## The NORTH-CAROLINA

## MAGAZINE;

OR,

## UNIVERSAL INTELLIGENCER.

From FRIDAY SEPTEMBER 28, to FRIDAY OCTOBER 5, 1764.

The Remainder of the ESS AY on Conversation, began in our last.

HEY are too far embarked in a bad Caufe to make a Rein a bad Caufe to ma

They are very prolix in invalidating those Arguments, which No body lays any Stress upon; but when they are really strong and impregnable, they would fain slip them over as haltily as they can, and take a slight, cursory Notice of them. Very material Objections are to them like marshy Ground: A Man may make a Shift to run lightly and nimbly over it; but if he ever tread leisurely, and dwell long upon one Place, he infallibly finks.

If ever a Vein of Ridicule be necessary, I think it is here, where a Vein of just Arguing can have no Essect. When a Man is steeled and hardned against all Conviction, we may, like Hannibal, after other Expedients have been tried in vain, cut through the Rock with Vinegar. Some Jesuits once in Company with Monsieur Boileau, asserted, according to the Principles of that Society, that Attrition was only necessary; and that we were not obliged to love God. It was to no Purpose to unravel their Fallacies: They shewed themselves inviolably attached to their Error; when Mr. Boileau, started up, cried, "Oh!" when how prettily will, it sound in the Day of

"Iudgment, when our LORD shall say to his Elect: Come you, ye well-beloved of my Father; for you never loved me in your Life, but always forbad that I should be beloved, and constantly opposed those Hereticks, who were for obliging Christians to love me; and you, on the contrary, Go to the Devil, and his Angels, you the accursed of my Father; for you have loved me with your whole Heart, and have solicited and urged every Body else to love me." This Raillery struck the Opponents dumb; and bore down that Opposition, which the most

--- Ridiculum acri

cogent Arguments before could not quell.

Fortius & melius magnas plerumque secat res. If a handsome Opportunity presents itself, it may not be amiss to deal with an opinionative Fellow, as Bishop BRAMHALL did with the Popish Missionary. When his Antagonist would obstinately maintain whatever he had rashly advanced, the Bishop drove the Disputant up in so narrow a Corner, that he was forced to affirm, that Eating was Drinking, and Drinking was Eating, in a material or bodily Sense. This Affertion was so big with Palpable Absurdities, that he needed no greater Trophy, if he could get under the Jesuit's Hand what he declared with his Tongue; which, being defired, was by the other, in his Heat and Shame to feem to retreat, as readily granted. But upon cooler Thoughts, (fays my Author) finding perhaps, after the Contest was over, that he could not quench his Thirst with a Piece of Bread; he reflected fo fadly on the Dishonour he had suffered, that, not being able to digest it in ten Days Time he died.