3 dols. a year,

PUBLISHED (WEEKLY) BY WILLIAM BOYLAN.

[Or 2,50 in advance.

Vol. 14

RALEIGH, (N. C.) THURSDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1809.

No. 716

DOCUMENTS, WHICH ACCOMPANIED THE MESSAGE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

MR. SMITH TO MR. JACKSON. Department of State, Oct. 19, 1809.

and into ar unprecedented violation of the States. essential rights of a public minister, requir-

-nay, of which your letter itself is an ad executed the task imposed upon him. assed on that occasion.

and propositions of the other.

have been sufficiently apprised, by my in three weeks. of the 9th, of the light in which your prede-

wiedged and competent agent and repany its refusal with a formal and frank dis- ceived my observations on each. of sufficient reasons for a step, which, in its good faith.

er has violated his instructions."

to execute it on the other side.

Iskine to communicate such explanations. It that the terms of the agreement so happily con- appre-

requiring the precision and respect of a formal of the 31st July and of the 14th of August. communication, it is certain, that it was neither The declaration " that the despatch from Mr. of a law of the U. S. in the cases of the prohibit-I have had the honor of receiving your letter understood by Mr. Pinkney, nor intended by Mr. Canning to Mr. Erskine of the 23d January is the ed trade in question, would prove mefficacious, for fore I proceed to the more material topics, regarded. Mr. Pinkney is explicit on this point. scribed to Mr. Erskine for the conclusion of an Had none of these obstacles presented themhit embraces, it is proper that I should take And Mr. Canning himself, after declining to re- arrangement on the matter to which it relates" is selves to the course corresponding with the sennotice of your construction, which has un capitulate in writing what he had a rould remark now for the first time made to this government timents and dispositions of the president, I should ly converted an intimation of the expediency ed, signified to Mr. Pinkney in a jetter dated May And I need hardly add, that if that dispatch had have felt great pleasure in giving you formal as-

formal protest and a resort to the commands given to Mr. Erskine, it might be sufficient to re- the arrangement would not have been made. mark that they were never carried into execution; As you have disclaimed any authority to offer of a friendly negociation to every point of differrecurrence to that intimation cannot fail to but it may be asked, whether it was a mark of explanations for the disavowal, as you have been ence, and mutual interest between them. If, inthat its sole object was to avoid, in the fur- friendly respect to the United States to employ willing to ascribe the want of such authority to deed, in the event of a successful termination of iscussions of a case of unusual delicacy and for such a purpose, a minister from whom his the consideration that other channels had been what relates to the case of the Chesapeake, it be rtance, the misconceptions well known to be government had thought proper publicly to with preferred, and as you have even considered the thought that a removal of the difficulties arising ent to oral proceedings, and of which the draw its confidence, and to the peculiar delicacy circumstences under which the arrangement took from the orders in council might be facilitated by matic intercourse between the two govern- and embarrassment of whose situation you have place to be such as could only lead to a disavowal, comprehending them in a general negociation, s had furnished so many and such serious yourself referred, as accounting for his not having and therefore as superseding the necessity of any and the operation of the orders can in the mean

the present, as on that occasion, the charge any misconstruction of the delay by apprizing the taining themverbal to written communications was re- American government through its min or of ppeared to one of the parties to have, by incident to your mission gave rise to the conververbal discussions, been brought to a point, sation of Mr. Canning and Mr. Pinkney, is not required a precise understanding of the reconcileable to the correspondence of the letter, which contains no such indication. On the conthe right, which every government has the intention to replace Mr. Erskine by a succes the rules of intercourse with foreign func- sor, whom he regarded as the proper channel for ies near it, no encroachment has been made the explanatory communications, that he under ended on any right or customary privilege stood Mr. Canning to be under the same impres ing to you in that character, nor any thing sion, and that he learned from yourself, not more o impede the proper and usual course of than two days after his conversations with Mr. Canning, that you were to sail for the U.S. with

with this government, and of the grounds to have given to this subject a posture which it ally expected that you would in your letter retaining effect on the other belligerents. ich he has expected a formal and satisfacto- would not have naturally assumed, yet such has bave stated with precision in what that effer dilis in that expectation, and in the opinion, the two circumstances, is. That no trace of com- that you would have shown in what the reparate here has been given no explanation that is plaint from this government against the disavow- on thus tendered differed from his instructions .-ate, either as to the matter, or as to the al appears in the records of the British mission, and when I had the honor to intimate that in the retaliating plea alleged in support of them. or was distinctly announced by me in our confer- order to avoid the misconceptions, incident to

ter, as well as the rights of the party con- cause this government could not have entered in ke; not only because I did not really under such complaint before the reasons for the disavow stand the particulars of the offer as distinctly orefuse with honor (says a high authority al had been explained, and especially as the ex as you seem to have supposed, but also because, blic law) to ratify what has been concluded planations were justly and confidently expected on that point, and on that alone, you had expressvirtue of a full power, it is necessary that through the new functionary. And as to the sup- ly stated that you had propositions to make, and evernment should have strong and solid rea- posed reserve on my part on this subject in our that you were authorised to carry them into im and that he shew in particular that his several conferences, I did imagine, that my re- mediate execution. peated intimations to you of the necessity of sa-

by both parties, previous to an execution ment respecting the orders in council were adopt- navy. arried into full effect with good faith on the structions, to begin with what is the most desira the United States. rangement on the part of the United States ling, and it is confirmed by universal experience.

in conversation between Mr. Canning and to leave no room for doubt. His subsequent letter had been ascertained and acknowledged. hkney, and by the instructions given to of the 15th June renewing his assurance to me. The

With every disposition to view in the most fa- " cluded by the recent negotiation will be strictly culable abuses incident to such a license to fo' vorable light whatever may affect the relations," fulfilled on the part of his majesty," is an evi- reign cruisers, the stipulation would touch one between the two countries, it is impossible to dent indication of what his persuasion then was as of those vital principles of sovereignty, which no mistake the conversations of those ministers for a to his instructions. And with a view to shew what nation ought to have been expected to impair. discharge of such a debt to the good feith and, his impressions have been even since the disavow- For where would be the difference, in authorising reasonable expectations of the United States. Be- al, I must take the liberty of referring you to the a foreign government to execute and authorissides that they were mere conversations in a case annexed extract (See C.) from his official letters ing it to make laws for us? Nor ought it to be

Canning, that those conversations were so to be only dispatch by which the conditions were pre- its purposes. nducting in a written form our further dis- 27th, that his observations on the subject would been communicated at the time of the arrange is it is of his readiness to execute the condirobibition of all verbal communications what- Mr. Erskine, who was about to proceed to the U. tions contained in it and which were at first pre restoring, in its full extent, as far as it may desented by Mr. Erskine were the only ones, on pend on the United States, the commercial in-With respect to the instructions on this point, which he was authorized to make an arrangement, tercourse of the two countries, and that he would

explanation whatever, it is to be regretted, that time, be suspended, the door might be considered al illustration. That a change in diplomatic I must here repeat, what was suggested in my you had not deemed it proper to render precise as immediately open to that course of proceeding, ssions from an oral to a written form is not former letter, that the successor of Mr. Erskine and explicit, that part of your letter which seems To such a suspension no reasonable objection take the liberty of recalling your attention the course most considers to those friendly sen elucidated the observation by a reference to the and emire revocation of the orders in council. subjoined extract (See A & B) of letters timents was not the obvious one of employing particular expressions which, at all events, put it

disavowal; and without enquiring whether your which they were originally founded. authority be derived from instructions that have This principle was, as you well know, the neeclinded to execute them.

On the subject of the orders in council, the wed, was not a treaty or convention to be more reasonable terms comprized in the arrange- enforcing of an act of congreess by the British must be an illegitimate object.

by your government) been actually and the right of His Britantic Majesty to disavow the unnation on the part of his Britannic majesty to ad in council of May last. hately carried into execution on the part of proceeding? Is any thing more common in public here to such extraordinary pretensions, would pre- You seem to consider that measure as compris-

the United States. Nay, the case is hie and to end with what is found to be admissible. As to the demand in relation to the colonial faith of that act. thened by the further peculiarity, that some in case the more desirable should not be attaina- trade, it has been the less apprehended, as it is Without entering into a full view of the inade circumstances attending the execution of ble. This must be obvious to every understand not in itself connected, nor has it ever been quacy of the order in that respect, I take the librought into connexion, either with the case of the berty of pointing out the following instances in it bususceptible of a full equivalent for the What were the real and entire instructions given orders in council, or with that of the Chesapeaker which it falls essentially short of its declared into execute it on the other side. What were the real and entire instructions given orders in council, or with that of the Chesapeaker which it falls essentially short of its declared into execute it on the other side. as not escaped observation, that the obli- tween him and his government. That he had, idea of such a condition had in the first instance. The order does not provide for the important of your government to tender explanations or at lerst, that he believed he had sufficient au- proceeded from the erroneous belief that it was case of vessels returning with cargoes from the occasion is admitted by your attempt to thority to conclude the arrangement, his formal not objectionable to the United States, that it ports of Holland. that it has been sufficiently done in what assurances, during our discussions, were such as would not have been persisted in after that error 2. The exemption from interruption of vesse

ded. Besides the inevitable and incal-

supposed that the sanctions and precautions

moreover be disposed to extend the experiment

ut precedent, I cannot refer to one which is the proper functionary for a proper explanation, to imply that you had in our conversations in can be made, if, as you have stated, the orders in e more satisfactory to you than the intima. Nor can I perceive the force of your remark, that relation to the affair of the Chesapeake, following council as now modelied leave the trade of the ecently given by Mr. Canning, in the case the delay incident to your arrival in the U. States the words of your instructions, held out not only U. S. nearly as great as it would be without the proposal by Mr. Pinkney on the subject of rendered it more consistent with the friendly sen- the manner in which the reparation had been ac existence of such orders, so long as France and rders in council and the embargo, that the timents of his majesty to prefer the other channels of pted, but even the form in which it had been the other powers shall continue their decrees, and sions which had been jacviously verbal must for communicating the motives for his disavowal tendered, as warranting his majesty in even re inasmuch as a discontinuance of their discrees by forth take a written form. And with this To your own reconsideration I appeal, whether stricting the offer of reparation, and that you had those it wers confessedly requires an immediate

That a suspension of the orders with a view to the new organ, guarding at the same time against lotally out of his power to confirm any act con- their being brought into a general negociation is more reasonable than a temporary submission to Whatever may have been your intention in this their authority, by the United States, with that d after two conferences, and when the sub- the cause of it. The supposition, that the delay part of our conversation, or whatever may be the view, it is obvious from the reflection that such a import of the passage to which I have just alluded, summission would necessarily involve a relinquish-I have now the honor of signifying to you, that I ment of the principle which they have stadfastly am authorised to receive in a proper form what-asserted, whereas a discontinuance of the orders ever explicit explanations you may chuse to make, in council in the present actual state of things will, sir, hence perceive, that in min- trary it distinctly shows that he was apprised of with respect to the grounds of this part of the would not be incompatible with the principle on

been addressed to yourself, or that have devolved pressity of retaliating, through neutrals, injuries on vau as the successor of the minister who had received through a violation of their rights by another beligerest. In the actual state of things, As you have at the same time, been pleased and under the actual modification of the orders in to say that his Britannic majesty had authorised council produced by it, it is admitted by you, that con to renew the offer of satisfaction which Mr. the orders have no practical effect in abridging the Although it may not have been your intention brokine was instructed to make it was also note commerce of neutrals, and can of course, have no

-Aithough a cannot be allowed to be true that planation of the reasons for the refusal of been the tendency of some of your remarks, and fered from the reportation solerants tendered by the orders in council are no longer injur ous to the ritannic Majesty to carry it into effect. He particularly of the conclusion you have deawn from Mc Er kine and accepted by the U. States, and commerce of the United States, it is certainly rue that they produce no degree of injury to the enemies of Great Britan, that can countenance

What, permit me to ask, is the degree of miuen one government has been solemnly ences, and 2d. that from the official correspond- oral proceedings, it was thought expedient that ry actually accruing to the enemies of Great Bris d to another in a mutual engagement by its ence of Mr. Ersking with his government, it ap- our further discussions on the present occasion tain from her retaliating orders? According to pears, that although he did not communicate in should be in the written form, there was no part those orders, as now modified, and more especito fulfil the pledge, it is perfectly clear, that extenso his original instructions, he submitted to or the subject to which that intimation applied ally taking into view, along with them the prohis it, both to itself and to the other party, to me the three conditions therein specified and re- with more force than the case of the Chesaperke; bitory law of this country in relation to France, none on which it was more desirable to avoid the essential difference between their repeal and If there be no trace of complaint against the misconceptions and to obtain a precise knowledge their existence consists in this -that in the case of their repeal, as pledged by the arrangement of April, the trade of the United States might be carried on directly with the ports of Holland, whilst during their existence, as at present, it is to be carried on through the contiguous and neighboring ports. To your own calculations, sir, I submit, whether the inconsiderable effect of this circuit on the prices in Holland and in the countries supplied through her, can any longer sustain. hough it is particularly incumbent on the So-tisfactory explanations, as to the disavowal, were president perceives with sentiments of deep re- the plea of inflicting distress on an enemy, or paln in such case to shew that his instructions sufficient indications of the dissatisfaction of this gret, that your instructions contemplate, neither liate the injuries done to a friend, by a proceeding been violated, yet it is not a mere violation government with respect to the disavowal itself, an explanation of the refusal of your government so contrary to his sentiments of justice, and which m on im terial points that will be suffici- The stress you have laid on what you have been to fulfil the arrangement of that branch of the subject his regular commerce not only to inconve-It is indispensably requisite, moreover, pleased to state as the substitution of the terms existing differences, northe substitution of any o- nient channels, but to all the abuses which may he reasons be strong and solid, that they finally agreed on, for the terms first proposed ther plan of adjustment, nor any authority to result from the suspicions, real or pretended, of estly outweigh not only the general obliga has excited no small degree of surprize. Certain conclude any agreement on that subject; but interested cruizers. You cannot but be sensible, abide by what has been so done, but also it is, that your predecessor did present for my receive and discuss proposals, that that a perseverance under such circumstances in appointment and injury accruing to the consideration the three conditions which now ap- might be made to you on the part of the United a system, which cannot longer be explained by party. And it is worthy of notice that the pear in the printed document-that he was dis- States; and these, it appears, must include a its avowed object, would force an explanation by under discussion is of a higher character, posed to urge them more than the nature of two stipulation on the part of the United States to re- some object not avowed. What object might be peals with greater solemnity to the honor of them (both palpably inadmissible und one more linquish the trade with the enemies colonies, e considered as best explaining it is an inquiry into ustice of the refusing party, than the case than merely inadmissible) could permit, and that ven in branches not hitherto interrupted by Bri- which I do not permit myself to enter, farther in Vattel, inasmuch as the transaction, now on finding his first proposals unsuccessful, the tish oders for capture, and also a sanction to the than to remark, that in relation to the U. S. it

It remains to make a few observations which her. It had according to the terms of it ed. And what, sir, is there in this to counted be been con-nance the conclusion you have drawn in favor of positions from this government, a known deter-which are invited by yours, relating to the order

nited States. The refusal of his Britannic negotiations than to begin with a higher demand, clude the hope of success in such advances, whe lings he ut most precaution that was in the power y is, therefore, not simply to ratify what and, that failing, to descend to a lower? To have, ther regard be had to the conditions themselves, of his Britannic majesty to take, for preventing en ratified by the other party, but to carry if not two sets of instructions, two, or more than or to the disposition they indicate in return for losses, from his disavowal of the engagement of fect on his part an arrangement which had two grades of propositions in the same set of in the conciliatory temper which has been evinced by your predecessor, to citizens of the U. S. who had resumed their commercial pursuits on the

bound from the U.S. to Holland, was restri ther demand could still less have been by that order to such as should have departe (Continued in 4th hage.)