

LAWRENCE & LEMAY.

MS - Subscrubtion, three dollars per an-No paper will be sent without at least \$1 pad to alvance, and no paper discontinued, the option of the Schorn, unless all ar-pus are paid. Addernarements, out exceed-ion lines, inserted three times for one and twenty-five cents for cash continu-All letters to the editors must be past-

THE CORRESPONDENCE. [CONCLUDED.]

e Editor of the U. S. Telegraph neral Green: I herewith encluse ment, which I wish to be publish your paper as supplemental to my espondence with General Jackson which has been made necessary by publication of Mr. Forsyth's letter

JOHN C. CALHOUN. th Feb. 1831.

my letter to Gen. Jackson of the May 1830, published in the coridence, speaking of the plot to de y my political standing, I stated. at several indications forewarned long since, that a blow was medid sgainst me; I will not say from quarter from which this comes: in relation to this subject, more two years since, I had a correspon e with the (present) district attorfor the southern district of New , on the subject of the proceeding. e cabinet in the Seminole war, h, though it did not then excite parr attention, has since, in cunnerwith other circumstances, served to t my eye to what was going on." letter of Mr. Forsyth to Mr Hamof New York, to whom I referred above extract, published by the er in the United States' Telegraph 22d instant, enables me to trace er link in this artful conspiracy st my character, which. I trust. lam a sufficient apology for my a intruding myself on the public at-If a copy of this letter, which. ins, was placed in the hands of President, when Mr. Forsyth put in possession of a copy of Mr. wford's letter to him of the 30th il. 1850, had been furnished me, as ustice it ought to have been, the re as that I am now constrained to e, would have appeared in the body e correspondence with General on, and would have saved me the

of again troubling the public. then conceived I had a right to be in possession of all the facts and matances referred to in Mr? Craw-'s letter to Mr. Forsyth, and that the holding of them was an act of inice to me, calculated, whatever may

nied the General and suite to New Or ders might appear on record.

ter was the commencement of the in- | I am to this day uninformed. In De earlier date, at this place on his way a gentleman of this city, in a conversa arrangements for its accomplishment Jackson, (which I stated I knew to b dediy, and I may add zealously engag afforded conclusive proof of his hostili ed in the support of Gen. Jackson. I ty to the General. Having found believed his election by Congress was some time before, that the letter of Mr prevented by a departure from a most Monroe to me of the 9th of September important, fundamental principle in our a copy of which is hereto annexed, was which I might be entangled

Mr. Hamilton, while here, requested

1828, from Mr. Hamilton, who was his farm in Albemarie. General Jack- his letter to Mr. Forsyth, and the one consistently with the constitution, act-then on his return from New Orleans, son, in his letter, objected to the con-to me, that the statement of Mr. For-ing as I was, under the obligation of an where he had beed, by the appointment struction which had been placed on his syth was erropeans on this point. On the abstain from the infraction of the Tammany Society of New York, orders. Mr. Monice addressed me a Viewing then this whole affair as one that sacred instrument; and in pe to represent them, as I understand, in private letter of the 9th September, transaction, (it can be viewed in as ing to suggest the course, which I hon the celebration of the 8th January. 1818, a copy of which is hereto annex other light.) what a spectacle is present early supposed night to be adopted on

a his way to New Orleans, pass cumstances, his (Mr. Monroe's) rea the time, I had a right to consider as fonce. ed through this city, in December, sons for thinking that there ought to be friendly, for the purpose of extracting 1827, when Congress was in session, an official correspondence between the from me, under the pretext of defend 1827, when Congress was in session, an official correspondence between the from me, under the pretext of defend and after remaining here some days he General and myself, so that the views ing General Jackson, some unguarded proceeded to Nashville and accompa-which we respectively took of his or oried the General and suite to New Or ders might appear on record.

leans. From Savanuah be returned to This letter passed out of my posses. New York through this place, where he sion into that of Gen. Jackson without again remained some time, Congress be my consent, but at what time or by ing still in session. Whether this let what means, or through whose agency trigue, or whether it originated at an cember 1827. Inceidentally heard from to Nashville, or while there, I am una- tion turning on the subject of the feel-ble to say; but I cannot doubt that the ings of Mr. Monroe towards Gen were made on his return from Savannah triendly) that there was a letter of the to this place. At the time I was deci- former in the hands of the latter, which system, and that he was the only infli- missing. I ascertained the fact by an vidual in the country who united the examination of the letter to me, that it Under this impression, I stood prepar | which was out of my possession, and ing was seized up to extract from me, me in whose possession the letter was. if possible, some hasty and unguarded On hearing that there was a letter of expression respecting the course of the Mr. Monroe's in General Jackson's pos cabinet on the Seminole question, by session, I concluded it must be the same.

hough I was utterly at a loss to conjec

after he might be raised in part by my exertion, to the highest office in the government, the power which I had thus contributed, to confer, might be used to desirely forever the character and standing I had acquired by long. laborious, and faithful services to the ountry, and which was the only fund I had acquired in that service. to bequeath as a legacy to my children.

In order that the whole of this iniqui tous transaction may be presented in one view. I anner a copy of the letter from Mr. For yth to Mr. Hamilton, of the 8th February. I cannot but regret, that Mr. Forsyth has thought proper to withhold from the public the letter of Mr. Hamilton, of the 25th of January, to which this is an answer. It is rare, that an answer can be fully understood, popularity and fitness to arrest, if elect- was missing, on a hint from a friend without the letter to which it is a re ed, what I then and now consider a that there was a letter written by Mr. ply, and I do feel that justice to my dangerous tendency in our affairs. Monroe, as it was supposed, to me, self as well as to the country, and I will add to Mr. Forsyth himself, reed to render every aid in my power to was intended to be used for political quires its publication. In making these secure its success. This strong feel purposes. My friend could not inform remarks, I am not unmindful of the hope, which he expresses, that his name may not be introduced in the further discussion of this subject. I feel every disposition to comply with his desire, and judging from my own feel ture how it had passed into his hands, ings, I can well appreciate the pain

1828 Gen Jackson had been invited led, marked A, stating the view which ed! 'I am approached as a zealous their infraction, I should be exposed by the Legislature of Louisiana, to at the General took of his orders, the tone friend of General Jackson by one, who, at this late day, to so much difficulty tend the scelebration. Mr. Hamilton, of his letter, and with some other cir-lcom his political relations with me at and dauger. Yet this is my only of

Gaines, an inferior officer, not refer red to in the orders to hun of subse quent date, were inapplicable, and not obligatory on him, especially as his en larged the sphere of his duties. His letter is on the whole conciliatory and friendly. He promises to write anoth er Our view of his powers is decided ly different from his, on which too we acted without entertaining a suspicion that he would misunderstand it. I am of a very high and delicate character inclined to think that I had beller an imposes on me silence swer this letter immediately. He may No relation can be more confidential inclined to think that I had better any No relation can be more conducting swer this letter immediately. He may No relation can be more conduct and expect that his conception of his orders than that between the President and expect that his conception of his orders than that between the President and constitu-constituthat the sense in which they were giv en, and understood by the department. after what has p-ssed should be record ed there. A communication between you, on this head, and in this stage, cabinet deliberations by any of its memseems to be the more necessary from the presumption that it may be my du ty to state to Congress that he tran

Mr. Calboun to Mr. H

DRAN Sant & received, three since your letter of the 25th of Febru

drawn, and to which I could give no other construction than what I did consistently with the constitution, set ing as I was, under the obligation of an out to abdrain from the inferaction of that sacred instrument; and in weather ing to suggest the course, which I hon-carly supposed ought to be adopted on their infraction, I should be exposed at this late day, to so much difficults and dauger. Yet this is my only of fence. A Copy of a latter from Me, Monroe to Mr Cathoan. Mightand, Sept 9, 1816. Data Size. I have Genaral Jockson's reply to my letter of July 19, from Washington respecting his taking pro-sersion of St. Marks and Pensacota, He contends stremuously, that his or ders left him free to adopt that course, if he found it necessary to terminate the Seminole wart that orders to General Gaines, an inferior officer, not refer Gaines, an inferior officer, not refer dates and in the trutter not the trutte now with the seminole wart that orders to General Gaines, an inferior officer, not refer Gaines, an inferior officer, not refer dates with the fraction of subset at Nashville, in the truth: not with the view of his making a publication on the subject at present, but to be prepared against an apprehended attack founded on events connected with that cam-paign." I have, under this aspect of the subject, deliberately crossdered how far I could with propriety, speak of the proceedings of the cabinet at all, which has resulted in the conviction that a duty

tional advisors . Charged as he is with the executive duties of the Government, which were vested in a single person to give energy and prempitude in execution, it is manifest that to divulge the bers would introduce distrust and discord, and thereby read to defeat, in this In the last source conversation with me, or how he could conceive that it indicates in the source concernence to the source is the source is the source conversation with me, or how he could conceive that it indicates in the source is the important particular, the object of the ing from him, it will put you more at ease in your answer, and afford a bet-ter opportunity for the exercise of kind ness and liberality. I shall attach no be so defended. It is, however, a sa lieve that an attack su unfounded ever be made-not but that he enemies atrocious enough to revert to the most diabolical falsehoods in order to wound his reputation; but it is manifes that an attack of the kind suppose cannot be made with the slightest pro spect of success without the co of some one of the members of Monroe's cabinet at the time; and I of by whom, and from what quarter this not admit the possibility that any one can be so lost to honor and duty as to grave consideration on the part of the other members to determine whether, under all the circamstances of the c so, by considerations which may be ca-sily conceived, but as the letter is ne-cessarily connected with the immedi the cabinet proceedings, to demand of the then Chief Magistrate a release of the injunction of secrecy, or whether the Chief Magistrate himself would not be bound to disclose what was necrosed ry to the vindication of the character of General Jackson assailed in the mannet supposed. Should such a case occur, though to me apparently impossible, I may, with confidence, appeal to the past to prove that I would not be wanting in zeal to go as far as duty would admit in defending the character of General defending the character of General Jackson; and in maintaining in his per-son the great cause of liberty and the constitution. Entertaining these views, you will see that I decline the intraduction of my name, in any shape, as connected with what passed in the cabinet on the uccaon to which you refer. What Lata conversation was degative in its charto meet by a general con without the that I supposed exit to of rumor; and I est you is

been the intention, to deprive me means of tracing out and expo to the public, what I did not doubt a base political plot. The letter Mr Forsyth to Mr. Hamilton, published, it seems, was the one red to by Mr. Crawford in his leto Mr. Forsyth, but with the name Hamilton left blank in the copy Crawford's letter which was furd me. The facts disclosed by the ication of Mr. Forsyth's letter to Hamilton, taken in connexion with correspondence alluded to with the ent district attorney of the southern rict of New York, will prove, as I presently shew, that I had just to complain that evidence, mateto my vindication, was withheld; I cannot but regret, that Mr. For-" "respect to the personal delica-of Major Hamilton," has, in its ef operated, though it may not have aso intended, unjustly towards me, preventing me, from tracing, in my respondence with General Jackson, of the earliest, and I must add, the est movements in the operations of political conspiracy. What I could done then in connexion with the eral chain of my remarks, I am now trained to do in this detached way, great disadvantage to my vindicathe full force of which would have more deeply felt by viewing all

reumstances in connexion. his letter, by its date and other cirances, clearly connects itself with Hamilton's correspondence with already alluded to; makes that cor-ordence a link in the chain of this upt political intrigue, thereby car-t back the movement to the early the year 1828; and, by its releto an individual (Major Lewis) residing in Lashville, as will be the copy of the correspondence Mr. Hamilton, hereto annexed, is it to be a part of the system of itions which, as appears by Mr. Mord's letter to Mr. Balch, had commenced against me in Decem-1827, and following so soon after, constitutes the second link in fout plot against an individual, same political cause with those he same political cause with those spiring against him, and who, from political relation to him at the time Per bis fri a right to consid r a trans so disgraceful, but 1 feel mysel ed to do so in the discharge of te and public duty. It must be ful and mortifying to the public to he under our system, but the

conformity to the facts. I accompanied the answer with some general remarks on the proceedings of the cabi net, such as I might with propriety make without any breach of confidence bowever feel the most perfect confi dence, that I did not use the expression. that "the only point before the cabinet was the answer to be given to the Spanish Government, as Mr. Hamilton; states that he understood me in his letter of the 25th of February. 1 neither did nor could use the expression "only." as it would have been both inconsistent with facts and absurd, as the publications on the Seminole affair cleary indicate that other points were considered by the cabinet. If the state ment be an error on the part of Mr. Hamilton, it probably originated in my using the word "main point, or great point, or some other expression of similar import, instead of the expression he imputes to me. The whole conversation was of a general character, such as might with propriety be held respec ting the cabinet proceedings-and was by me considered in no way confiden-

tial, except the confidence, that exists between gentlemen, that my name was not to be used before the public in connexion with any thing I said. I cer tainly did not suppose that my conduct. or that of any other individual, was put at issue, and could have had no intention of making an erroneous impression as to the proceedings of the cabinet, nor the part I took. I suspected no sinister object.

On his return to New York, I received a letter from him dated the 25th stand how it was to be effected; yet I ments of Mr. Crowinshield and Mr. February, the object of which was, ap could not doubt that the apprehended Adams." A subsequent acknowledg-February, the object of which was, ap parently, to know if he understood my

with a view to make the publication which I then viewed it. It is impossi-hen, but to be prepared against an ap-prehended attack. founded on events dence with Mr. Forsyth and his converprehended attack, founded on events connected with the Seminole campaign. This disclosure, particularly that the information was intended for Major Lewis as a confidential friend of Gene ral Jackson, excited my suspicion. Circumstances, however: gave my sue but Mr. Monroe. What they were, it comes mecessary to state with the guestion which he put to me, as Fmust

and my answer was therefore in strict correspondence between General Jack- the public. Until it be, the impression son and myself.) to be placed in the must necessarily remain that the evihands of the two Senators, as explanatory dence is not complete. of the letter in question, should it prove Whether the letter of Mr. Monroe.

> the 28th December, 1827, marked B. the same, and 'in my answer to it ne cessarily bore it in mind.

I could not answer his question

whether his understanding of my conthe idea that his understanding of my conversation was not correct.

> Believing that an operation was car rying on against Mr. Monroe. at Nash ville, as well from the fact of the letter being placed in Gen. Jackson's hands, as other circumstances which about this time came to my knowledge, and suspecting that the object was to bring Mr. Monroe and Gen Jackson into conflict his friend. The argument of Mr. it originated, I was at a loss to under led almost exclusively on the statecould not doubt that the apprehended Adams. A subsequent acknowledg-attack on Gen. Jackson, was some how ment of the former that he was not pre-

dence with Mr. Forsyth and his conver ground.

to be the one supposed. I have not of the 9th of September, which was been able to lay my hand on my note purloined from me, and passed into the to Mr. Monroe, nor am I certain that I hands of General Jackson, as has detained a copy, but I hereto annex an been stated, was intended as one of extract from Mr. Monroe's answer of the means of placing us in our present relations, or was intended to excite It was in this stage of this affair, that hostility between him and Mr. Monroe, I received Mr. flamilton's letter of the I am now unable to say. At first 1 25th of February, and very naturally supposed the latter, but subsequent esuspected that his inquiry might have vents leave it doubtful. The letter now send you all the documents refer reference to something connected with was finally returned to me by General red to in it, which you will find to cor Jackson, but without explanation of respond with the name inclosed. It

the manner in which it came to his hands.

Having so long remained in the poswhether his underline was correct or not, without going into explanations which would lead to details that I did not feel my self at fiberty to state; and which, if I have adduced it in my correspondence, I might have adduced it in my correspondence have adduced it in a bave adduced have adduced it in adduced it in a bave adduced have adduced it in a bave adduced have adduced it in adduced it in a bave adduced have adduced it in a bave adduced it in adduced have adduced it in c jucided with Mr. Monroe's, and con- may be useful to me to know in what accordingly waived an answer, but in sequently differed from his own. A such terms as were intended to convey was restrained, however, from doing angoyed in this way ever since my re so, by considerations which may be es tirement; which is the more extraordi-

> ate subject of this statement, I am now obliged to present it to the public as a part of my vindication.

I do not deem it necessary to make any remarks on Mr. Crawford's letter to me, published by Mr. Forsyth, as for purposes bearing on the pending elec-tion; but not knowing in what quarter of the proceedings of the cabinet, cest-

parently, to know if he understood my conversation correctly. He stated that his object in being thus particular in endeavoring to asser-tain from me whether his recollection was faithful or not with regard to the fulfil the object of his enquiry by con-firming Major Lewis, a confidential friend of Gen. Jackson in the Fruth. not which I then viewed it. It is impossi-then, but to be prepared against an ap-

view of understanding the corresponds now say, so insiduously, whether there, As unpleasant as I find my present sit-

particular importance to the affair, in faction, in pursuing the course in my letter, leaving the argument to duty imples me to do, to reflect that

B.

Letter from Mr. Monroe to Mr. Calhoun, Oak Bill, Dec. 28, 1827. DEAR SIR: In my compliance with

your request in the letter of the 22d. would be very gratifying to me to know attack on me has been meditated. quarter it exists. I have been much

Copy of a letter from Mr. Hamilton, relating to the deliberations of the cabinet on the Seminole question.

C.

Aew York, February, 25, 1828. Dear Sir: To avoid mistake as to what passed between us at the conver. sation I had the honor to hold with you the evening before I left Washington, I submit to you the following, as the import of that part which, it was under stood, was not to be considered as con

I am thus particular, in endeavoring With a knowledge of these facts, it to ascertain from you whether my re is difficult to conceive why Mr. Craw collection is faithful or not in regard to Nashville, in the truch; not white vit nded attack,

DRAR SIN: 1 Sec 4d p