WILMINGTON GAZETTE

Published weekly by ALLMAND HALL, at THESE DOLLARS & YEAR,]

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1803.

[Vot. 7, No. 358.

CONGRESS.

House of Representatives.

Monday. October 24.

Amendment of the Constitution. The house resolved itself into a committee of the whole on the report of a select committee on propositions of

amendment of the constitution. Mr. Varnum in the chair.

The report was read as follows : Mr. Dawson observed that at the time of the adoption of the constituseen by some gentlemen at that day. the country had been placed by the controverted election of a chief magistrate; it was one which he trusted never would return. It had been a subject much reflected on by the people, and by the state legislatures, several of which declared their approbation of the principle contained in the resolution reported by the committee. This house had two years since ratified a similar amendment by a constitutional majority of two thirds. At the principle of the amendment. All. the objection then made was on account of the lateness of the day and considered it unnecessary to make amy further remarks at that time, as he could not anticipate any or je tion the constitution, viz.

the committee should rise and report the resolution without amendment. Mr. J. Clay, though in favour of the principle of the amendment, was of opinion that, as to some of its parts, it

that might be urged. He moved that

required alteration. He, therefore moved

" But if no person have such majority, then the house of representatives shall immediately proceed to choose by ballot from the two persons having the greatest number of votes one of them for President; or if there he 3 or more persons having an equal numher of votes, then the house of representatives shall in like manner from the persons having such equality of votes, choose the president; or if there be one person having a greater number of votes not being a majority of the whole number of electors appointed, than any other person and two or more persons who have an equal number of votes one with the other, then the house of representatives shall in like manner, from among such persons having the greater number of votes and such other persons having an equality of votes, choose the President."

Mr. Van Cortlandt thought the a mendment liable to objection.

Mr. G. W. Campbell was in favor of the principle contained in the amendment. He considered it to be the duty of that house in ingroducing an amendment to the constitution on this point to secure to the people the benefits of choosing the I resident so as to prevent a contravention of their will as expressed by electors chosen by them; resorting to legislative in possible cases that may happen. terpusition only in extraordinary cases: and when this should be rendered necessary, so guarding the exercise of legislative power, that those only ahould be capable of legislative elcetion who possessed strong evidence of enjoying the confidence of the penple. This was the true spirit and prinsight of the constitution, whose object was, through the several organs of the in his place.

The Speaker said it was not in or government, faithfully to express the public opinion. Tog this reason be was in favor of the proposal wounds, ment. By it we shall make a kessing navation on the spirit of ale constitution than by rejecting it, and adopts fully to comprehend the two proportions rignation of the office, than heretofore. At present the whole number of electoral votes is 176. As the Constitution now stands, four candidates might have an equal number of sotes, or three might have a majority, viz. 117 each. According to the proposed amendment, but one can have a majority, and two persons should be equal and highest, it is not probable that the third candidate will have ma-DV Votes.

Mr. Griswold said it was very difficult to accertain the precise import of mittee. The amendment offered by the gentle-

man from Pennsylvania, by barely bearing it read from the chair. In the meaning therefore, which he gave it, he might perhaps be mistaken, it inolved a principle, and implied a chance, which he never before heard suggested on that floor, or in the part of the country from which he came. It is well known to every member that under the constitution as it at present stands, the votes given for a President. in this house are by states, and not according to the majority of the members of the whole body. The amendment, as reported by the select comtion, that part of it which related to mittee, preserves this original feature the election of a President and Vice. of the constitution by prescribing that the election shall be proceeded with evils, likely to occur, had been fore-seen by some centlemen at that day. But the present amendment varies Experience had shewn that they were be made without respect to states. at mistaken. Every gentleman in Of course a majority of the members are to decide. He submitted it to gentlemen, whether they were willing in this way to sacrifice the interests and rights of the smaller states. If this be the intention of gentlemen, we ought to have time to deliberate on. the subject, before it is pressed to a decision. The gentleman from Penpsylvania will explain whether this be his intention.

Mr. J. Clay begged leave explicitly to state, for the satisfaction of the that time no objections were made to gentleman from Connecticut, that it was not his intention to change that part of the constitution which prescribed that the election should be by thinness of the house. Mr. Daw on states; and if it would induce the gentleman to vote for the resolution he had moved, he would add the words of

> " But in choosing the President, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state baving one vote; a quorum for this purpose, shall consist of a member or members. from two thirds of the states, and a majority of two thirds of the states shall be necessary to a choice."

These words were accordingly ad-

Mr. Dawson observed that this proposition had been submuted to the se ect committee, who had considered it more objectionable than that reported. Their object was to incovate as little as possible on the constitution. A great part of it referred to cases so extremely remote that it was not likely to happen. The only material change it made, was to reduce the number of persons from whom choice should be made from three to two. At present the election for a Piesident and Vice-President vas made from the five highest on the list. As, according to the proposed amendment a designation of the persons voted for as President and Vice-President was to be inode, it was considered that by giving the three highest numbers to the house of Representatives, from which to choose a President, and the two highest to the Settate, from which to choose a Vice-President, the spirit of the constitution would not be changed. He hoped therefore the report of the committee would be agreed to. He believed it comprehended all cases which were probable; and he further believed that if they spent a month, they would not device an amendinent that would provide for all

Mr. Glopton gave his recoons it length for favouring the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. Clays). He had indeed, be said, prepared an amendment to the same effect, but was unticipated by that gentleman. If it were in order, he would offer it us a substitut." for that amendment. He then read it

day to precive the amendment of the gen lewsn from Virginia, unless that of the gentleman from Pennsylvania was previously within whi-

Mr. Grege said It was impossible ing the report of the select committee. then offered barely by bearing them persons from whom a choice may be read. Amendments to the constitutoude, should be fewer in case of a desstion were of great importance. He felt at a loss how to act in the present instances, not clearly understand-ing the resolutions proposed. He wished every gentleman who had formed in his mind an eligible proposition would now heing it forward, that the whole might be printed.

question was taken on the rising of i designation of office, the people locked. The proposed amendment to the the committee, he would add an emendment to the resolution of the select committee. It was his opinion that the question of principle should be settled in the banse: if not so settled, it would be impossible for the report of any select committee to meet the approbation of the house.

It would be remembered that the house were chosen by the people and would in the selection they made express the public will, as well as the electors themselves. The feelings of the one would be in unison with those of the other, and none would be found leardy enough to violate the public sentiment. He therefore moved to strike out from the report of the committee, all that part of it which confined the choice to the three highest, viz: -" and if there shall he no such majority, the President shall be chosen from the highest number, not exceeding three, on the list for President, by the house of representatives, and jusert, in lienthereof, the following words: " and if no such personliave a majority, then the house of representatives shall immediately choose a President from among these persons who have been voted for as President.

Mr. Dawson said that when the gentleman from Maryland had first moved the appointment of a committee, he had voted against it, and for the very reason now assigned by him. As to the propositions at present offered, they had been possibly roffee ed on by the select committee; and if referred to that committee, the house on ht. in the first instance to decide the principle. As to the amendment offered by the gentleman from Maryland, it was scarcely becessary to make a single remark upon it, as the house was disposed to reduce rather than to extend the number of persons from whom a choice should be made. If adopted, it will give the house of representatives a right to vote for 176 persons, as no candidate might have more than one vote.

Mr. Nicholson believed the proposition of the select commissee would reduce them to the same situation, as if the 176 votes were givetic file. No said he was not so anxious that his amend at should succeed, as that the principle should be fixed in the house some way or other.

Mr. Goddard said, though he would posed amendment in any shape whatever, yet he was in favour of the amendment offered, by the gentleman from Marviend. He thought with hims that there was no great danger in the latitude allowed the house of representatives. But the principal reason operated with him in favour of the emendment was that it extended the might of suffrage in the house of representatives, and increased the power of the small street. As he couceived, the original proposition went effectually to impair the rights of the small states; but the amendment of floor. the gentleman from Maryland Baving this effect, as little as possible, he

should vote for it.
Mr. Smile would wish one principle altered in the report of the select) committee, viz. that part which confine the election of President to the

proposition, the more likely they were to obtain this object. His itles therefore was to leave the constitution is it.

not prevail; and coming as he did himself from a small state, he trusted the mouse would perdon him for a signing his reasons for that hope. He should therefore give his vite as thereof, " together with a copy of my felt confidence in the house or capies gainst the persons proposition, and instrument in persons on of the exemption of the exemption that their discretion ought to be limited. The amendment will give about it not be removed by the persons of representatives the to-be limited. The amendment will give the house of representatives the to-be limited. The amendment will give the house of representatives the to-be limited. the lightso of representatives the unqualified power of electing from the whole number on the fist of persons

for and expected it; and if that were stitution was not, he believed so e obtained, they would be satisfied.

Mr. G. W. Campbell said he too diate attention. The subject to which small states; but in a great constitu- ed, was the treaty with France. Hop-tional question, while these rights in that the committee would have de-

man from Maryland. He had already respecting Louisiana. dy observed that, there being at pre- Mr. Dawson opposed the rising of sent no designation, four was the the committee.

smallest possible number from which a choice could be made; to this number from Randolph's motion, and carried Ayes ber but one was added, making altogether five. In future elections, there When the committee rose 1 and will be 176 electors, and if there be a Mr. Griswold, after a few prelimidesignation of office, but one person mary remarks, submitted the following can have a majority. To confine the motion: can have a majority. To confine the choice to two persons will, therefore, in principle, approach as near as possible to the original principle of the constitution. He was in favour of preserving that part of the constitution which directed the voting by states, wishing as little innovasion as possible on the principles of the constitution. He did not, however, conceive a mere change of words dangerous; but the establishment of a principle that deprived the people of the power of electing those who possessed the largest share of their confidence.

"Resolved, that the President of the United States be requested to cause to be laid before this house, a copy of the treaty between the French Republicand Spain, of the first of October 1800, together with a copy of the deed of cession from Spain executed in pursuance of the same treaty conveying Louisiana to France, (if any such deed exists;) also copies of such correspondence between the government or minister of Spain (if any share of their confidence. share of their confidence.

Mr. Nicholson's amendment, and lost Spain to the purchase of Louisiana by -Ayes 29-Nocs 77.

three as the number from which an e- 30th of April, 1803. loction should be made, did not con-. Mr. Randolph replied at consideretion; as when noth Prevident and on and Smile against the motion. from fac.

that there would be any dance; in this asked for. I be used for the but it was his wish not. Mr. Saedfold, Mr. Filiot and Mr. to siter the constitution except in ea- Nicholson followed in apposition to the set of necessity.

Mr. Gothfard said he was in favor of h. proposed by the gandeman from Ma- to the first Monday in May.

Mr. Alston, was opposed to the a- dard, opposed the postponement. mondment offered by the gentleman. The motion of postponement was from Pennsylvania Mr. Smile, to the last. amendment of the ericet committee, tendency to bring the election of the her, viz.

President of the United States more. Resolved, That the President of frequently into the house of regres the United States he requested to sentatives, then-otherwise it would cause to be laid before this house, a be brought the was as much disposed copy of the treaty between the French to guard against the influence of the large states as any member on that tober, 1800.

The gentleman from Connecticut Noor 39-The Speaker declaring him-(Mr. Goldsen) was in favor of the self in the affarmative the motion was amendment bessure he thought it cal- carried. cullited to leave the influence of the The question was then taken on the farrer states. For his part, Mr. A. second number, viz.—"It pether with shought very differently from that the copy of the instrument of cession three highest persons roted for. It the amendment should be accorded to, the same treaty conveying Louisiana was impossible for human wisdom to ill would be an inductment to any one to I rance (if any sur i instrument time was not well spent in providing I from all President by the effectors of . And jost—Axes 54.

The cases extremely remote. He had the several states; that if the votes. The question was also taken on the coolegest in view but the designation of a large state should be withheld third member, vie-" Also copies of office. And the more simple they from any enough the candidates pro- such correspondence between the goprovide for all cases that occur. Their of the large states to prevent un clea- carda.") posed as President, it would prevent vernment of the United States and the such condidate from obtaining a may government or minister of Spain (if jurity of all the reces of the electors, any such correspondence has taken now stood, so far as related to choice [What then, Mr. Alston usked, would place] as will show the amont or disbeing made from the five highest, and be the consequence. The choice sent of Spain to the circumstance of Louisian of the Office states, which circumstance be made to that house, sinks by the United States."

which circumstance be made without to And ton—Avec 34. . Mr. Klist hoped the amendment of to witness stain this beconcered to. The question was then taken to the the gentleman from Maryland would prest important point to guard against, last member of the motion, and lost ne much as possible.

tion sa himself. house under the impression that une-

tremely pressing as to require immediate attention. The subject to which represented a small state and was an. Mr. R. had expected the attention of zions to preserve the rights of the the house would have been first directwere not lost sight of, principle ought also to be regarded.

The proposition of the gortlamen from Pennsylvania (Nr. Clay) came was nor likely soon to be made, he nearer to the principle of the consti- would move that the continuous that the con

tution than that offered by the gentle-frise for the purpose of taking up the

as will show the assent or dissent of the United States-together with co-Mr. Smilie, in order to try the pies of such other documents as may principle, would move to strike out be in the department of this govern-"three," and insert " five." ment tending to aspertsin whether Mr. Dawson would only repeat a the United States have, in fact acquirremark, which he had already made, ed any little to the province of Louisi-The select committee in proposing anaby the treaties with France, of the

sider themselves as departing in the blc length, and was followed by Mr. least from the spirit of the constitut Goddard in favore of, and Messrs. Ly-Vice-President were voted for without | Mr. Gregg asked for a division of discrimination, the choice was made the question, considering that it might he of some use to obtain the Treaty Mr. Smilies said, he did not know of lide famou but not the other papers

notion, and Mr. Thatcher in favor

of this unrendment for the same rea- Dr. Mitchell spoke against it, and not pledge himself to vote for the pro- i son that he had been in invor of that moved a postponement of the motion

Messra, Emdley, Criswold and God-

The original motion was divided; because in his opinion it would have a and on agreeing to the first an m-

Republic and Spam, of the 1st of Oc-

The house spen distilled - / yes so --

gentleman he believed that provided from Spain, executed in pursuance of

wifficul adivision.

Mr. J. Clay said, as there existed wroted for as Predident; and on this should withdraw his motion, he ground he opposed it.

Mr. Rodney asid that in the select compared to the first of the select compared to the constitution. He ment of the report of the select compared to the constitution. He ment of the report of the select compared to the constitution. He mittee.

Mr. Nicholson said that before the select compared to the second many importance of the select compared to the second many importance of the second many in and spain of the treaty before the second many improper that time should be lost or agenter with a copy of an instrument. The quantion was then there !