mr.
Mr. Dayton, of Nelv jeriey, trufled it wat not the difpofition of the mover to prefs a decifion to-day. The Fiongly it would be
improper to potipone the difcufion, as gen. ilemen would phereby be preciudid from of-
firing thac opinions on the fubject. He bup wihdrawa, that other gentiemen might have opportunity to fpeak.
Mr. Jona. Mafon, of Mirmachufets, faid
would be agreed on all hands that thic was it would be agreed on all hands that this was
one of the molt imporiant queftons that ever one of the mot imporiant quettrons that eve opinion he would nothave rifen to offer his fe
timents. But he felt fo deep an interef timents. But he felt lo deep an intereft
the queft on, and from the refpeet which entertained for the difliet of country he repte fented, he dremed it his duty to meet the fub. $\mathrm{j} E \mathrm{Et}$, and to be fatisfied with giving to it his fi. lent negative.
It was well known, and be prefumed would be readily agreed to, hat no people on 50 , fuch in the habit of forming fyend f government as the people of the United uf government as the people of the United
Sia es. Nor had any people been fo fortunately fituated for cool and correet deliberaion. In the conflitu ions they have formed, form, concurrence in the effablifmaent one great prominen feature, and alfo in the application of one unifurm principle to that fature. That the legntative, the execulive ments of governmen, and that they foould be diflingt from and independent of each o. ther.-And the more the procecdings and Centiments of the people were examined, the nore clearly would 1 appear that all the new ed additional checks created, had been appli-
ed adjuft the cla of the feveral depa-iments of government. The fame principle tad beep obferved in the oid word, whenever an opportuni'y pre fented for forming a contti ution, having for its acco ded too wi hithe unitor:n opitions of the mofi celetre ed hiflorians arid yoliticpans the moft celcere ed hiflorians and yoliticians
both of Eu'ope and America; wi th the opimons and practices of all our ieg fatures, Nor
had Mr. Mafon ever heard anv one hardy enough to deny the prop ic $y$ of its obfervance.
He well rerollected hat a miong the proat He wall rerollected hat aming the groat grievances, whict had ouled us into affer: ion
of ouriadependence of England, is was declaredeth the inflrumentaffer'ing tha' indepenof judges dependent on its will appointment of judges dependent on its will and favor."
Froinall thefe circumnlances he conclud that the people of America, when the fo:med that the peopie of Amer:ca, when hey formed
a fyftem for their federal governinen, it ended 10 eflablifh this great principie; and the conclufion would be confirmed by an exami nation of the confli ution, which
fection recognized or re:eried
rection recognized or reieried to 1 t .
The conflitation, in the conflrution of he executive, legitlative, and judiciary depa:t
ments, bad afigned to each a different lewure ments, had abigned to each a different tesure
-The Irefident was chofen for 4 vea's'; the senate for 6 y ears, fubjett toa prelscribed ro a tion biennially; the Houfe of Reprefenta ires for two years; and the judicary duning gand
behaviour. It fays to the Pictidenv, a the expiracion of every four yeas, you thal. rever to the character of a privaie ci izen, however fplendid your talents or confpi uou your vir.
the. Why? Beraufe, you have affigned to you powers which it is dangerous to exercife. - You have the power of creating offices and officers.-You have prerogatives. - The iemp. tation to an abute of your power is great, Such has been the uniform experience of ages. The confli ution holds the fame language to the Senate and Hoofe of Reprefentalives -It fays, it is neeelfary for the goud of fo. ciety that you alfo thould revert a thart periods to the mals of the peoplo, beeaufe to you are config ed the mofl impotiani duties of go ve'nimen', and beconfe you'hold the purfe flrings of the nation.
To the judiciary: What is the language pointed for :wo, four judges are not ap. of years; but they hold their gippoinement for life unlefs they mifbehave thenelves. Why? For this reafon. They are no: the, deppfi taries of the high precogatives of goveroment. They neiher appoint to office, 'or hold the paife-firings of the conn'ry, or legifatuve for it. They depend en irely upon- their ralents, which is all they have to recummend them. They cannot, therefo e be difpofed to pervel their power to improper pulpofes, What laws. To do this with fidgii $y$ and apill the quites a leng'h of time. The requifie know. ledge is not to be procured in a day. Thefe are the plain and flong reafons which mult tirike every mind, for the different tenure by which the judges hold ther offices, and they are fach as will e ernally endure where. ever liberiy exifts.
Oa cxamina ion, it will be found that the people, in forming their confliution, meaut $\mathrm{g}^{\text {flatere }}$ as of the extcitive. Betalfe the
datie which the have to perfurm, call upon con!l it hal a fo; in which is involved the power of checking the legifisture is cafe it For this reafon it was more important that the judtres in this country fhould be placed in ond the countroul of the legiflature, than
in where no luch power attaches to ibem.
Mr . Mufon challenged gent'emen to exnifhed by ine inflance, befide tha: lately fur. pealing a laveyland, of a legiflative act. re pealing a law paffed in execution of a Con
hi ution, under which the judges held their offices during good behavior. In ruth, no of any jegiflature, fo circumflanced, by ung'e iaw o dath them out of exiftence.
Tue opinion of $\mathrm{Mr}_{r} \mathrm{Ma}$. that his iegilla ure have no right io repeal he diect violation of the Conflid a ion. The Coutlinution fays:-"

The judicial power of the United States flatl be vefled cuurts as the Congrefs may, from umeto ine, ordain and effablifl. The judges, both of their offices during good behaviour, and fhall, at flated thmes, receive for their fervices, a compentation, which thati not be duminilhed Thus il lays, "t the judges fall
ffices during gond behaviou." How that their offaces during gond behaviou'. How can this if the is on la ure thall, from feffion to fition fhe le da ure flall, from Eeftion to feifior and remove the office. He did not conceive hat any words, which buman ingenuty could he remarks that had been made by the ge: leman from Ken-ucky. But timigen leman play, that this provifion of the conflitution ap-
platively to the Prefident. He conidets iv as made to faporfede the powers of the uffident to temove the joudges. But could of the Conflicution, when even the rignts of he Prefident to remove officers at plafure, was a tas ter of geeat duab, and had divided in opinion our moft enigh ened citizens. Not had doubts. He thoughe the prefidinte he had doubts. He thoughe the prefident ougltt from the Conttiturion
found ia the Conflitution
found is the Conflitution; but fprang from Befides if Cougrefs.
Befides if Cougrefs have the right to eepeal ight to repeal a fection of it, if fo the may repeai the low fo for they pastubular diffrict, and thus get rid of an ob.
noxious judge. Finey may remen his noxious judge. They may remove his office fom him. Would it not be atfurd flill 10 fay, that the removed judge held his office
during good betaciour? during good betraciour?
The Contlution fays, "The jadges, fall a: hated manes, terewe for the:r fetvites, a
compelfation, wh ch fhall not be dim nithed durng heir coninuance fin office ". Why
this provition? Why guard this provilion? Why guard arainft the power lo deprive the judges of their pay in a danl. more impor ant, their exillence-
Mr. Mafon knew that a legiflative body was occalonally fubject to the dominance of pafo uncunfli utional laws; and tiat the jightect fworn to luppo the so th uition, would fufe to carry them isto effett; and he knew that the legifa are migh contend for the exe. cu-ion of they 04 a.es. Uence the necellary of placing the judges rbove the influence of the e epathonss ; and fo- tus fo reafons the CureAttution had put than out of the puwer of the leg.fa ne
genilemen would no: agree with fure propofed, he would afk, was it expe dient? Were here no g eat doutis exiffing throughout the United States? Oagit not each genileman to lay, though 1 may have no doubis or hefiransy, are no: a larger portion of our cilizens of opinion, that it wosld vios fentimen exifls, ought If his diverfity of the judiciary law to be vary great before we touch it ? O-ght we no: to aim os harmonif. ing iollead of dividitg cur cinizens? Was not the Confliotion a facied inflrument; on in flrument ever to, be approached with reve. rence; an inflruanco which ongh not light ly 10 be drawn $f$ om is linhiownd revecat ard fubjected to the tiux and telias of pal,
fion. But whese is the cvil corn !aind ai? Thisfyllea was eflab ifted only iafi fethon: fcarcely had it ye: been or anif ? ; Carreiy



Will it not masifen mate mognanimi $y$, more lead of taking up a pen and dafhing it out exilfence? The realon that the futs depending were pid eflabhithment. That eifablifhnen hat no parallel. It carried with it the feeds of is own diffolution. No fer of judges could tis found phyfically hardy enough to execute it Such was the labour of their duties, that they vere denied time for fludy or imporemen Befides a cale was heard at one erm by one jadge, and poftponed for confideration to the and. A that term anoher judge apgone over ane $N$; and he fame thing be gone over anew; and he fane thing might happen agminand agat". Was this the way
to extend juflice to our citizens? the delay equivalent to a denial of as no the delay equivalent to a denial of jutlice?
It was a fatt thac three. fouruls of be tine of the judges had been taken up in uravel ling. - the federal true, thar the number of fuits in the federal courts is !effened; and if the in-
ternal :axes are to be fiwent away, it may be fill more leffened as far as depends upon oo on diminifling as polle, fuis will co on dmmithing as the gemtieman feems to milenium fo near at hand? On he contraty pidity? Is not our wealth inic calng? And Will not controve:fies arife in $p$ opor ion to
the grow $h$ of our numbers the grow h of our numbers and propery?
Conrove, fies, which will go to fede al tribu nals as foon as the judiciary fyftem is fully eflablithed. By the docament quoted by the genteman from Kentucky, it appears, ha
more bufinefs bas more bufinefs has lately been done in the fede ral courts, than in any 2 her attecederit time,
except in one or two coun ies in Pennfy!Befides (faid Mr. Mason,) even if there be oot a gieat pecflure of butinefs, had we no bet er pay the paltery fum of 30 or 40,000 dollars for a fyitera too broad, than have one
that is ton narrow? Is it not a melancholy confideration, tha: in many of the European Staics, the cofts are equal to the principat
con'eoded for? It souid be honorable to tha Unied Siaces io exhibite dirnorable to the It would be honorable to them io hold out an example, even if confined to foreigecers, of prompt and effecatious juftiec, though ai the expence of 100,000 doliars. Sis han exambie would bs a caufe for narional triumph, and our people would exul in it.
Inafmuch, therefure, at
nafmuch, therefore, as io render the judges pontreen:s permanent. as time, tabut, perience and long flady, were required to peffect any unan in a knowledge of the laws of his compiry ; inafmuch as it has been tho's good policy, that the judges Chould be well paid; and that sicy fhould be pared as to be
tivetted of ail fear, and nei har ach thesight or to he left; iwafmuch as ther fhruid be fo placed as to rende then inde. pendent of leginative as well as of execuive power; he hoped this law would not be re Thise were the resfone, which Mr. Mafun affgaed as hofe whinch wouid influence his decifion. He aclinowiedged, that he hat fentimens s; but as the puepared to uffer has he pil, he hid thoughi is befl to offer then, fuch as they were, rather han to give a $f$.
lent, vo e on a futjed of fuch great impot. Mr. Wrigh, of Maryland, faid it mull be agreed that ins fubject, was one of great importance forl is efl at apon our revenues. tine repeal of the adi of lait teflion was conltutionat, he prefumed there couid be timle on our table. Has the contlisution velled the legillsture with a power over the fubject of the refolution? If fo, then thould a law, which had been the cffect of a flux of palhon, be repealed by a rellux of teafon. He be be repealed by a rellux of reatun. He be-
lieved that it bad been. iniroduced at the period of an expiring adminillia ion. It tad been refilled by the republican fide of the Sena c ; and he trulted iha: now on
An alfufion liad been inade to the flate of Maryland which Aad repeatel a the fefe of Ma hejdiciny W here trefpeet. ing he jidiciry. Mi. We here quoted the blavel, fo fa as refpecied provihom, he the office of a judse, currefooided with thote of the conitration of the United Siace - The the contlitation of die enited Siates.-The L. oriaure of slat flate had been of o Pinion, and corretily too, that they did puf. lefs the power, of repeding a law formed by
thei, predecelior. And the legifature of ib:
 ivy untalready do struned thy very of

I2 La fellion, which, white i creared : (ovsinued on the ficond pages.).

