 Cassór congici- phice Thusk poilais pen


ADVPRTISEMENFS
 sle art the

## WTicketala the Franklin Library Lettery,

 $\frac{\text { gonian }}{c_{5} \text { MARSHAL's SALE. }}$
##     perahduguc <br> Mhanedin <br> 

CASWELL ACADEMY.




## To Journeymen Taylor's.

 Reet widhe good eniogura $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{t}}$ went

FORTY DOLLARS REWARD.

## STOLEN

O of the Suberiber's sable, on Thursday night the




FOR SALE OR RENT,
Indian Queen,









INTERVAL IMPROVEMENT.






 than two blanks to one prize The managers sarce. appressed
wilk an idea that the opeedy sale of Tickets will enabio
them to comminence drawing in a short period. The com. mencement of the drawigg and the prizes drawn will be
sdvertised in two News Papers in this state, and too in
South Garoling: And every prize be punctually paid with In thiry diays, after the drawing pre pubinctually paid with.



D. Mac farland

| D. Mac FARLAND, Wm. ASHLEY, WYNN NANCE, |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lumberton, January 3J, 1310. | 0. ${ }^{2-1 m}$ |

Vemaining in the Past.office et Raleigh, quarter ending
$\qquad$





$\qquad$

bitk Johin M. Bride, Henry Moorg' Walthul Marshall



 Willilam Yeits. Whert Whituker.

Runaway taken up




## Mrs, Wayne



Cape-Fear Lottery. ThB Briohen and phitetorof dio Decp and Hiv

## 






er day unithe drawing is
H. BRANSON;


CONGRE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, Jo九, 5, 1810 .
RULRS AND ORDERS.
On motion of Mr. Smilie the House rer solved itself into a committee of the whole cport rules and orders for the goverument of This report contains the following amongst Ther rules:
The previous question shall be put in this orm: "Shall the main question be now put?", It shall only be admitted when demanded by cided in the affirmative, shall be instandy put without amiendment or further debate, but if decided in the nagative, the business shal progress as if the previous question had not bern called.
"na previous question there shall be no
Mr. Livermore poved to strike out the hirst of these rules.
This motion was supported by Messrs. L vermore, Gardenier, Quincy, Sheffey, Ely
Pickman, Wheaton, pana ppposed by Messrs. Rhea, Basset, Ross Smi lic, Southard, Boyd, Findley, W. Alston, Root and Johnson.
The arguments in favour of striking ou generally, that it had for its object the sherid inent of the freedom of debate, and was following too closely the practice of the British Parliament; that this House being constitutionally a deliberative assembly, it was not for the majority to say thus far shall debate be permitted, and no further-for, if they could the minority should have ne voice, that would prevent the minority from shewing the ehormity of the doings of the majority tad would shround dieir acts in the mantle
darkness, that at present: mbch time might indeed be consumed io neleless debate but thar this evil ought to be regilitered bly alicnpe
 that if this rule were adopred, the most import
taint measures might be dedided without a Word of debate, although on beartig emgo,
ments genlemen might have chagged thair opinion of them ; that this rule might tranind Abbe Sieyer had circesented $\neq$ constitution to
the considetation of Benaine the conquer tae consideration of Be minhitec the conquer,
our was charmed with the feature of a Dumb Legislature, that legilation riethout , fitbate incroduction of aizule providing tor the previous qdestion was not even necegrary for the
purpose which its advocates bad in vicw, for, from life experience, it pas known that a question they had it in theif poser sore that such a tule was unconstitutional, becautse the constitution forbade Congtess 10 restrain the freedom of' speech, anad if thay could not restrist the people in the freedom of speech, muich leas surciy could they abridge chat privilege in this House, 'che sapicuary of Eliberty; o deliver his sentimentis touse had a right ore the House, and could not be deprived of ; that this privilege, like the freedom of peech, was praductive of sone evil bit the
noment eithor was restrained, such rescranat was an eynasion of thy principles of free goamouthed to this, that no member of the mirority should be allowed to speak until a vote of permission for that purpose was first ob-
tained from the maiority. It was asked would he renovation in the public councils haye been effected if the freedom of debate had not was poing to ruin? the tine when eyery thing yas going to ruin? II such a rufte had been sen told, by the we nation would have that it was the vital stab to liberty. That which had been wrong yesterday; was wrong o day; that which vould have been wrong in It was also said by several of the supporiers osed to terestriken out was at war with protical Liberty, incompatible with fr f dom of
debate, and in deflane of the sipit titution ; that manjurites, whin of the cunuuposed to be Whicliy exempt fiom the infirmilites of humaua nature, might, froin the in-
duence of piassion rush thealicn Auence of phassion rush headiong into a mea, pidity was not so much an object in legislation as wisdom, and a multitude of tasis often present time partifluarly ought not to be adopted, as the pararuafly ought not to be
decinght anordy have to decide the question if peace or war, on which
nuch dclib cration endoubtedly would be be
quisite. On the wher hand it was remarked, in supguarded guarded against by it was blended perhaps nan mind, a perseverance in what is de haright by each, and that the ourstion deemed right could not be decided by any direct tandard to which the hutman mind can resort that it is the principle of this as of every fres
 righty its decision was the best standard to hich tey could resort; that the majority, eiog responsible for all measures adopted, late bya determined nate by a determined opposition; that if the govern, there should be some rule to prevent. ment; that the privilege each nember pose sessed of delivering his sentiments, however precious, was not so valuable as the right which each member possessed of acting, and he restriction of this privilege wouldunot be so alarming as the destruction of the governules of the House at preconsequence, as the ules of the House at present stood, if the miHouse fre cbstinately bent on prevencing the f a dumb legislature mighogh the project Bonaparte, he never would have hate charmed tunity to approve any constitution, had itmot peen for the anarchy, confusion \& unrestraír ed licentiousness which prevaled in the Na: Lional Convention, and which fiotly destroy ed it-and it was to prevent such anarchiy and hase become necessary; that minorities too were subject to the failings of mankind, and hat passion might operate upon them, when most urgent law was to be passed, by speakfor six hours at a time, solcly to prevent for which they Hvere expreasly choseng pat there was no fear of the measares or acts of

