letter ought to prevail over the clear manifest intention of the act. They must regard the power of the Secretary, not as a trust power, limited by the subject and the object of the trust, but as a chartered right, to be used according to his discretion and pleasure. There is a radical defect in our mode of construing political pow- as the bank faithfully performed its ers; of which this and many other instances afford stiking examples; but, I will give the Secretary his choice, either the intention or the letter must prevail; he may select either, but cannot be permitted to take one or the other as may suit his purpose. If he chooses the former, he has transcended his powers, as I have clearly demonstrated. It he selects the latter, he is equally condemned, as he has clearly exercised power not comprehended in the letter of his authority. He has not confined bimself simply to withholding the public moneys from the Bank of the U. States, but he has ordered them to deposited in other Banks, though there is not a word in the section to justify it. I do not intend to argue the question, whether he had a right to order the funds, withheld from the United States Bank, to be placed in the State Banks which he has selected; but I ask, how has he acquired that right? It rests wholly on construction—on the supposed intention of the legislature, which, when it gives a power, intends to give all the means necessary to render it avallable. But, as clear as this principle of construction is, it is not more clear than that which would limit the right of the Secretary to the question of the safe and faithful keeping of the public funds; and I cannot admit that the Secretary shall be permit ed to resort to the letter or to construction, as may best be calculated to enlarge his power, when the right of construction is denied to those who would limit its power by the clear and obvious intention of Cougress.

I might here, said Mr. Calhoun, rest the question of the power of the Secretary over the deposites, without adding another word laced it on grounds from which no ngeou ty, however great, or subtlety, however refined, can reme at it; but such is the magnitude of the case, and such my desire to ive the reasons of the Secretary the fullest sidereration, that I shall follow him brough the remainder of his reasons.

That the Secretary was conscious that the rst position which he assumed, and which I have considered, was untenable, we have ample proof in the precipitancy with which he retreated from it. He had scarcely laid it down, when, without illustration or argument, he passed with a rapid transition, and I must say a transition as obscure as rapid, to another position wholly inconsistent with the first; and in assuming which he expressly re pudiates the idea that the safe and faithful keeping of the public funds had any necessary connexion with his removal of the deposites; his power to do which he places on the broad and unlimited ground, that he had a right to make such disposition of them as the ilio interest, or the convenience of the pole might require. I have said that the saition of the Secretary was as obscure as it was rapid; but obscure as it is, he has said ugh to enable us to perceive the process which he has reached so extraordinary a position, and we may safely affirm that his arguments are not less extraordinary than the n, which however, he has not venproposition, which nowever, he has not ven-fured to lay down expressly, is that Congress has an unlimited control over the deposites, and that it may dispose of them in whatever manner it may please, in order to promote the general welfare and convenience of the people. He next asserts that Congress has parted with this power, under the sixteenth aection, which directs the deposites to be made in the Bank of the United States, and then concludes with affirming that it has in vested the Secretary of the Treasury with it, for reasons which he professes to be unable to understand. e. He next asserts that Congress has

It canno be necessary, before so enlighten id a body, that I should undertake to refute argumen so utterly untrue in premises ad conclusion—to show that Congress never possessed the power which the accretary claims for it—that it is a power, from its very nature, in apable of such enlargement, being limited solely to the safe keeping of the public funds—that it it existed, it would be sus captible of the most dangerous abuses—that Congress might make the wildest and most dangerous association the depository of the public funts—might place then in the hands of the fanstics and the madmen of the North, of the fanatics and the madmen of the North, who are waging war against the domestic in stitutions of the South, under the plea of promoting the general welfare. But admitting that Congress possessed the power which the secretary a tributes to it, by what process of reasoning can he show that it has parted with this unlimited power, simply by directing the public moneys to be deposited in the Bank of the United States' or, if it has parted with the nower, he what extraordinary process. has it becatransferred to the Secretary of the Treasury, by those few and simple words, "unless he shall otherwise order?" In sup port of this extraordinary argument, the se cretary has offered not a single illustration, nor a single remark bearing the semblance of reason, but one, which I shall now proceed to

He asserts, and asserts truly, that the bank arter is a contract between the Govern int, or rather the people of the United stee and the bank, and then assumes that it satisfies him a common agent or trustee, to itules him a common agent or trustee, to intend the execution of the stipulations and in that portion of the contract commended in the sixteenth section. Let us taking these assumptions to be true, tain what those stipulations are, the successions of the execution of which, as an what those stipulations are, the suend case of the execution of which, as
rms, are jointly confided by the parties
secretary. The Government stipulatits part, that the public money should be
ited in the Bank of the United States—
t and valuable privilege, on which the
shill operations of the institution mainsends. The bank, on its part, stipulat
t the funds should be safely kept—
ne duties imposed in relation to them
the fastifully discharged, and that for
ith other privileges, it would pay to the
ament the sum of one million five hunhousand dollars. These are the stiputhan execution of which, according to
retary's assumption, he has been ap
d, as joint agent or trustee, to super

tent of power conferred upon him, ad-mitting his assumption to be true, is to withhold the deposites, in case that the bank should violate its stipulations extent of his power. According to his own showing, not a particle more can be added. But there is another aspect in which the position in which the Secretary has placed himself may be viewed. It offers for consideration not only a question of the extent of his power, but a question as to the nature and extent of duty which has been imposed apon him. If the position be such as he has described, there has been confided to him a trust of the most sacred character, accompanied by duties of the most solemn obligation. He standy by the mutual confidence of the parties, vested with the high judicial power to determine on the infraction or obser vance of a contract in which govern ment and a large and respectable portion of the citizens are deeply interested; and, in the execution of this high power he is bound by honor and con science, so to act as to protect each of the parties in the full enjoyment of their respective portion of benefit in the contract, so long as they faithfully ob serve it? How has the Secretary per formed these solemn duties, which ac cording to his representation have been imposed upon him? Has he protected the bank against the aggression of the government, or the government against the unfaithful conduct of the bank in relation to the deposites? Or has he, forgetting his sacred obligations, disregarded the interests of both-on one si .e, divesting the bank of the deposites, and on the other, defeating the government in the intended security of the public funds, by seizing on them as the property of the Executive, to be disposed, at pleasure, to favorite and partizen banks?

But I shall relieve the Secretary from this awkward and disreputable position in which his own arguments have placed him. He is not the mutual trustee, as he has represented, of the government and the bank; but simply the agent of the former, vested under the contract, with power to withhold the doposites with a view, as has been stated, to their additional security-to their safe keeping; and if he had but for a moment reflected on the fact, that he was directed to report his reasons to Congress only, and not also to the bank, for withholding the deposites, he could scarcely have failed to perceive that he was simply the agent of one of the parties, and not, as he supposes, a joint agent of both.

he Secretary having established, as he supposes, his right to dispose of the deposites, as in his opinion the general interest and convenience of the people might require, proceeds to claim and exercise power with a boldness commensurate with the extravagance of the right which he has asto determine in his official character, that the Bank of the United States is unconstitutional-a monopoly-baneful to the welfare of the community. Having determined this point, he comes to the conclusion that the charter of the bank ought not to be renewed, and then assumes that it will not be renewed. Having reached this point he then determines that it is his duty to remove the deposites. No one can object, that Mr. Taney, as a citizen, his individual character, shouldientertain an opinion as to the un constitutionality of the bank; but that he, acting in his official character, and performing official acts under the charter of the bank, should undertake to determine that the institution was unconstitutional, and that those who granted the charter and bestowed upon him his power to act under it, had violated the constitution, is an assumption of power of a nature which will not undertake to characterize, as I wish not to be personal.

But he is not content with the power simply to determine on the unconstitutionality of the bank. He goes far beyond-he claims to be the organ of the voice of the people. In this high character he pronounces that the question of the renewal of the bank charter was put in issue at the last Presidential election, and that the people had determined that it should not be renewed. I do not, said Mr. Calhoun, intend to enter into the argument whether, in point of fact, the renewal of the charter was put at issue at the last election. That point was ably and fully discussed by the honorable Senators from Kentucky, (Mr. Clay) and New Jersey, (Mr. Southard) who conclusively proved that no such question was involved in the issue; and if it were, the issue comprehended so many others that it was impossible to conjecture on which the election turned. I look to higher objection. I would enquire by what authority the Secretary of the Treasury constitutes himself the organ of the people of the United States. He has the reputation of being an able lawyer, and can he be ignorant that so long as the Constitution of the United States exists, the only organs of the people of these States, as far as the action of the General Government is concerned,

retary has done, the voice of the peo ple, through any other channel, is to shake the foundation of our system .in relation to them on one side, and on Has the Sec tary forgotten that the last from withholding the deposites, so long assumption which he has claimed for that department? I am thus brought. said Mr. C., to allude to the extraordinary manifesto read by the President to the Cabinet, and which is so intimately connected with the point immediately under consideration .-That document, though apparantly addressed to the Cabinet, was clearly and manifestly intended as an appeal to the people of the United States, and opens a new and direct organ of communication between the President and them unknown to the Constitution, and the laws. There are but two channels known to either, through which the President can communicate with the people -by messages to the two Houses of Congress as expressly provided for in the Constitu tion, or by proclamation, setting forth the interpretations which he places upon a law, it has become his official duty to execute. Going beyond, is one amongst the alarming signs of the times which portend the overthrow of the Constitution and the approach of despotic power.

The Secretary, having determined that the Bank was unconstitutional; and that the people had pronounced against the recharter, concludes that Congress had sothing to do with the subject. With a provident fore sight, he perceives that difficulty and embar rassment into which the currency of the coun try would be thrown on the termination of the Bank charter; to prevent which, he pro seeds deliberately, with a parental care, to supply an ew currency, " equal to, or better than that which Congress had supplied .-With this view, he determines on immediate removal of the deposites; he puts them in certain State institutions, intending to organize them after the fashion of the empire state, into a great safety-fund system, but which unfortunately, undoubtedly for the projec tors, if not for the country, the limited pow er of the State Banks did not permit him to effect. But a substitute was found by associating them in certain articles of agreement, and appointing an inspector general of all this league of banks! and all this without law or appropriation! Is it not amazing, that it never occurred to the Secretary, that the subject of currency belonged exclusively to Congress, and that to assume to regulate it, was a plain usurpation of the powers of

department of the government? Having thus assumed the power, officially to determine on the constitutionality of the Bank; having erected himself into an organ of the people's voice and settled the question of the regulation of the currency, he next proceeds to assame the judicial powers over the Bank. He declares that the Bank has transcended its powers, and had therefore forfeited its charter, for which he inflicts on the institution the severe and exemplary punishment of withholding the deposites; and all this in the face of an express provision, investig the court with power touching the infraction of the charter; directing in what manner the trial should be commenced and conducted, and securing expressly to the bank the sacred right of trial by jury, in finding the facts. All this passed for nothing in the eyes of the Secretary, who was too deeply engrossed in providing for the common welfare, to regard either Congress, the Court, or the Constitution.

The Secretary next proceeds to supervise the general operations of the bank, pronouncing with authority, that, at one time it has discounted too freely, and at another, too sparingly, without reflecting that all the control which the government can rightfully exercise over the operations of the institution, is through the five directors who represent the Government in this respect. Directors! Mr. Calhoun exclaimed, did I say, (alluding to the present,) No, spies is their proper designation.

I cannot, said Mr. C. proceed with the remarks which I intended, on the remainder of the Secretary's reasons; I have not patience to dwell on assumptions of power, so bold, so lawless, and so unconstitutional; they deserve not the name of argument, and I cannot waste time in treating them as such. There are, however, two which cannot pass over, not because they are more extraordinary, or audacious than the others, but for another quality which I choose nut to designate.

The Secretary alleges that the bank has interferred with the politics of the ceuntry. If this be true, it certainly is a most heinous offence. The bank is a great public trust, possessing, for the purpose of discharging the trust, great power and influence, which it could not pervert from the object intended to that of influencing the politics of the country, without being guilty of a great political crime. In making these remarks, I do not intend to give any countenance to the truth of the charge alleged by the Secretary, nor to deny to the officers of the bank the right which belongs to them in common with every citizen, freely to form political principles, and act on them in their private capacity, without permitting them to influence their official the charge of interfering in the politics of the country, that the Government also was a great trust, vested with powers still more extensive, and influence immeasurably greater than that of the Bank, given to enable it to discharge the object for which it was created; and that it has no more right to pervertits power and influence into the means of controlling the politics of the country, than the Bank itself. Can it be unknown to him that the Fourth

open policy of the Government is to reward political friends, and punish this principle, it has driven from office hundreds of honest and competent of ustice; but change the picture-let. sh; and what more odious, more hate ful, can be presented to the imagina tion?

The Secretary next tells us, in the same spirit, that the bank had been weight in the determination of so great cious publications.

But, admit that the bank has been been less wasteful than he has charged according to his own statement, has State Banks, where the public receives not a cent of interest, greatly affected stockholder, is the leser to the amount of one-fifth of the diminution?-a sum which I will venture to predict will many fold exceed the entire amount funds? which the bank has expended in its de fence. But this is a small, a very small proportion of the public loss, in consequence of the course which the Execuve has nursued in relation to the bank responding loss on its share of the letter. stock, amounting to seven millions of dollars-a sum more than two hundred fold greater than the waste which he has charged upon the bank. Other admin istrations may exceed this in talents, patriotism, and honesty, but certainly

without a parallel! The Secretary has brought forward many and grievous charges against the bank. I will not condescend to notice ury. But neither that section nor any them; it is the conduct of the Secretary, and not that of the bank, which is immediately under examination, and that extent I am prepared to examine public money from deposite and withhis allegations against it; but beyond drawing it. When paid into the place that he ims no right—no, not the least— designated by law as the deposite of the to arraign the conduct of the bank; and I, for one, will not, by noticing such the Treasurer, and then is in the Treacharges, beyond that point, sanction his authority to call its conduct in question. But let the point in issue be determined, and I, as far as my voice extends, will give to those who desire it, the means of the freest and most unlimno partizan of the bank; I am connected with it in no way, by monied or politicial authority is given to the Secretary of ties. I might say, with truth, that the the Treasury, in the fifteenth section, bank owes as much to me as to any other individual in the country; and I might ed. Standing in this relation to the in stitution, a high sense of delicacy-a regard to independence and character, from the deposite, and to loan it to fahas restrained me from any connexion conduct. But it is strange, it did not with the institution whatever, except too favorably-I should say give-(they way of ordinary business, which were their credits, or enlarge their profitsnot of the slightest importance either to

the bank or myself. But while I shall not condesend to notice the charges of the Secretary against the bank, beyond the extent swer to these views, that money paid in which I have stated, a sense of duty to deposite into the bank, as directed by the institution, and regard to the part law, is not in the Treasury. I will which I took in its creation, compels me not stop, said Mr . C., to reply to such to notice two allegations against it an objection. If it be not in the treaswhich have fallen from another quar- ury, where is the Treasury? If it ter. It is said that the bank had no be not money in the Treasury, where led for, Mr. Webster read the report agency, or at least efficient agency in is the money annually reported to be which occupied about an hour and

Is it not obvious that the whole ex- the people. A claim on the part of the principal political managers of the pronounce to be without just foundation, the Treasury!—that it is in the Executive to interpret, as the Sec- Administration? Can be be ignorant tion. To enter into a minute examinating about at large, subject to be di that the whole powers of the Govern- tion of them, would carry me too far ment has been perverted into a great from the subject, and I must content political machine, with a view of cor- myself with saying, that having been on rupting and controlling the country?- the political stage without interruption, Can be be ignorant that the avowed and from that day to this-having been an attentive observer of the question of the currency throughout the whole pepolitical enemies? and that, acting on riod—that the bank has been an indispensable agent in the restoration of specie payments; that without it, the ficers for opinion's sake only, and fill restoration could not have been effected their places with devoted partizans? ed, short of the utter prostration of all Can he be ignorant that the real of the monied institutions of the country, fence of the Bank, is not that it has and an entire depreciation of bank pa intermeddled in politics, but because it per, and that it has not only restored would not intermeddle on the side of specie payment, but has given a currenpower? There is nothing more dignifi- cy far more uniform, between the exed than reproof from the lips of inno- tremes of the country, than was anticicence, or punishment from the hands of pated-or even dreamed of at the time of its creation. I will say for myself, that the guilty reprove, & the criminal pun- I did not believe at that time, that the exchange between the Atlantic and the West would be brought lower than two and a half per cent., the estimated expense then, including insurance and loss of time, of transporting specie between wasteful of the public funds. That it the two points. How much it was be has spent some thirty, forty, or fifty low the anticipated point, I need not thousand dollars, I do not remember state; the whole commercial world the exact amount- (trifles have no knows that it was not a fourth part at the time of the removal of the deposits. question) in circulating essays and But to retuch from this digression. speeches in defence of the institution, Though I will not notice the charges of which sum one fifth part-some of the Secretary for the reasons already seven thousand dollars-belonged to stated; I will take the liberty of prothe Government. Well, sir, if the pounding to those who support them on

bank has really wasted this amount of this floor, a few plain questions. I the public money, it is a grave charge, there be in banking institutions an in-It has not a right to waste a single herent tendency so strong to abuse and cent, but I must say, in defence of the corruption as they contend-if, in conbank that, assailed as it was by the sequence of this tendency, the bank of Executive, it would have been unfaith | the United States be guilty of the enorful to its trust, both to the stockholders mous charges and corruptions alleged, and to the public, had it not resorted to notwithstanding its responsibility to every proper myans in its power to de the Government an door control over it. fend its conduct, and among others, what is to be expected from irresponsithe free circulation of able and judi- ble league banks, as called by the Senator from Kentucky, (Mr. Clay,) over which we can have no legal control? ruilty of wasting the public funds, to the If our power of renewing the charter full extent charged by the Secretary, I of the Bank of the United States-it would ask if he, the head of the finen- our right to vacate the charter by scire cial department of the Government, is fucias, in case of misconduct-if the not under as high and solemn obligation influence which the appointment of to take care of the monied interest of five Government Directors, gives us: he public as the bank itself? I would and finally, if the power which we have ask him to answer me a few simple of appointing committees to examine questions: How has he performed this into its condition, are not sufficient to luty in relation to the interest which hold the institution in check; if is the public holds in the bank? Has he spite of all these, it has, from the innate corruption of such institutions, the bank to have been? Has he not been guilty of the enormous abuse and wasted thousands where the bank, even crimes charged against it, what may we not expect from the associated hundreds? Has he not, by withdraw- banks, the favorites of the Treasury, ing the deposits and placing them in the over the renewal of whose charter the government has no power; against which it can issue no scire fucias; in the dividends of the Bank of the United whose direction it has not a single in-States, in which the Government, as a dividual, and into whose conduct Con gress can appoint no committee to look? With these checks all withdrawn, what would be the condition of the public

I, said Mr. Calhoun, stated in the outset of my remarks, that as broad as was the power which the Secretary had assumed in relation to the deposites, that there was a portion of the transand which has reduced the value of the action of a highly important character. shares, from 130 to 108-(a Senator to which he has not alluded, and in re near me says much more. It may be lation to which he has not even at I am not particular in such things,) - | tempted a justification. I will now proand on which the public sustains a cor- ceed to make good this assertion to the

There is a material difference between withholding money from going into the bank, and withdrawing it after it has been placed there -The former is authorised the manner in which I have stated, under the sixteenth section. in audacity, in effrontery, it stands which directs, as has been frequently. stated, that the public money shall be deposited in the bank, unless otherwise ordered by the Secretary of the Treasportion of the act incorporating the bank, nor, in truth, any other act, gives the Secretary any authority, of himself he has no right to drag the conduct of to withdraw public money deposited the bank into the issue beyond its oper- in the bank. There is, I repeat, a mations in regard to the deposits. To terial difference between withholding public money, it passes to the credit of ury of the United States, where it is placed under the protection of the con stitution itself, and from which, by an express provision of the constitution. it can only be withdrawn by an appro priation made by law. So careful were ited enquiry into its conduct. I am the framers of the act of 1816, to leave nothing to implication, that express to transfer the deposites from one place to another, for the convenience of dis even add, that, had it not been for my bursements; but which, by a strange efforts, it would not have been charter perversion, is now attempted to be so construed as to confer on the Secre tary the power to withdraw the money vorit State banks .- I express myself occur to the Secretary, while he was some trifling accommodations, in the pay no interest) with a view to sustain accusing and punishing the bank on way of ordinary business, which were their credits, or enlarge their profits a power, not only far beyond the Secre tary, but which Congress itself could not exercise without a Ragrant breach of the constitution. But, it is said in an-

ed of-to be given away, at the w the Executive, to favorites and zans? So it would seem; for it ap by a correspondence between the To surer and the Cashier of the derived through the bank, (the Se tary not deeming it worth while give the slightest information of the transaction, as if a matter of course that he has drawn out two millions as a quarter of the public money, with appropriation, and distributed it pleasure among his favorites! (To be concluded in our next.)

CONGRESS.

Monday, Feb. 6. Mr. Poindexter called up his reso lutions, giving instructions to the Com mittee on Finance, is regard to there. moval of the depositel, but owing to the want of time required for the discossion of certain points of order, they were again laid on the table.

Mr. Webster gave notice that who the Senator from Pennsylvania, expecting to follow the Senator from New Jersey now on the floor, should have finished his remarks on the subject, he Mr. Webster, would then move tore. fer it, together with the report of the Secretary of the Treasury, to the Committee of Finance.

Mr. Frelinghuysen addressed th Senate, on Mr. Clay's resolutions in regard to the removal of the deposites. and before he had concluded the Senate adjourned.

Tuesday, Feb. 4. The following message was received from the President of the United

To the Senate and House of Representation I deem it my duty to communicate to Com gress the recent conduct of the Bank of the United States, in refusing to deliver the books, pa pers, and funds, in its possession, relating to execution of the set of Congress of June? 1932, entitled, an act supplementary to the "Act for the relief of certain surviving office and soldiers of the Revolution." The correpondence reported by the Secretary of War, and here with transmitted, will show the grounds as sumed by the Bank to justify its refusal to min the transfer directed by the War Department.-It does not profess to claim the privilege of this agency as a right faccured to it by contract, are as a benefit conferred by the Government, but a burthen from which it is willing to be relieved. It places its refusal upon the extraordinary ground that the corporation has to a right site judgment upon the ggality of the acts of the constituted aut'sorities, in a matter in which the Stockholders are admitted to have no interes, and it impedes and d feath, as far as its poss will permit, the execution of a measure of the Administration, because the opinion of the su Congress, differs from that of the proper of cers of the United States.

The claim of this Corporation, thus to use the functions of the judicial power, and one scribe to the Executive Department the manufin which it shall execute the trust confided to by law, is without example in the history of or country. If the acts of the public servants, wh are responsible to the people for the manorra which they execute their duty, may thus be checked and controlled by an irresponsible a ney exporation, then, indeed, the whole fra of our Government is changed, and we have established a power, in the Bank of the b nited States, above what we derive from the pe-

It will be seen, from the accompaying state ment, marked A, that, according to the literaccountereceived at the War Department, is have in their possession near half a million the public tames received by them under the law of 1832, which they have not yet accou law of 1832, which they have not yet account for, and which they refuse to pay over to the proper agents, for the use of those persons is whose benefit it was withdraws from the Tres-ury. It is to be regretted that this attempt of the part of the Bank to guide and direct the Erecutive upon the construction and execution an act of Congress should have been put forward insisted on in a case where the immediate ferers from their conduct will be the surviving of terans of the kievolutionary war; for this evil all exclusively upon the gallant defenders of the constry, and delays and embarrases the payment of the debt which the gratitude of the nation has awarded to them, and which, in many instances is necessary for their subsistence, and comfort it has dealers.

their declining years.

The character of the claim set up by the Bank, and the interest of the claim set up by the Bank, and the interest of the parties to be immediately affected by it, make it my duty to set unit the whole subject to the consideration of Congress; and I leave it to their wisdom to dopt such measures as the boner of the Government and the just claims of the individuals because by the proceedings, may be deemed to require.

require.
Having called for the opinion of the Att General upon this occasion, with if view to thorough investigation of the question which be thus been presented for my consideration, enclose a copy of the report of that officer, as add my entire concurrence in the views he less

Fubruary 1, 1834. ANDREW JACKSON.

After some remarks by Messra Clay, Grundy and Wright, the mer sage was referred to the committee of the Judiciary. [It appears that the ground assumed by the Bank for refeliver the books, &c. is that the Agent was confided to that institution by las, and that the Executive Department has therefore, no right to supercede it in the execution of its duties.]

Mr. Wright presented the memors of a large number of merchants and other citizens of the city of New York, on the subject of the condition of the financial operations of the country, and expressive of their opinions of the secessity of a National bank; which we read and referred to the committee of Firance.

Mr. Frelinghuysen resumed and con cluded his remarks on the subject o the removal of the Deposites.

Wednesday, Feb. 5. Mr. Webster, from the Committee Finance, to which were referred ! Report of the Secretary of the Trease ry on the removal of the deposites. AP the second of the resolutions offered b the Senator from Kentucky, made report, the reading of which being co assumption, he has been ap in agent or trustee, to super on which he would assume the power which he claims over to dispose of them in such may think the public interest or east of the people may require, and that the voice of the people may require, and that the voice of the voice of the people may require, and that the voice of the voice o