## RALEIGH, (N. C.)

PRINTED, WEEKLY, AT ALEX. LUCAS. to be paid in advance. No paper to be continued longer than three months after a year's subscription becomes due, and notice thereof shall have been given. Advertisements, not exceeding 14 lines, are inserted thrice for one dollar, and for twenty-five cents each subsequent insertion; and in like proportion where there is a greater number of lines than fourteen.

## Congress of the United States.

WASHINGTON, OCTOBER 11. HIGHLY IMPORTANT.

The following message was yesterday sent to both Houses of congress, by the President of the United States. The sentiments it excited in both Houses were parely national, and al most unanimous.

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States.

I lay before Congress communications just received from the Plenipotentiaries of the United States, charged with negotiating peace with of June. Great Britain ; shewing the conditions on which to the war.

The instructions to those plenipotentiaries, disclosing the grounds, on which they were authorised to negociate and conclude a treaty of peace, will be the subject of another communication.

JAMES MADISON.

Washington, October 10, 1814. DOCUMENTS.

Copy of a letter from Messrs. Adams, Bayard, Clay, and Russell, to Mr. Monroe, Secretary of State, dated

Ghent, 12th August, 1814. day. The place having been agreed upon, we respecting theni. accordingly met, at one o'clock, on Monday, the eighth inst.

at that conference; which was opened on their further subjects considered by our government tranquility much longer than they were known part by an expression of the sincere and earnest as suitable for discussion : desire of their government, that the negociation 1st. A definition of blockade; and as far parties. They, at the same time declared, that and belligerent rights. proposal for this negociation, had altered the capture and seizure. the terms upon ried its views as to was willing to conclude the peace.

We answered, that we heard these declarashould unite them by lasting bonds of amity.

come under discussion.

boundary to be settled for their territory.

a treaty of peace which did not embrace the disposed to make peace with them. Great Britain.

and represented the proposed revision- as in- such arrangement. tended merely for the purpose of preventing uncertainty and dispute.

declare, that the British government did not or state the pretentions of his government; we are now waiting for the result. generally, or in the open seas; but that the pri- and might arise out of a subsequent state of it was agreed, that there should be a protocol of the conferences; that a statement should for ted States, of fishing within the limits of the In reply to our observation, that the propose that purpose be drawn up by each party, and British jurisdiction, and of landing and drying ed stipulation of an Indian boundary was withthat we should meet the next day to compare to which they could agree upon the subject fish on the shores of the British territories, out example in the practice of European nations the statements. We accordingly met again on

peace for their renewal.

the negociation? and they desired us to state ratification of our government? on our part, such other subjects as we might before we gave an answer.

they could be admitted in any shape. We did preliminary basis.

grounds the foundation of a peace which, secur- that they should have been anticipated and therto practised? tries. No such provision had, to our knowledge, sell them to a third party. We understood them to intimate, that the ever been inserted in any treaty made by Great | The proposition respecting Indian-boundary British government did not propose this point Britain or any other European power in rela- thus explained, and connected with the right of as one which they were particularly desirous tion to the same description of people, existing sovereignty ascribed to the Indians over the of discussing : but that, as it had occupied so under like circumstances. We would say, country, amounted to nothing less than a deprominent a place in the disputes between the however, that it would not be doubted, that mand of the absolute cession of the rights both two countries, it necessarily attracted notice peace with the Indians would certainly follow of sovereignty and of soil. We cannot abstain may be agreed, of other neutral and belligenand was considered as a subject which would a peace with Great Britain : that we had in- from remarking to you, that the subject (of In- ent rights. formation that Commissioners had already been dian boundary) was indistinctly stated when 2. The Indian allies of Great Britain to be appointed to treat with them; that a treaty to first proposed, and that the explanations were for captures and seizures preceding and subseincluded in the pacification, and a definite that effect might, perhaps, have been already at first obscure and always given with reluc- quent to the war. concluded; and that the United States having lance. And it was declared from the first mo-

dians, but also between the United States and in order that, even if no arrangement should be ed?

would not be renewed without an equivalent. it was asserted, that the Indians must in some Wednesday the 10th instant, and ultimately a- must be without specific authority from their The extent of what was considered by them sort be considered as an independent people, greed upon what should constitute the protocol government, it was not possible for them, pres waters peculiarly British, was not stated, since treaties were made with them, both by of the conferences. A copy of this instrument, vious to discussion, to decide whether any arc From the manner in which they brought this Great Britain and by the United States ; upon we have the honor to transmit with this des- ticle on the subject could be formed which

derstand that they were not anxious that it tant difference between the treaties we might should be discussed, and that they only intended as to give us notice that these privileges had such a treaty as was proposed to be made restored by the British Commissioners objected.

Their objection to some of the pussages was

The British Commissioners having stated, sioners whether, in case they should enter furenter into negociation on these several points, ment on the points on which we had no instruc-

intend to propose for discussion in the course distinctly understood, and the objects in view conferences, until they could obtain further inof the negociation. The meeting was then ad- more precisely disclosed, we could not decide structions from their government. The return journed to the next day, in order to afford us whether it would be possible to form any satist of their messenger may, perhaps, disclose the the opportunity of consultation among ourselves factory article on the subject; nor pledge our- motive of their reluctance in that respect. selves as to the exercise of a discretion under In the course of the evening of the same day, our powers, even with respect to a provisional we received your letters of the 26th and 27th agreement. We added, that as we should deeply deplore a rupture of the negociation on any There could be no hesitation, on our part, point, it was our anxious desire to employ all alone that government is willing to put an end in informing the British Commissioners, that possible means to avert an event so serious in we were not instructed on the subjects of Indi- its consequences; and that we had not been an pacification or boundary, and of fisheries. without hopes that a discussion might correct Nor did it seem probable, although neither of the effect of any erroneous information which these points had been stated with sufficient the British government might have received precision in that first verbal conference, that on the subject, which they had proposed as

not wish, however, to prejudge the result, or by We took this opportunity to remark, that no any hasty proceeding abruptly to break off the nation observed a policy more liberal and hunegociation. It was not impossible that, on the mane towards the Indians than that pursued by subject of the Indians, the British government the U. States, that our object had been, by all had received erroneous impressions from the practicable means, to introduce civilization a-Indian traders in Canada, which our representmongst them; that their possessions were setations might remove : And it appeared, at all cared to them by well defined boundaries ; that sin-We have the honor to inform you that events, important, to ascertain distinctly the their persons, lands and other property were the British Commissioners, Lord Gambier, precise intentions of Great Britain on both now more effectually protected against violence Henry Coulburn, Esquire, and William Adams, points. We, therefore, thought it advisable to or frauds from any quarter, than they had been Esquire, arrived in this city on Saturday even- invite the British Commissioners to a general under any former government; that even our ing, the sixth instant. The day after their ar- conversation on all the points; stating to them citizens were not allowed to purchase their rival, Mr. Baker, their Secretary, called upon at the same time, our want of instructions on lands; that when they gave up their title to aus to give us notice of the fact, and to propose two of them, and holding out no expectation of my portion of their country to the U. States, it a meeting, at a certain hour, on the ensuing the probability of our agreeing to any article was by voluntary treaty with our government, who gave them a satisfactory equivalent; and At our meeting on the ensuing day we inform- that through these means the U. States had ed the British commissioners, that upon the first succeeded in preserving, since the treaty of We enclose, herewith, a copy of the full and third points proposed by them we were pro- Greenville of 1795, an uninterrupted peace of powers exhibited by the British Commissioners vided with instructions, and we presented as 16 years, with all the Indian tribes; a period of

to have enjoyed heretofore. It was then expressly stated on our part, that might result in a solid peace, honorable to both as might be mutually agreed of other neutral the proposition respecting the Indians, was not distinctly understood. We asked whether the no events which had occurred since the first | 2d. Claims of indemnity in certain cases of pacification, and the settlement of a boundary for them were both made a sine qua non? pacific disposition of their government, or va- We then stated that the two subjects, 1st of Which was answered in the affirmative. The which it Indian pacification, and boundary, and 2d of question was then asked the British Commis fisheries, were not embraced by our instructions. sioners, whether the proposed Indian bounda-We observed, that as these points had not ry was intended to preclude the U. States from tions with great satisfaction, and that our gov- been heretofore the grounds of any controversy the right of purchasing by treaty from the Inernment had acceded to the proposal of nego- between the government of Great Britain and dians, without the consent of G. Britain, lands ciation, with the most sincere desire to put an that of the United States, and had not been al- lying beyond that boundary? And as a restricend to the differences which divided the two luded to by lord Castlercagh, in his letter pro- tion upon the Indians from selling by amicable countries, and to lay upon just and liberal posing the negociation, it could not be expected treaties lands to the U. States as had been hi-

ing the rights and interests of both nations, made the subject of instructions by our govern- To this question it was first answered by one ment; that it was natural to be supposed, that of the Commissioners, that the Indians would The British Commissioners then stated the our instructions were confined to those subjects not be restricted from selling their lands, but following subjects, as those upon which it ap- upon which differences between the two coun- that the U. States would be restricted from peared to them that the discussions would be tries were known to exist; and that the propo- purchasing them; and on reflection another of likely to turn, and on which they were instruc- sition to define, in a treaty between the United the Commissioners stated, that it was intended States and Great Britain, the boundary of the that the Indian territories should be a barrier 1. The foreible seizure of mariners on board Indian possessions within our territories, was between the British dominions and those of the of merchant vessels, and in connection with it, new and without example. No such provision United States; that both G. Britain and the the claim of his Britannie Majesty to the alle- had been inserted in the treaty of peace in 1783, United States should be restricted from purgiance of all the native subjects of Great Bri- nor in any other treaty between the two coun- chasing their lands; but that the Indians might

agreed on, upon the points not included in our The British Commissioners, after having rethe United States and the adjacent British co- States might be possessed of the entire and the Indians were peremptory, stated that unless precise intentions of that of Great Britain, res- we could give some assurance, that our powers With respect to this point, they expressly pecting these points, and that the British gov- would allow us to make at least a provisional disclaimed any intention, on the part of their ernment might be fully informed of the object arrangement on the subject, any further discusgovernment, to acquire an increase of territory, tious, on the part of the United States, to any sion would be fruitless and that they must consult their own government on this state of sioners a statement of the views and objects In answer to our remark that these points things. They proposed accordingly a suspen- of Great Britain, upon all the points, and their had not been alluded to by Lord Castlereagh sion of the conferences until they should have willingness to discuss them all. After having stated these three points, as in his letter proposing the negociation, it was received an answer, it being understood that subjects of discussion, the British Commission- said, that it could not be expected, that, in a each party might call a meeting whenever they asked, whether, if those of Great Britain ers added, that before they desired any answer letter merely intended to invite a negociation, had any proposition to submit. They despatch should enter further upon this discussion, parfrom us, they felt it incumbent upon them to he should enumerate the topics of discussion, ed a special messenger the same evening, and ticularly respecting the Indian boundary, the

deny the right of the Americans to the fisheries since these would depend upon ulterior events | Before the proposed adjournment took place, subject into view, they seemed to wish us to un- which we pointed out the obvious and impor- patch; and we also enclose a copy of the state- would be mutually satisfactory, and to which

without an equivalent, nor unless we thought lemnly acknowledged the territory on which that they appeared to be argumentative, and aroner to provide expressly in the treaty of they resided to be part of the United States. that the object of the protocol was to contain a We were then asked by the British Commis-mere statement of facts. They, however, objected to the insertion of the unswer which they that these were all the subjects which they in- ther upon the discussion of the several points had given to our question respecting the effect tended to bring forward or to suggest, requested which had been stated, we could expect that it of the proposed lodgen boundary; but they ato be informed, whether we were instructed to would terminate by some provisional arrange- greed to an alteration of their original proposition on that subject, which renders it much more and whether there was any amongst these tions, particularly on that respecting the ladi- applicit than as stated, either in the first conwhich we thought it unnecessary to bring into ans, which arrangement would be subject to the ference or in their proposed draught of the protocol. They also objected to the insertion of We answered that, before the subjects were the fact, that they had proposed to adjourn the

We have the honor to be, very respectfully, sir, your humble and obedient servants.

J. A. BAYARD, H. CLAY, JONA. RUSSELL Protocol of Conferences.

AVEUST STH, 1814. The British and American Commissioners having met, their full powers were respectively produced, which were found satisfactory, and copies thereof were exchanged.

The British Commissioners, stated the fat. lowing subjects as those upon which it appeared to them that the discussions between them. selves and the American Commissioners, would be likely to turn.

1. The forcible seizure of mariners from on board merchant ships on the high seas, and in connection with it the right of the king of Great Britain to the allegiance of all his native sub-

2. That the peace be extended to the Indian allies of Great Britain, and that the boundary of their territory be definitively marked out, as a permanent barrier between the dominions of Great Britain and the United States. An arrangement on this subject to be a sine qua non of a treaty of peace.

3. A revision of the boundary line between the British and American territories, with the view to prevent future uncertainty and dispute.

The British Commissioners requested information whether the American Commissioners were instructed to enter into negociation on the above points? But before they desired any and swer, they felt it right to communicate the intentions of their government as to the North A. merican fisheries, viz. That the British government did not intend to grant to the United States, gratuitously, the privileges formerly tranted by treaty to them, of fishing within the limits of the British sovereignty and of using the shores of the British territories for purposes connected with the fisheries. August 9.

The meeting being adjourned to the 9th of August, the Commissioners met again on that

The American Commissioners at this meet ing stated, that upon the first and third points proposed by the British Commissioners, they were provided with instructions from their government, and that the second and fourth of these points were not provided for in their instructions. That in relation to an Indian pacification, they knew that the government of the United States had appointed Commissioners to treat of peace with the Indians, and that it was not improbable that peace had been made with

The American Commissioners presented as further subjects considered by the government of the United States as suitable for discussion, 1. A definition of blockade, and as far as

2. Certain claims of indemnity to individuals

3. They further stated there were various The British Commissioners stated, that an no interest, nor any motive, to continue a sepa- ment, to be a sine qua non, rendering any dis. other points to which their instructions extendarrangement upon this point was a sine qua rate war against the Indians, there could never cussion unprofitable until it was admitted as a ed, which might with propriety be objects of non; that they were not authorized to conclude be a moment when our government would not be basis. Knowing that we had no power to cede discussion, either in the negociation of the to the Indians any part of our territory, we peace, or in that of a treaty of commerces Indians, as allies of His Britannic Majesty; We then expressed our wish to receive from thought it unnecessary to ask, what probably which in the case of a propitious termination and that the establishment of a definite boun- the British Commissioners a statement of the would not have been answered till the principle of the present conferences they were likewise dary of the Indian territory was necessary to views and objects of Great Britain upon all the was admitted, where the line of demarkation of authorised to conclude. That for the purpose secure a permanent peace, not only with the In- points, and our willingness to discuss them all, the Indian country was proposed to be establish- of facilitating the first and most essential object of peace, they had discarded every subject which was not considered as peculiarly connected with that, and presented only those points which appeared to be immediately rele-

vant to this negociation. The American Commissioners expressed their wish to receive from the British Commis-

They, the American Commissioners were American Commissioners could expect that it would terminate by some provisional arrangement, which they could conclude, aubject to the ratification of their government?

They answered, that as any arrangement