

It is rumored in Paris that the Assembly will be asked to authorize the prosecution of Rouher for his recent speech attacking MacMahon and the constitution.

NEW NOVEL, A QUESTION OF HONOR. CHRISTIAN REEDS LAST & BEST.

City Market House. HAVING on hand a fine lot of Mountain Beef Cattle...

Having on hand a fine lot of Mountain Beef Cattle, I am prepared to furnish the citizens with the best article of Mountain Beef...

PHILIP'S BOOK STORE. NEW GOODS. Mrs. P. QUERRY.

FALL STOCK! FIRST ARRIVAL! THE OLD, WELL ESTABLISHED HOUSE OF WITKOWSKY & RINTEL.

ARE now receiving their fall stock of goods. Being the fourth stock purchased this year...

OUR stock of Ladies Dress Goods, is full and complete, consisting of all grades of Dress Goods and Apparel...

TO MERCHANTS. We offer better inducements than ever. WITKOWSKY & RINTEL.

MANUFACTURERS OF CIGARS. WHOLESALE DEALERS IN TOBACCO, SNUFF, PIPES, & C.

FRESH HOME MADE COCONUT CANDY, PEANUT CANDY, ALMOND CANDY, MADE EVERY DAY FROM THE BEST MATERIAL.

CANDIES OF EVERY DESCRIPTION OF OUR OWN MANUFACTURE. AT BALTIMORE PRICES.

COMING AND SEE US AT THE RISING SUN, OPPOSITE MARKET.

C. S. MOLTON & CO'S. SALT! SALT! A Cargo of (3,000) three thousand sacks of new arriving, and will be sold in Wilmington or Charlotte at very low rates...

LATEST ARRIVAL OF NEW GOODS. J. S. PHILLIPS, MERCHANT TAILOR AND DEALER IN GENTS FURNISHING GOODS.

HAS removed to one of the New Stores at the Central Hotel, Trade and State, and is receiving his Winter Stock of Goods for Men's Wear...

Ordered Shirts a Specialty. Cutting and repairing done promptly. All goods and work must be paid for on delivery...

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

YOU CAN HAVE your WATCH nicely repaired and warranted. HALE & FARRIOR'S.

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

YOU CAN HAVE your WATCH nicely repaired and warranted. HALE & FARRIOR'S.

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

YOU CAN HAVE your WATCH nicely repaired and warranted. HALE & FARRIOR'S.

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

YOU CAN HAVE your WATCH nicely repaired and warranted. HALE & FARRIOR'S.

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

YOU CAN HAVE your WATCH nicely repaired and warranted. HALE & FARRIOR'S.

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

YOU CAN HAVE your WATCH nicely repaired and warranted. HALE & FARRIOR'S.

JUST RECEIVED, 50 SACKS FLOUR FROM NEW WHEAT. A LOT OF NORTH CAROLINA HAMS. MAMMOTH LAUNDRY SOAP.

G. S. H. APPELETT. ARCHITECT. AND AGENT FOR ALL KINDS OF IRON WORK.

ALL KINDS GALVANIZED WORK. Office hours from 9 to 12 m., and 2 to 9 p. m.

G. S. H. APPELETT, wishes to inform the public at large, that he is prepared to furnish Plans of all kinds of buildings with working drawings in full, which will be found much plainer than those of any other man in the State...

He can answer any question or give any information in regard to the construction of any part of the building, as he is fully qualified to do so...

He refers to all who have employed him as Architect and Superintendent, as to his ability. He can furnish if any desire them, the name of parties and buildings, but cannot do so here for the want of room.

He can show as many fine buildings as any other man of his age, and will take pleasure in doing so. Any person visiting Charlotte is invited to call and examine his drawings, which he has at least 500. He guarantees to have 50 to 1 of any other person in the State...

CHANGE TO BUSINESS. HAVING removed my business from my old stand on Trade Street, to one of my large and commodious storerooms on College Street...

WILMINGTON, N. C. SUPERINTENDENT'S OFFICE. CHANGE OF SCHEDULE.

On and after Sunday, Oct. 3rd, trains will run over this Railway as follows: PASSENGER, MAIL AND EXPRESS TRAINS—Daily, Sundays excepted.

FAST FREIGHT AND PASSENGER TRAINS. Leave Wilmington, at 7:00 a m. Arrive at Charlotte, at 7:30 p m.

CONNECTS at Wilmington with Wilmington & Weldon, and Wilmington, Columbia & Augusta Railroads, Semi-weekly New York and Tri-weekly Baltimore, and weekly Philadelphia Steamers, and the River Route to Fayetteville.

CONNECTS at Charlotte with the North Carolina Railroad, Charlotte and Statesville Railroad, Charlotte & Atlanta Air-Line, and Charlotte, Columbia and Augusta Railroad.

NOTICE! NOTICE! We would respectfully call the attention of our friends to the fact that we are increasing our stock daily, and are fully prepared to meet the demands of all our customers and guarantee satisfaction in every particular.

GIN HOUSES INSURED. AT LOWEST ADQUATE RATES, AND IN STRONGEST AND OLDEST COMPANIES.

10x12 Glass. 50 Boxes on the spot—bottom prices. T. G. SMITH & CO.

Mammoth BURNERS and Chimneys for Lamps, at T. G. SMITH & CO'S.

Tube Paints, ALL colors—price \$1.50 a dozen. T. G. SMITH & CO'S.

Two Large FAIRBANK Platform Scales, little used and in good order for sale low.

65 Boxes CHEESE, MAYER, GREY & ROSS.

The English papers say the main issue on which the Chinese and the British governments are at issue are these: First, That the authorities in Peking refused to punish the officials who conspired, or permitted, the murder of Mr. Margery...

OUTRAGES.—One reason why the cry of "Ku-Klux" has lost its magic at the North is because a dreadful plague is at their own doors. The Philadelphia Chronicle says: "Nameless outrages upon little girls have become so frequent as to render it absolutely unsafe for parents to allow their children to go out at night, especially in the Eastern States. It is impossible to find good for the fiends who perpetrate these brutal outrages, and to that conclusion people are beginning to come. Roasting or flaying alive would scarcely be too severe a punishment for the brutal scoundrels, and if some of them are subjected to that kind of treatment one of these days the public need not be surprised."

It has been known for some time that Chief Engineer Coleman had made an important discovery, by which he could shorten this road some 7 1/2 miles, and thus save an immense sum to the company, as the shortening of distance will be made in the most difficult, or mountain section of the road. The board of directors met at Dr. McAbots on last Wednesday, and after due consideration of the new route, formally adopted it. We are glad to announce that the prospects for an early completion of the road are very bright, and it will not be long before the Spartanburg & Asheville Railroad will be numbered among the accomplished facts.—Carolina Spartan.

The work on the great suspension bridge which is to unite Brooklyn with New York seems to be dragging terribly. It was commenced in January, 1870, and was to be completed in six years, at a cost of \$10,000,000. The engineer, however, says it will take until July, 1879, to finish it, and it will cost not less than \$16,000,000. There is considerable ill-feeling in Brooklyn because New York has not paid her share of the expenses thus far. The bridge is to be 5,988 feet long, and 85 feet wide. After its completion many of the ferry-boat lines will have to vacate the East river.

Raleigh Sentinel: The Weldon Fair opened today with bright prospects for a complete success. Entries yesterday were larger than heretofore, and visitors pouring in from every direction. The races promise to afford particularly fine sport, up to yesterday forty horses having entered. Our city will be largely represented, and the presence of some of our belles will lend to the occasion a charm and grace which it would not otherwise have.

Perhaps never in the history of this country, not even during the panic, has there been such an enormous and incessant succession of failures in the large commercial and manufacturing cities North as for the past month. The press dispatches from the large cities North as for the past month. The press dispatches from the large cities North as for the past month.

An important decision, affecting the operation of State usury laws upon National Banks, has recently been made by the Supreme Court of the United States. In the case of the Farmers and Merchants National Bank of Buffalo, N. Y., vs. Dearing, the Supreme Court of New York decided that the provisions of the usury law of that State, which are quite severe, were binding upon National Banks. An appeal was taken from this decision to the Supreme Court of the United States, and that tribunal, in an opinion recently delivered and reported in our telegraphic columns of yesterday, has reversed the decision of the New York Court. It decides that the provisions of the usury law of the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

Perhaps never in the history of this country, not even during the panic, has there been such an enormous and incessant succession of failures in the large commercial and manufacturing cities North as for the past month. The press dispatches from the large cities North as for the past month. The press dispatches from the large cities North as for the past month.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

Perhaps never in the history of this country, not even during the panic, has there been such an enormous and incessant succession of failures in the large commercial and manufacturing cities North as for the past month. The press dispatches from the large cities North as for the past month. The press dispatches from the large cities North as for the past month.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.

It will be remembered that when the usury law was under discussion in the General Assembly of North Carolina, last winter, the objection was urged against its passage that it would apply to contracts made by individuals and not to those made by National Banks and that, in its consequence, the country are subject only to the penalty prescribed by the National Bank Act, for taking usurious interest, to wit: a forfeiture of the interest; that this penalty can not be increased or interfered with by State legislation and, therefore that the penalties prescribed for a violation of the usury law of the State of New York, are, in so far as National Banks are concerned, impermissible and void.