

# THE STAR.

VOL. I.

RALEIGH, NOVEMBER 24, 1808.

No. 4

PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY, BY JOHN H. HENDERSON, AT THE UPPER END OF FALETTE'S HILL STREET, NEAR CANNON'S CORNER—PRICE THREE DOLLARS PER ANNUM, PAYABLE HALF YEARLY IN ADVANCE.—SINGLE COPIES 10 CENTS.

As a portion of the matter contained in the STAR will be of durable interest, it will be regularly pagged, and an index given at the end of each Volume.

By the terms of subscription, One Dollar and Fifty Cents is now due from each Subscriber, which we expect will be paid to the Gentlemen whose names are annexed as Agents; and who, we request, will take the trouble of transmitting to us whatever they may receive. In those counties where we have not yet appointed Agents for Subscribers will suit themselves of such means of conveyance as they may think proper. Punctuality is indispensably necessary. We are much flattered and pleased with having on our list so many respectable names; and we particularly request our friends to send us none but those persons who are both able and liberal enough to make their subscriptions *pro rata*. We are not in the pay of King George or Emperor Napoleon, and cannot afford to give away our time and money without compensation to serve even the American cause. Should any of our Subscribers die, retire, or become insolvent, we hope to be informed of it.

## Agents for the Star.

Ashe county,	Thomas M'Gimpsey.
Anson,	John Blair.
Beaufort county,	Mr. Mars.
Bladen,	Thomas Brown.
Burton,	George Swain.
Camden,	George Walton.
Carter,	Arthur Olds.
Catawba,	Samuel Gerock.
Cherokee,	William H. Brandom.
Charlotte,	Bryan Helen.
Chatham,	John Graves.
Clay,	John M'Aden.
Craven,	Diamukes & Warden.
Cumberland,	R. Freeman.
Dare,	Henderson Smallin.
DeWitt,	Duncan M'Kee.
Edgecombe,	Daniel Redmond.
Franklin,	Joel King.
Gaston,	Stephen Sneed.
Granville,	Lewis LeMay.
Guilford,	William Sneed.
Hertford,	Joseph Davis.
Johnston,	Jamca Dick.
Lincoln,	William Burt.
Martin,	John Stevens, jr.
Mecklenburg,	Durant Hatis, jr.
Montgomery,	James Ervin.
Newbern,	Christopher Houston.
Onslow,	John Washington.
Orange,	Abraham Croom.
Perquimans,	Jesse F. Jones.
Rockingham,	A. Frew.
Rowan,	Daniel Gallant.
Salem,	J. M. Alexander.
Swain,	William Martin.
Taylorsville,	John Lord.
Wake,	Stephen Ferrand.
Wayne,	James Webb.
Yamhill,	Pleasant Henderson.
Yamoube,	John Williams.
Johnston,	George Greene.
Lincoln,	Jesse Harper.
Richmond,	John Clarke.
Rowan,	James Campbell.
Rockingham,	Thomas Searey.
Rutherford,	John Lenox.
Robeson,	A. M. Gilbert.
Salem,	Alexander Rowland.
Swain,	C. Moore.
Wayne,	Nathaniel Shober.
Yamhill,	Kilkennon.
Yamoube,	Joseph Williams, jr.
Yamoube,	Ruffin.
Yamoube,	David Clark.
Yamoube,	Nicholson Washington.
Yamoube,	Waugh.
Yamoube,	John Cason.
Yamoube,	Andrew Flinn.
Yamoube,	John F. Wilson.

If any errors have been committed in the direction of our papers, they shall be rectified on being pointed out. To every individual whose name has been sent us, a paper has been addressed.

All subscribers will be considered as continuing such until they both signify their wish to withdraw and pay up arrearages.

## For Sale,

A HOUSE AND LOT IN THE CITY OF RALEIGH, Situate on Hargett Street, nearly opposite Mrs. Mitchell's Tavern.

THE House is newly new, well built, two stories high, with a shop and kitchen attached. The stand for business is excellent. A long credit will be given for the purchase of the purchase money if requested. Enquire on the premises, of WILLIS NELMES. Nov. 10, 1808.

## John & Robert Stuart,

TWO DOORS BELOW THE "STAR OFFICE," RESPECTFULLY inform the public that they have received, direct from the city of New-York, a very handsome variety of DRY GOODS, among which are LANTERN GLASS BOTTLES, from No. 1 to No. 6, black plush HATS, and a general assortment of GROCERIES. Notwithstanding the embarrassment of present times, these goods, (as those who have Cash, and to those we believe will pay for them,) will be sold as low as any former period. Cash given here for Tobacco inspected at Tarboro, and at our House there, for Tobacco and Cotton. Raleigh, November 1, 1808.

## STATE PAPERS.

Extract of a letter from General Armstrong to the Secretary of State, dated December 7, Archambault, 22 August, 1808.

Since my arrival at this place I have been honoured by the receipt of your dispatch of the 21st ult. and would immediately return to Paris to renew my discussions with M. de Champagny either personally, as you suggest, or by writing, had I not the most solemn conviction that any new experiment made at the present moment in either form and of official character, would certainly be useless and probably injurious.

From Mr. Pinkney to Mr. Canning.

Great Cumberland Place, August 23d, 1808.

Sir—I have had the honour, in consequence of the orders of the President, to recd your attention, in the course of several recent interviews, to the British orders in council of the 7th of January and 11th of November, 1807, and to the various orders founded upon or in execution of them; and I now take the liberty to renew, in the mode which I have understood to be indispensable, my instances on that subject.

I need scarcely remind you, sir, that the government of the United States has never ceased to consider these orders as violating its rights, and affecting most destructively its interests, upon grounds wholly inadmissible both in principle and fact.

The letters of Mr. Madison to Mr. Erskine of the 30th and 29th of March 1807, produced by the official communication of that minister of the order of the 7th of January, and the answer of Mr. Madison of the 25th of March, 1808, to a like communication of the orders of the 11th November, contained the most direct and unambiguous expressions against the system which these orders introduce and execute, and expressed the confident expectation of the President that it would not be persisted in.

That expectation has not yet been fulfilled, but it has, notwithstanding, not been relinquished. The President is still persuaded that its accomplishment will result from a careful review by his majesty's government, made in the spirit of moderation and equity, of the facts and considerations which belong to the occasion.

It is not my purpose to recapitulate in this note the statements and reasonings contained in the above mentioned letters of Mr. Madison, in support of the claim of the government of the United States, that the British orders be revoked. I content myself with referring to those letters for proofs which it is not necessary for me to repeat, and for arguments which I could not hope to improve.

But there are explanations which those letters do not contain, and which it is proper for me now to make. Even these, however, may be very briefly given, since you have already been made acquainted in our late conversations with all their bearings and details.

These explanations go to show, that, while every motive of justice conspires to produce a disposition to recal the orders of which my government complains, it is become apparent that even their professed object will be best attained by their revocation.

I had the honour to state to you, sir, that it was the intention of the President, in case Great Britain repealed her orders, as regarded the United States, to exercise the power vested in him by the act of the last session of Congress, entitled 'An act to authorise the President of the United States, under certain conditions, to suspend the operation of the act laying an embargo on all ships and vessels in the ports and harbors of the United States, and the several supplementary acts thereto,' by suspending the embargo law and its supplements, as regards Great Britain.

I am authorised to give you this assurance in the most formal manner; and I trust, that upon impartial enquiry it will be found to leave no inducement to perseverance in the British orders, while it creates the most powerful inducements of equity and policy to abandon them.

On the score of justice it does not seem possible to mistake the footing upon which this overture places the subject; and I venture to believe that in any other view there is as little room for doubt.

If, as I propose, your orders should be rescinded as to the United States, and our embargo rescinded as to Great Britain, the effect of these concurrent acts will be that the commercial intercourse of the two countries will be immediately resumed; while, if France should adhere to maxims and conduct derogatory to the neutral rights of the United States, the embargo, continuing as to her, will take the place of your orders, and lead with an efficacy, not merely equal to theirs, but probably much greater, to all the consequences that ought to result from them.

On the other hand, if France should concur in respecting those rights, and commerce should thus regain its full immunities and the law of nations its just dominion, all the alleged purposes of the British orders will have been at once fulfilled.

If I forbear to pursue these ideas through all the illustrations of which they are susceptible, it is because the personal conferences to which I have before alluded, as well as the obvious nature of the ideas themselves, render it unnecessary.

I cannot conclude this note without expressing my sincere wish that what I have now suggested, in conformity with the liberal sentiments and enlightened views of the President, may contribute not only to remove the more immediate obstacles to the ordinary intercourse of trade between your country and mine, in a manner consistent with the honour of both, but to prepare the way for a satisfactory adjustment of every question important to their future friendship.

I have the honour to be, &c.

(Signed) Wm. PINKNEY.

Extract of a letter from Mr. Pinkney to the Secretary of State, dated 24 September, 1808, London.

I am now enabled to transmit to you a copy of Mr. Canning's answer, received only last night, to my note of the 23d of August.

I regret extremely that the views which I have been instructed to lay before this government have not been met by it as I had at first been led to expect. The overture cannot fail, however, to place in a strong light the just and liberal sentiments by which our government is animated, and in other respects to be useful and honourable to our country.

From Mr. Canning to Mr. Pinkney.

FOREIGN OFFICE, Sept. 23d, 1808.

The undersigned, his majesty's principal Secretary of State for foreign affairs, had the honour to receive the official letter addressed to him by Mr. Pinkney, minister plenipotentiary of the United States, respecting the orders in council issued by his majesty on the 7th of January and 11th November, 1807.

He has laid that letter before the king, and he is commanded to assure Mr. Pinkney that the answer to the proposal which Mr. Pinkney was instructed to bring forward, has been deferred only in the hope that the renewed application which was understood to have been recently made by the government of the United States to that of France, might, in the new state of things which has arisen in Europe, have met with such a reception in France, as would have rendered the compliance of his majesty with that proposal consistent as much with his majesty's own dignity and with the interests of his people, as it would have been with his majesty's disposition towards the United States. Unhappily there is now no longer any reason to believe that such a hope is likely to be realized; and the undersigned is therefore commanded to communicate to Mr. Pinkney the decision which, under the circumstances as they stand, his majesty feels himself compelled, however unwillingly, to adopt.

The mitigated measure of retaliation announced by his majesty in the orders of council of the 7th January, and the further extension of that measure (an extension in operation but not in principle) by the orders in council of November, were founded (as has been already repeatedly avowed by his majesty) on the 'unquestionable right of his majesty to retort upon the enemy the evils of his own injustice,' and upon the consideration that 'if third parties incidentally suffered by these retaliatory measures, they were to seek their redress from the power by whose original aggression that retaliation was occasioned.'

His majesty sees nothing in the embargo laid on by the President of the United States of America, which varies this original and simple state of the question.

If considered as a measure of impartial hostility against both belligerents, the embargo appears to his majesty to have been manifestly unjust, as, according to every principle of justice, that redress ought to have been first sought from the party originating the wrong. And his majesty cannot consent to buy off that hostility which America ought not to have extended to him, at the expense of a concession made not to America but to France.

If, as it has more generally been represented by the government of the U. States, the embargo is only to be considered as an innocent municipal regulation which affects none but the U. States themselves, and with which no foreign State has any concern; viewed in this light, his majesty does not conceive that he has the right or the pretension to make any complaint of it, and he has made none. But in this light there appears not only no reciprocity, but no assignable relation, between the repeal by the U. States of a measure of voluntary self-restriction, and the surrender by his

majesty of his right of retaliation against his enemies.

The government of the U. States is desirous to be informed that the Berlin decree of March 21, 1806, was the practical commencement of an attempt not merely to check or impede the prosperity of Great-Britain, but utterly to annihilate her political existence, through the ruin of her commercial prosperity; that in this attempt almost all the powers of the European continent have been compelled more or less to co-operate; and that the American embargo, though most assuredly not intended to that end (for America can have no real interest in the subversion of the British power, and her rulers are too enlightened to act from any impulse against the real interests of their country) but by some unfortunate concurrence of circumstances, without any hostile intention, the American embargo did come in aid of "the blockade of the European continent" precisely at the very moment when, if that blockade could have succeeded at all, this interposition of the American government would most effectually have contributed to its success.

To this universal combination, his majesty has opposed a temperate but a determined retaliation upon the enemy; trusting that a firm resistance would defeat this project, but knowing that the smallest concession would infallibly encourage a perseverance in it.

The struggle has been viewed by other powers not without an apprehension that it might be fatal to this country. The British government has not disguised from itself that the trial of such an experiment might be arduous and long; though it has never doubted of the final issue. But if that issue, such as the British government confidently anticipated, has providentially arrived much sooner than could have been hoped; if "the blockade of the continent," as it has been triumphantly styled by the enemy, is raised even before it had been well established; and if that system, of which extent and continuity were the vital principles, is broken up into fragments utterly harmless and contemptible; it is nevertheless important in the highest degree to the reputation of this country (a reputation which constitutes great part of her power) that this disappointment of the hopes of her enemies should not have been purchased by any concession; that not a doubt should remain to distant times of her determination and of her ability to have continued her resistance; and that no step which could even mistakenly be construed into concession should be taken on her part, while the smallest link of the confederacy remains undissolved; or while it can be a question whether the plan devised for her destruction has or has not either completely failed or been unequivocally abandoned.

These considerations compel his majesty to adhere to the principles on which the orders in council of the 7th January and the 11th of November are founded, so long as France adheres to that system by which his majesty's retaliatory measures were occasioned and justified.

It is not improbable, indeed, that some alterations may be made in the orders in council, as they are at present framed; alterations calculated not to abate their spirit or impair their principle, but to adapt them more exactly to the different state of things which has fortunately grown up in Europe, and to combine all practicable relief to neutrals, with a more severe pressure upon the enemy.

But of alterations to be made with this view only, it would be uncandid to take any advantage in the present discussion; however it might be hoped, that in their practical effect, they might prove beneficial to America, provided the operation of the embargo were not to prevent her from reaping that benefit.

It remains for the undersigned, to take notice of the last paragraph of Mr. Pinkney's letter. There cannot exist on the part of Mr. Pinkney, a stronger wish than there does on that of the undersigned, and of the British government, for the adjustment of all the differences subsisting between the two countries.

His majesty has no other disposition than to cultivate the most friendly intercourse with the U. States.

Mr. C. is persuaded, that Mr. Pinkney would be one of the last to imagine, what is often idly asserted, that the depression of any other country is necessary, or serviceable to the prosperity of this. The prosperity of America is essentially the prosperity of G. Britain, and the strength and power of Great-Britain are not for herself only, but for the world.—When those adjustments shall take place, to which, though unfortunately not practicable at this moment, nor under the conditions prescribed by Mr. Pinkney, the undersigned, nevertheless, confidently looks forward; it will perhaps be no insecure pledge, for the continuance of the good understanding between the two countries, that they will have learned duly to appreciate each other's friendship; and thus

(Continued in Page 16)