THE RALEIGH MINERVA

THREE DOLLARS PER TEAR,

RALEIGH, N. C .- PUBLISHED (WEBELY) DY LUCAS AND A. H. BOYLAN.

[OR \$2 50 CENTS IN ADVANCE.

No. 850.

Vol. 17.

POLITICAL.

Address to the People of the United States, BY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, On the subject of WAR WITH GREAT BRITAIN.

The undersigned Members of the House of Representatives, to their respective Constituents.

A Republic has for its basis the capacity and right of the people to govern themselves. A main principle of a representative republic is the responsibility of the representatives to their constituents. Freedom and publicity of debate are essential to the preservation of such forms of government. Every arbitrary abridgment of the right of speech in representatives, is a direct infringement of the liverty of the people. Every unnecessary concealment of their proceedings of such a war, there were some peculiar to the United States, rean approximation towards tyranny. When, by systematic rules, a sufting from the texture of the government and the political relations majority takes to itself the right, at its pleasure, of limiting speech, of the people. A form of government, in no small degree experior denying it, altogether; when secret sessions multiply; and in proportion to the importance of questions, is the studious conceal. ment of debate, a people may be assured, that, such practices continuing, their freedom is but short lived.

Reflections, such as these, have been forced upon the attention of the undersigned, Memoers of the House of Representatives, of the United States, by the events of the present Session of Congress. They have witnessed a principle, adopted as the law of the House, by an unprecedented assumption, the right to give reasons for an great weight and very general influence. original motion, bas been made to depend upon the will of the maority.

impels them to the present duty.

eret session, on this most interesting of all your public relations, al- of the origin of which, but very imperfect information has as yet discouragement of the native American. hough the circumstances of the time and of the nation, afforded no been given to the public. Without any express act of Congress, an the result of the vote.

is now before the public, nothing confidential was communicated. That message contained no fact, not previously known. No one

FRIDAY, JULY 17, 1812.

citizens, by suggesting imputations against e portion of them, as un- character. When Britain is at war and the United States neutral. merited by their patriorism, as unwarranted by evidence.

It has always been the opinion of the undersigned, that a sys. tem of peace was the policy, which most comported with the character, condition, and interest of the United States. That their remote ness from the theatre of contest, in Europe, was their peculiar felicity and that nothing but a necessity, absolutely imperious should induce them to enter as parties into wars, in which every considera. tion of virtue and policy seems to be forgotten, under the overbear. ing sway of rapacity and ambition. There is a new era in human affairs. The European world is convulsed. The advantages of our own situation are peculiar. " Why" quit our own to stand upon for eign ground ? Why, by interweaving our destiny with that of any part of Europe, entangle our peace and prosperity in the toils of European ambition, rivalship, interest, humour, or caprice ?"

In addition to the many mural and prostantial considerations, which should deter thoughtful men from hastening into the perils. mental, composed of powerful and independent sovereignties asso ciated in relations, some of which are critical, as well as novel, should not be hastily precipitated into situations, calculated to put to trial, the strength of the moral bond, by which they are united.

sions, which are hostile and dangerous to such a form of governits recent institutions. Above all, it appeared to the undersigned men, on board American merchant vessels, by which, under a novel application of the previous question, a pow- from signs not to be mistaken, that if we entered up in this war, we

insults to our ports, imperiously demanded such a systematic appli prehension of injury? The momentous question of war, with Great Britain, is decided. cation of harbor and sea coast defence, as would repet such aggres. United States. And now we are told about the agency of British

Except the message of the President of the United States, which traders, as to Indian hostilities. It deserves consideration, whether

the merchant service of the United States, holds out to British sea. men, temptations almost irresistable ;- high wages and peaceful employ, instead of low wages and war-service ;- safety, in lieu of hazard ;-entire independence, in the place of qualified servitude.

That England whose situation is insular, who is engaged in a war, apparently for existence, whose ceamen are her bulwark, should look upon the effect of our principle upon her safety, with jealousy, is inevitable ; and that she will not hatrad the practical consequences of its unregulated exercise, is certain. The question, therefore, presented, directly, for the decision of the thoughtful and virtuous mind, in this country, is-whether war, for such an abstract right be justifiable, before attempting to guard against its injurious ten-

dency by legislative regulation, in failure of treaty. A dubious right should be advanced with hesitation. An ex-treme right should be asserted with discretions. Morel duty requires, that a nation, before it appeals to aims, should have been, not only true to itself, but that it should have failed, in no duty to others. If the exercise of a right, to an unregulated manner, be in effect, a standing invitation to the subjects of a foreign power to become deserters and traitors, is it no injury to that power f

Certainly, moral obligation demands that the right of flag, like all other human rights should be so used, as that, while it protects what is our own, it should not injute what is anothers. In a prac-Of all states, that of war, is most likely to call into activity the pas. tical view, and so long as the right of flag is restrained, by no regard to the undeniable interests of others, a war on account of imment. Time is yet important to our country to settle and mature pressments, is only a war for the right of employing British seas

The claim of Great Britain pretends to no further extent, than er is assumed by the majority to deny the privilege of speech, at a- did it as a divided people ; not only from a sense of the inadequacy to take British seamen from private merchant vessels. In the exny stage, and under any circumstances of debate And recently, of our means to success, but from moral and political objections of ercise of this claim, her officers take American scamen, and foreign seamen, in the American service ; and although she disclaims such

It appears to the undersigned, that the wrongs, of which the U. abuses, and proffers redress, when known, yet undoubtedly griev-States have to complain, although in some aspects, very grievous to ous injuries have resulted to the seamen of the United States. But Principles more hostile than these to the existence of representad our interests, and, in many, humilitating to our pride, were yet of the question is, can war be proper for such cause, before all hope ive liberty, cannot easily be conceived. It is not, nowever on these a nature, which, in the present state of the world, either would not of reasonable accomodation has failed ? Even after the extinguishaccounts, weighty as they are, that the undersigned have undertak- justify war, or which war would not remedy. Thus, for instance, ment of such hope, can it be proper, unfil our own practice be so en this address. A subject of higher and more immediate importance the hovering of British vessels upon our coasts, and the occasional regulated as to remove, in such foreign nation, any reasonable ap-

The undersigned are clearly of opinion that the employment of On this topic, so vital to your interests, the right of public debate, sions, but, in no light, can they be considered as making a resort to British seamen, in the merchants service of the United States, is in the face of the world and especially of their constituents, has war, at the present time, on the part of the United States, either as little reconcilable with the permanent, as the present interest of been denied to your representatives. They have been called into se- necessary, or expedient. So also, with respect to the Indian war, the United States. The encouragement of foreign seamen is the

The duty of government towards this valuable class of men is not one reason for secrecy, unless it be found in the apprehension of the expedicion was, last year, set on foot and prosecuted into Indian ter only to protect, but to patronize them. And this cannot be done effect of public debate, on public opinion; or of public opinion on ritory, which had been relinquished by treaty, on the part of the more effectually than by securing, to American citizens the privileges of American navigation.

The question of impressment, like every other question relative there has been such provident attention as would have been proper to to commerce has been treated, in such a manner, that what was remove any cause of complaint, either real or imaginary, which the possessed, is lost without obtaining what was sought. Pretensions, reason for war was intimated but such as was of a nature public and Indians might allege, and to secure their friendship. With all the right in theory, and important in interest, urged, without due connotorious. The intention to wage war and invade Canada, had been sympathy and anxiety excited by the state of that frontier ; impor sideration of our relative power, have eventuated in a practical abanlong since openly avowed. The object of hostile menace had been tant as it may be, to apply adequate means of protection, against the donment, both of what we hoped and what we enjoyed. In attempt ostentatiously announced. The inadequacy of both our army and Indians, how is its safety ensured by a d-claration of war, which ing to spread our flag over foreigners, its distinctive character has been last to our own citizens. The American seaman, whose interest it is to have no competitors, in his employment, is sacrificed that British seamen may have equal privileges with himself. Ever since the United States have been a nation, this subject has been a matter of complaint and negotiation ; and every former administration have treated it according to its obvious nature, as a subfect rather for arrangement than for war. It existed in the time of Washington, yet this father of his country recommended no such resort. It existed in the time of Adams, yet, notwithstanding the zeal, in support of our maritime rights, which distinguished his administration, war was never suggested by him, as the remedy, During the eight years Mr. Jefferson stood at the helm of affairs, if still continued a subject of controversy and negotiation : but it was difficulty ; and they are well aware how stubborn is the will and how never made a cause for war. It was reserved for the present ad. blind the vision of powerful nations, when great interests grow into ministration to press this topic to the extreme and most dreadful

newy, for successful invation, and the manificiency of the fortifica adds the British to the number of enemies ? tions for the security of our seaboard were, every where, known Yet the doors of Congress were shut upon the people. They have been carefully kept in ignorance of the progress of measures, until the purposes of administration were consummated, and the fate of the country scaled. In a situation so extraordinary, the undersigned have deemed it their duty by no act of theirs to sanction a pro ceeding, so novel and arburary. On the contrary, they made every attempt, in their power, to attain publicity for their proceedings. All such attempts were vain. When this momentous subject was stated, as for debate; they demanded that the doors should be open.

This being refused, they declined discussion ; being perfectly convinced, from indications, too plain to be misunderstood, that, in the house, all argument, with closed doors, was hopeless ; and that a ny act, giving implied validity to so flagrant an abuse of power. would be little less than treachery to the essential rights of a free people. In the situation, to which the undersigned have thus been reduced, they are compelled, reluctantly to resort to this public declaration of such views of the state and relations of the country, as determined their judgment and vote upon the question of war. A measure of this kind has appeared to the undersigned to be more imperiously demanded, by the circumstance of a message and mani. festo being prepared, and circulated at public expence, in which the causes for war were enumerated and the motives for it concentimed, in a manner suited to agitate and influence the public mind. In excouting this task, it will be the study of the undersigned to reconcile asserted by each, perhaps irreconcilable. the great duty, they owe to the people, with that constitutional res. pect, which is due to the administrators of public concerns.

In commencing this view of ouraffairs, the undersigned would fail in duty to themselves, did they refrain from recurring to the course, the Captain, may be an alien in relation to public measures, which they adopted and have undevi atingly pursued from the commencement of this long and eventful jects is inalienable, in time of war, and that their seamen. found on " ception of "The narrow seas" was targed and insisted on by Lord session ; in which they deliberately sacrificed every minor consider the sea, the common highway of nations, shall not be protected; by ation to, what they deemed, the best interests of the country.

For a succession of years the undersigned have from principle disapproved, a series of restrictions upon commerce, according to tion of the American claim, for the immunity of their flag. But their estimation, inefficient as respected foreign nations and injuri- they cannot refrain from viewing it as a principle. of a nature very ill success was carefully attributed to the influence of opposition.

undersigned deemed it proper, to take away all apology for adher. ty ; or to employ British seamenence to this oppressive system. They were desirous, at a period so The claim of Great Britain to the services of her seamen is nei-critical in public affairs, as far as was consistent with the independ ther novel, nor peculiar. The doctrine of allegiance, for which she would rejoice in such an occurrence.

The course of public measures also, at the opening of the session, heir daty to foster, by giving, to any system of measures, thus account? comprehensive, as unobstructed a course as was consistent with

ciet service money ; and used, by the chief magistrate, to dissemi-

Date suspicion and jealousy ; and to excite resentment, thoug the

As " a decent respect to the opinions of monkind" has not induced the two houses of Congress to concur in declaring the reasons, or motives, for their enacting a declaration of war, the undersigned and the public are left to search, elsewhere, for causes either real, or ostensible. If we are to consider the President of the United States, and the committee of the house of Representatives, on foreign relations, as speaking on this solemn occasion, for Congress, the United States have three principal topics of complaint against Great Britain. Impressments ;-blockades-and orders in council.

Concerning the subject of impressment, the undersigned sympathize with our unfortunate seamen, the victims of this abuse of power, and participate in the national sensibility, on their account. They do not conceal from themselves, both its importance and its controversy.

exercise of any right to the full extent of its abstract nature, be inconsistent with the safety of another nation, morality seems to re-

that the flag of their merchant vessels shall protect the mariners. This privilege is claimed, although every person on board, except

The British government asserts that the allegiance of their subthe flag of private merchant vessels.

The undersigned deem it unnecessary here to discuss the ques-To this cause the people were taught to charge its successive fail. that the peace of this happy and rising community should not be a bres and net to its intrinsic imbecility. In this state of thing the bandoned, for the sake of affording facilities to cover French proper.

dence of opinion, to contribute to the restoration of harmony in the contends is common to all the governments of Europe. France, as Public of uncils, and concord among the people. And if any advan- well as England, has maintained it for centuries. Both nations claim, age could be thus obtained in our foreign relations, the undersigned, in time of war, the services of their subjects. Both by decrees for being engaged in no purpose of personal or party advancement, bid them entering into foreign employ. Both recall them by proclamation.

No man can doubt that, in the present state of the French margave hope that an enlarged and enlightened system of defence, with lne, if American merchant vessels were met at sea, having French provision for a security of our maritime rights, was about to be seamen on board that France would take them. Will any man be commenced. A purpose, which, wherever found, they deemed it lieve that the United States would go to war against France, on this

For very obvious reasons, this principle occasions little collision deir general sense of public duty. After a course of policy, thus with France, or with any other nation, except England. With the liberal and conciliatory, it was cause of regret that a communication English nation, the people of the United States are closely assimil should have been purchased by an unprecedented expenditure of se- ated, in blood, language, intercourse, habits, dress, manners and

Washington.

resort of nations : although England has officially disavowed the But, before a resort to war for such interests, a moral nation will right of impressment, as it respects hative chizens; and an arrangeconsider what is just, and a wise nation what is expedient. If the ment might well be made, consistent with the fair pretensions of such as are naturalized.

That the real state of this question may be understood, the unquire that, in practice, its exercise should, in this respect, be modi- dersigned recur to the following facts as supported by official docuhed. If it be proposed to vindicate any right by war, wisdom de ments. Mr. King, when minister in England, obtained a disavowal mands that it should be of a nature, by war to be obtained. The of the British government of the right to impress " American seainterests connected with the subject of impressment are unquestion. 'men," naturalized as well as native, on the high seas. An arrangeably great to both nations ; and in the full extent of abstract right as ment had advanced, nearly to a conclusion, upon this basis, and Serted by each, perhaps irreconcilable. was broken off only, because Great Britain insisted to retain the The government of the United States asserts the broad principle right on " the narrow seas." What, however, was the opinion of the American minister, on the probability of an arrangement, appears from the public documents, communicated to Congress, in the session of 1808, as stated by Mr. Madison, in these words. " at the moment the articles were expected to be signed, an ex-

> " St. Vincents, and being utterly inadmissible on our part, the ne-" gociation was abandoned."

Mr. King seems to be of opinion, however, "that, with more " time than was left him for the experiment, the objection might " have been overcome." What time was left Mr. King for the ex! ous, chiefly, to ourselves. Success, in the system, had become iden. broad and comprehensive ; to the abuse of which, the temptations periment, or whether any was ever made has not been disclosed to tified with the pride, the character; and the hope of our cabinet. As are strong and numerous. And they do maintain that, Before the the public. Mr. King, scon after returned to America : It is manias patural with men, who have a great stake depending on the suc. calamities of war, in vindication of such a principle be incurred, all fest from Mr King's expression that he was limited in point of time, cess of a favorite theory, pertinacity seemed to increase as its hope the means of negociation should be exhausted, and that also every, and it is equally clear that his opinion was that an adjustment could lessness became apparent. As the inefficiency of this system could practicable attempt should be made to regulate the exercise of the take place. That Mr. Madison was also of the same opinion is not be admitted, by its advocates, without ensuring its abandonment right; so that the acknowledged injury, resulting to other nations, demonstrated, by his letters to Messrs. Monroe and Pinkney, dated should be checked, if not prevented. They are clearly of opinion the 3d of February, 1807, in which he uses these expressions, "F " take it for granted that you have not failed to make due use of " the arrangement concerted by Mr. King with Lord Hawksbury, in the year 1802, for settling the question of impressment. On " that occasion and under that administration the British principle " was fairly renounced in favor of the right of our flag Lord Hawks-" bury, having agreed to prahibit impressments on the high stas," and " Lord St. Vincent requiring nothing more than an exception of the " narrow seas, an exception resting on the obsolete claim of C. " Biltain to some peculiar dominion over them." Here then we have a full acknowledgment that G. Britain was willing to renonnce the right of impressment, on the high seas, in fevor of our flog :that she was auxious to arrange the subject.

It further appears that the British ministry called for an interview with Messrs. Monroe and Pinkney, on this topic ; that they stated the nature of the claim, the King's prerogative ; that they had consulted the crown officers and the board of admiralty, who all concurred in sentiment, that under the circumstances of the nation, the relinquishment of the right was a measure, which the government could not adopt, without taking on itself a responsibility which no ministry would be willing to meet, however pressing the exigency might be. They offered, however, on the part of Great