

GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

SATURDAY, NOV. 29.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.—The following bills were introduced and referred to their respective appropriate committees.

Mr. Ellis, a bill to improve the navigation of the Yadkin River, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Internal Improvements.

Mr. Widler, a bill to protect Real Estate; which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Washington, a bill to provide suitable punishment for owners who occupy or Houses, who may destroy, wilfully, their Houses by fire; which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. J. H. White, a bill to alter the time for appointing Superintendants of Public Schools; which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Education.

The following Resolutions were read the first time, passed and referred to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. J. H. White, a Resolution in favor of Benj. Murra, late Sheriff of Lincoln County. Mr. Steele, one in favor of C. C. Knight, late Sheriff of Richmond, and Mr. Gamble, one in favor of E. K. Brown.

Mr. Brown, of Sampson, introduced a bill to incorporate Thaddeus Lodge, No. 3, of the Independent Order of Odd Fellows, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Mr. Gilliam, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported unfavorably on the bill to amend the Act, which was received from His Excellency, the Governor, transmitting the Report of the Board of Internal Improvements. This document not being filed owing to the failure of the Clerk to forward copies to the House, its report, it was ordered to be on the table.

MONDAY, NOV. 30. SENATE.—Mr. Cameron introduced a bill to incorporate the Mercantile Steamboat Company, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The bill to amend an Act of the last Session, entitled an Act in favor of Four Persons, passed second reading, and was, on motion of Mr. Hayes, referred to the table.

The report of the Committee on Propositions and Grievances, on the petition to emancipate Samuel Mackey, was taken up and read; whereupon Mr. Cameron stated that he could not read the report, as it was so long, and he was advanced in age—being about 65 years old; that he had known Mackey for the last 25 years; during which time he had uniformly sustained the reputation of an honest, upright and upright man. It was not present such an array of testimony as the petitioner from Wake, John Malone; but he could testify that he was an equally high character.

Mr. Waddell remarked, that the petition contained in the report, which was read, was not a petition, but a radical change in public sentiment in progress or already effected in this matter. It was not good policy to drive from the State persons of that class, of good, honorable, estimable character. In certain cases, it is better to let them remain, and to let them go, than to let them go. He did not present such an array of testimony as the petitioner from Wake, John Malone; but he could testify that he was an equally high character.

Mr. Waddell remarked, that the petition contained in the report, which was read, was not a petition, but a radical change in public sentiment in progress or already effected in this matter. It was not good policy to drive from the State persons of that class, of good, honorable, estimable character. In certain cases, it is better to let them remain, and to let them go, than to let them go. He did not present such an array of testimony as the petitioner from Wake, John Malone; but he could testify that he was an equally high character.

Mr. Cameron stated that in this case the court could not exercise the power, as said slave had fled for himself; and at his request, the clause in the law forbidding it, in such case, was read by the Clerk.

Mr. Waddell said it was clear, from this provision, that the applicant could not succeed before the court. He was not prepared to say what should be done; but he was prepared to give a favorable, demurratory course which drove from the State all persons of this class, of good character, and retained among us such only as the most degraded vagabonds. For the purpose of allowing for consideration, he suggested that the report be on the table; which was agreed to.

The Resolution in favor of James Page, was taken up, and the amendment of Mr. Francis, in favor of Samuel J. Finch, was rejected.

Mr. Graham moved amendments to the 23d and 24th sections of the Bill; which were agreed to.

Mr. Francis also moved amendments to the 24th and 25th sections of the bill; which were severally agreed to.

After several verbal amendments proposed by Messrs. Patterson, Gilchrist, and other Senators, which were agreed to, Mr. Thompson moved to amend the Bill by adding an amendment to the 30th section of the Bill, which was adopted. He also moved to amend the Bill by adding the following after the 34th section, viz:

And be it further enacted, That in case of an insolvency of the Company hereby created, or ultimate inability to complete the same, the individual Stockholders shall be liable to creditors in sums equal to the amount of Stock by them respectively held in said Corporation.

During the consideration and discussion of said amendments, and before the question was taken thereon, the Senate adjourned until to-morrow morning, at 10 o'clock.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.—Mr. Steele, of Richmond, presented a memorial from sundry citizens of Montgomery County, against County Court Judges, which was referred to the Committee on Propositions and Grievances.

Mr. Brown, of Randolph, presented the following Resolution, which was adopted: Resolved, That a message be sent to the Senate, proposing to amend the Act, to designate the time and manner of counting and comparing the votes cast for Governor at the late August election.

Mr. W. F. Jones, of Rutherford, introduced a bill to amend the Militia Laws of this State, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. Widler, of Wayne, introduced a bill to amend an Act in the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act concerning Mills, Millers, &c.

Mr. Gwynn, a bill for the relief of Securities, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Gilliam, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported unfavorably on the passage of the bill to amend the 34th section of the Revised Statutes, entitled the Acts and Free Persons of color, and the 12th section of the same, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Keweenaw introduced a Resolution, providing that the Colonel Commandant, Lieutenant Colonel, and all other Field Officers belonging to the Regiment of North Carolina Volunteers, should be raised, should be appointed by the Commanding Officers of the respective Companies composing said Regiment, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 1. SENATE.—John Walker, Esq., Senator elect of the 1st Senatorial District, composed of the Counties of Mecklenburg and Union, appeared, produced his credentials, was qualified, and took his seat.

Mr. Patterson, from the Committee on Internal Improvements, reported the bill to amend an Act in favor of Lumber River, and recommended its passage. Ordered to lie on the table.

Resolved, That Samuel J. Finch, Principal Doorkeeper of the House of Commons in 1840, and who attended and officiated as Doorkeeper for one day at the organization of the House of Commons in 1842, be allowed a mileage and pay for his attendance at that Session.

Mr. Francis also introduced the following Resolution, which was adopted: Resolved, That the Public Treasurer be, and he is hereby authorized and required to correspond with the Bank of the State and the Bank of Cape Fear, and ascertain whether they will, at the Principal Banks and at their Branches and Offices of Deposits or Agencies, act as Agents for the State to receive or deposit on deposit from the several Sheriffs or Coroners the amount of the payable yearly (subject to the check of the Public Treasurer) from each of said Sheriffs or Coroners, into the Public Treasury, and that said Bank or Branch or Office of Deposit, as the case may be, any default or either of said Officers, under the law now in force, or that may hereafter be enacted, for the collection of the Revenue of the State.

Mr. Sprague introduced a bill to restrain pauper Free Negroes from removing from one County to another; which passed first reading, and was referred to the Committee on Propositions and Grievances.

On motion of Mr. Francis, the committee on Finance were instructed to inquire into the expediency of destroying all vouchers herebefore passed upon by them, now accumulating in the Comptroller's office, and that they report by bill or otherwise.

Mr. Gilmer introduced a bill concerning the trial of cases in the Superior Court, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Waddell introduced a bill for declaring the intent and meaning of an Act passed at the Session of 1840, entitled an Act to amend the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act for preventing frauds in the purchase of land, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The Senate, on motion of Mr. Francis, then proceeded to the consideration of the bill to incorporate the Camden and Charlotte Railroad Company, together with the amendment proposed by the Stockholders liable for its debts. After a long and interesting discussion, the bill was passed by a majority of 12 yeas and 10 nays, and the amendment rejected.

Mr. Dargatzis moved an amendment to the bill, which was adopted, and the bill as amended passed second reading.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.—Mr. Williams of New Hanover, presented a memorial from the Wilmington and Raleigh Companies, praying for relief from the debt due to the Literary Fund; which was read and referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. Washington, of Craven, presented a bill to amend an Act in the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act for preventing frauds in the purchase of land, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Calloway, of Ashe, a bill to regulate the price hereafter to be paid for vacant land, and other purposes, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Propositions and Grievances.

Mr. Widler, of Wake, a bill to incorporate Manito Lodge number eight, in the City of Raleigh, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Mr. Hoover, of Davidson, presented the following Resolution, which was adopted: Resolved, That the Secretary of Military Affairs be instructed to inquire into the expediency of amending the Militia Laws in regard to the Uniform of Commissioned Officers, and the number of Drill and Petty Officers in a year.

Mr. Calloway, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported unfavorably on the bill to amend the Revised Statutes, entitled the Acts and Free Persons of color, which passed second reading, and, on motion of Mr. Hicks, was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Gilliam, from the same committee, reported, without amendment, the bill to amend the Revised Statutes, entitled the Acts and Free Persons of color, which passed second reading, and, on motion of Mr. Hicks, was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Hawkins, from the committee on Claims, reported favorably on the petition of John C. Knight, late Sheriff of Richmond; which passed second reading.

Mr. Mohan, from the committee on Propositions and Grievances, reported to the House the petition of John Cameron and other persons, of the County of Cumberland, as related to the emancipation of certain Slaves, heretofore referred to, and prayed that the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the subject. The report was concurred in, and the committee discharged.

Mr. Person, of Moore, introduced a bill to change the location of the Court House of the County of Lincoln, and for other purposes.

Mr. Austin, of Wilkes, moved that the bill be postponed until the first day of March next. The question was determined in the affirmative, Yeas 20, Nays 4.

Mr. Hicks, of Macon, introduced a bill to pay the Field Officers of the Militia for the year 1845, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

THE HOUSE THEN ADJOURNED. WEDNESDAY, DEC. 2, 1845. SENATE.—Mr. Ellington, reported from the committee on Military Affairs, a bill to regulate the Distribution of the Public Arms which passed first reading.

Mr. Albright reported from the committee on Propositions and Grievances, a bill to regulate the removal of Pauper Free Negroes from moving from one County to another, which was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Albright, from the same committee, reported a bill to amend an Act in the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act to regulate the removal of Pauper Free Negroes from moving from one County to another, which was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. Melcher introduced a bill to enable the People of Stanley County to restore their records and papers, which was ordered to lie on the table.

The Engrossed Bill to repeal an Act entitled an Act to repeal the 3d section of an Act passed in the year 1825, Chap. 127, entitled an Act to regulate the manner in which licenses shall hereafter be issued to Retailers of Spirituous Liquors, so far as regards the Counties of New Hanover and Richmond, was read the third time, passed and ordered to be enrolled.

The bill to amend an Act, entitled an Act for a Canal from Cape Fear to Lumber River, was read the second time and passed.

Mr. Waddell moved that a message be sent to the House of Commons, proposing to postpone the election of Attorney General until Tuesday next. The Yeas and Nays being called for, it was decided in the negative. Yeas 22, Nays 24.

Mr. Calloway, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported the following Bill, viz: A bill to regulate arrest on process in North Carolina, and recommended its rejection; also, a bill to amend an Act in the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act to secure the State against liability in case of fire, and the Gaston Railroad Company, and for the relief of the same; and a bill to amend an Act entitled an Act to amend the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act concerning the Mills, Millers, and other persons, which were ordered to lie on the table.

The bill to provide for holding a Term of the Superior Court in a year in the Western part of the State, passed second reading.

Mr. Daniel moved to amend striking out Anson and Montgomery, and substituting Union and Cabarrus, which was agreed to.

The Senate then proceeded to execute the Joint order of the two Houses, by going into an election for Attorney General. Mr. Whitaker received 24 yeas, Mr. Moore 10, Mr. Stanley 9, Mr. Kerr 2, Mr. Waddell 1, Mr. Elliott 1, Mr. Miller 1, Mr. Gilmer 1. No gentleman having received a majority of the whole number of votes cast, there was no election.

The Governor, received from His Excellency, Agent for the Raleigh and Gaston Railroad, which was read, and on motion of Mr. Francis,

or when—at least, we so judged, from the queer and doubting looks of Senators. The Senate voted unanimously for the Resolution. When the vote was announced, Mr. F. moved a suspension of the Rules of the Senate, and that it be postponed until the next day. The motion was carried, and the bill was read and referred to the committee on Private Bills.

Mr. Adams, of Guilford, a bill to alter the mode of electing the Warden Court for Guilford County, for other purposes; which passed first reading and was referred to the committee on Private Bills.

Mr. Ogborne, of Guilford, a bill in reference to Mortgages and Deeds, which was read the first time and referred to the committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Washington, of Craven, a bill authorizing the Governor to establish a Depot of Arms at Newbern; which passed first reading and was referred to the committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. Gilliam, from the committee on the Judiciary, reported, with an amendment, the bill in addition to the Revised Statutes, entitled an Act concerning the trial of cases in the Superior Court, which passed first reading and was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Gilliam, from the same committee, reported unfavorably on the bill to repeal the 28th Section of the 102nd chapter of the Revised Statutes, when the bill was read and on his motion laid on the table.

The hour having arrived for the execution of the joint order, messages were exchanged and the House proceeded to vote for Comptroller of State. There being no opposition, William F. Collins was declared elected.

The hour having arrived, the House, according to joint order went into the election for Attorney General. Mr. Gilliam in the Chair. Mr. Whitaker received 24 yeas, Mr. Moore 10, Mr. Stanley 9, Mr. Kerr 2, Mr. Waddell 1, Mr. Elliott 1, Mr. Miller 1, Mr. Gilmer 1. No gentleman having received a majority, there was no election.

The House also went into an election for State Treasurer. Mr. Hinton, having no opposition, was declared duly elected.

The hour then adjourned, until to-morrow morning at 10 o'clock.

RE-DISTRICTING THE STATE. The bill introduced by Mr. RAYNER, of Hertford, in the House of Commons, to lay off the State into new Congressional Districts, proposes the following arrangement of them, which will be the basis of an extension of the Map, to be of as nearly equal population as can be, and to be, in all respects, convenient and proper—with no unnatural association of diverse interests for the sake of gaining political strength—no disregard of contiguity, similarity of pursuits, or harmony of interests. Indeed, there is a degree of liberality about the Plan, which a minority, who had appropriated to themselves, under the provisions of an unjust law, rights that belong to a majority, had no reason to calculate on. It is almost impossible to arrange a scheme for re-districting the State, which would fully represent the feelings and wishes of the People, without giving the Whigs seven Members to the Loos two; and yet, with a liberality that ought forever to silence the complaints of the latter party, the Whigs, with a majority in the popular vote, ranging from five to eight thousand, as evidenced by repeated tests, have taken only three Districts, leaving the Democrats three certain, with the Ninth District, which, if they can carry again, as they did in 1845, will give them four Members of Congress to the Whigs five. The party must be unreasonable indeed, if this will not satisfy them. But to the Districts:

The First District to be composed of the Counties of Cherokee, Macon, Haywood, Buncombe, Henderson, Rutherford, Burke, McDowell, Yancey, Cleveland and Caldwell.

The Second, of Graham, Ashe, Wilkes, Surry, Iredell, Rowan and Davie.

The Third, of Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Union, Anson, Stanly, Cabarrus, Montgomery, Richmond and Moore.

The Fourth, of Stokes, Rockingham, Guilford, Randolph and Davidson.

The Fifth, of Granville, Caswell, Person, Orange and Chatham.

The Sixth, of Wake, Franklin, Warren, Halifax, Edgecombe, Nash and Johnston.

The Seventh, of Cumberland, New Hanover, Columbus, Haden, Brunswick, New River, Sampson, Duplin and Onslow.

The Eighth, of Wayne, Greene, Lenoir, Jones, Carter, Beaufort, Pitt, Hyde, Washington and Tyrrell.

The Ninth, of Martin, Bertie, Hertford, Northampton, Gates, Chowan, Perquimans, Pasquotank, Camden and Currituck.—Raleigh Register.

Election of Officers.—On Wednesday last, Charles L. Hinton, Esq., was re-elected, without opposition, Public Treasurer of North Carolina for the ensuing two years. And, On the same day, William F. Collins, Esq., was re-elected Comptroller of Public Accounts for the same period, also without opposition.

The re-election of these two gentlemen, so favorably known to the people of our State, will, we doubt not, be hailed with general satisfaction.—Raleigh Register.

CIRCUMSTANCES ALTER CASES. We have forgotten, if we ever knew, who has the credit of having first given currency to this saying, "It is better to be a man than a woman, in Solomon's Proverb; but it was known, probably, before his day. It is certainly known as a truth in our, and is properly applied, by the time, in our own Senate. An example of its force occurred in that body, last Saturday.

A Resolution was on its second reading, allowing to James Page, the Democratic Doorkeeper of the Senate, to lay off an established office for one day's service. The bill was passed, and the message in coming from home to Raleigh, to wait at the door, until the Senate was duly organized. True, he was a candidate for re-election, and he was not a man of high rank; but he was maintained by his friends, that he was a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

It was getting on "trimmings," when Mr. Francis called to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

Mr. Wilson at last rose, and evidently expecting to catch something, begged to know of the Senator from Haywood what was in the wind now? Was not this a proper Resolution? Was not Mr. Page entitled to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

Mr. Wilson at last rose, and evidently expecting to catch something, begged to know of the Senator from Haywood what was in the wind now? Was not this a proper Resolution? Was not Mr. Page entitled to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

Mr. Wilson at last rose, and evidently expecting to catch something, begged to know of the Senator from Haywood what was in the wind now? Was not this a proper Resolution? Was not Mr. Page entitled to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

Mr. Wilson at last rose, and evidently expecting to catch something, begged to know of the Senator from Haywood what was in the wind now? Was not this a proper Resolution? Was not Mr. Page entitled to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

Mr. Wilson at last rose, and evidently expecting to catch something, begged to know of the Senator from Haywood what was in the wind now? Was not this a proper Resolution? Was not Mr. Page entitled to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

Mr. Wilson at last rose, and evidently expecting to catch something, begged to know of the Senator from Haywood what was in the wind now? Was not this a proper Resolution? Was not Mr. Page entitled to pay? Was he not a man of high rank, and an officer of the Senate until another was appointed, and as such, was entitled to his pay for services performed until his successor was chosen.

DEPARTURE OF GEN. SCOTT FOR NEW ORLEANS.—The N. Y. Courier and Enquirer of the 1st inst. says— Maj. Gen. Scott, accompanied by his Aids, J. A. Scott and Williams, and by Major Smith of the Corps of Engineers, proceeded this morning by the packet ship Union, to New Orleans, there to assume the command of the army and its interior operations.

PROSPECT OF PEACE.—The New York Tribune of Wednesday says: "We have good authority for stating that Gen. Scott expressed the opinion, before leaving here to take charge of the operations in Mexico, that if the Government should reach their points of destination in due time, the country ought to expect a peace with Mexico by the middle or last of July—certainly within the coming year."

From the Philadelphia U. S. Gazette. MR. WEBSTER AND THE VOLUNTEERS.—A pleasing scene took place in front of Hartwell's Washington House, yesterday, in which Mr. Webster participated. The company of Light Guards, under the command of Captain Bennett, having volunteered to serve during the war with Mexico, and being equipped in uniform, marched to the Washington House, for the purpose of paying their respects to our great Statesman. Mr. Webster appeared upon the balcony, and in a few brief and impressive words, thanked them for the call made upon him. They had volunteered to go to a strange land, to bear up the stars of our country, and maintain its honor. There might be differences of opinion relative to the cause of the war, but it being commenced, all must agree that it must be maintained. He charged them, wherever they went, to remember they were Americans—the countrymen of Washington—and he could not suggest a more fitting rallying cry, than "Washington, our country, and our homes!" Repeating his acknowledgments of thanks, Mr. Webster bowed and retired, amid the cheers of the volunteers and of the crowd, which had gathered almost in a moment.

We learn that Lieut. Fremont, of the U. S. Army, has arrived in town for the purpose of mustering the North Carolina Volunteers into service. We are also informed that Gov. Graham has intimated that they (the Volunteers) will not be ready for service before the 1st of January.

COMMUNICATIONS. FOR THE OBSERVER. Dear Sir: That portion of Gov. Graham's Message referring to the conduct of the Legislature of Virginia, will, of course attract the attention of every true North Carolinian. But those who have traded in Virginia and South Carolina, and have become familiar with the arrogance and tricks in trade practised upon our citizens in those States, will see the State now insulted only after the manner her citizens have frequently been individually. Those of our citizens who trade in Virginia never expect to receive their produce as much as a citizen of Virginia will get in the same market for an article of same quality. I could mention many circumstances to prove this assertion, but one will probably illustrate it. It is well known that North Carolina tobacco does not sell as well in Virginia as that raised in that State. They say it is not so good. But a citizen of Virginia, who has a plantation on the Yadkin River and also several in Virginia, is in the habit of sending his North Carolina tobacco, not directly to market, but unloads at his plantation in Virginia, and then sends all off together without letting it be known, which was raised in North Carolina. I have often heard he invariably gets more for his Yadkin crops than for that raised on either of his Virginia farms; when his Yadkin neighbors may be in market at the same time, with an article equal to his best, but for which they are obliged to take less. Many other instances might be given of the unfair dealings towards our citizens in the markets of Virginia, but let us inquire into some of the tricks of chivalry practised by the South Carolina free trade men upon our wagoners. If two planters, one from this State and the other a citizen of South Carolina, go to one of their markets at the same time, with an equal amount of cotton, say 30 bales each, of same quality, and get 10 cents per lb. each, the North Carolinian will receive \$9 less than his neighbor, for in weighing they will deduct 3 lbs., or 30 cents, for each North Carolina bale. When asked why they do this, the reply is—you live in North Carolina, and this is our law or custom. It is true that 3 lbs. cotton from a bale, or 3 lbs. flour from a barrel, are small matters; and so would 3 lbs. bacon from 100 lbs. be a trifle to lose; but where several thousand bales or barrels are considered, 3 lbs. for each will make a large amount, and it is extortion, unjust, dishonest. The farmers in the Western part of our State feel these burdens most, but it is a stigma upon the whole State, a disgrace that should not be longer tolerated. I for one heartily respond to the right conclusion of our excellent Governor—"It also suggests the necessity of improvements of our own, which shall break any such dependence (where it may exist,) as far as practicable, and place the State in a posture at all times to vindicate the public justice, as much needs to be done at any sacrifice."

What is it that causes our citizens to return to those markets after such treatment? Necessity. The formidable barriers presented to transportation by the Unwharved mountains, and the sand hills between that river and the Cape Fear, render the route to the Atlantic coast a most difficult one. Many attempts have been made to obviate these difficulties, but heretofore without success. Public attention has recently been called to this subject again by an article published in the Salisbury Watchman; could that plan be executed, the citizens of North Carolina would at once be freed from that state of dependence they now feel in other markets, and their distinct portions of the Union, from the distinct west of its kind in the Union, from almost a state of commercial oblivion, would at once feel all the benefits of trade and commerce. The three interests above alluded to are, the Catawba and Yadkin rivers for agriculture, the Unwharved mountains for the manufacture of iron, and the sand hills for the manufacture of salt. The three interests above alluded to are, the Catawba and Yadkin rivers for agriculture, the Unwharved mountains for the manufacture of iron, and the sand hills for the manufacture of salt. The three interests above alluded to are, the Catawba and Yadkin rivers for agriculture, the Unwharved mountains for the manufacture of iron, and the sand hills for the manufacture of salt.

Robbery of Government Stores.—We learn from the St. Louis New Era that one of the United States trains, consisting of 30 wagons and 160 mules, en route for Santa Fe, filled with clothing, provisions, &c. was recently attacked on the plains, when

the river falls over a succession of shoals formed by the Flat-topped Mountain and other hills, embracing the distance about 20 miles to the head of the Narrows. From the Narrows to the South Carolina line, the Pees River is exceedingly difficult to navigate, owing to the rapid shoals and many other shoals on that part of the River. It is supposed the Pees River could be rendered navigable (which, however, is a question we have investigated the subject have reported unfavorably on) what would be the treatment of the Pees River in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a survey, it should be ascertained that the cost of a canal from the foot of convenient navigation on the Yadkin to the head of Little River in Moore county would be equal to the cost of a similar distance would part of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal or any other canal now in operation, would it not be worth the cost to construct it, as observed in the Editor of the "New York Times" in Moore county, which would be forced there? Would they adhere to the three pound toll? If after a