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$\qquad$ This privilege is no blessing, but rather
a curse to the towns which possess it, or to most of them. It is a public burden, from
which the people derive no corresponding benchi. And it is groslyy unjust that a
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { that they reside within the bounds of a vil. } \\
& \text { lage, should be permitted to send a Repre- }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { lage, should be permitted to send a Repre- } \\
& \text { sentative to the Assembly, who may out- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { vote the organ of thousands residing in the } \\
& \text { counry. Commercial cities may have a }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { popplation, that would, when taken in con- } \\
& \text { nexion with their peculiar isterest, justify } \\
& \text { hisi exclusive right. Bus in oome of the } \\
& \text { Borough tows there are not many viore } \\
& \text { than 100 roters, and as they ejoy no comn- } \\
& \text { merce, they canuut lay any claiun to its pe- } \\
& \text { culiar rights. }
\end{aligned}
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Experience has demonstrated that some
mode of AMENDING THE CONSTImode of AMENDING THE CONSTI-
TLTION, should be pointed out by the in-
strument itself.
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& \text { ple. Oiher States have adopted is, and it } \\
& \text { has been found to be, neither mischievivus } \\
& \text { aor defective. }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { While few men, Fsilow.Citizess, can } \\
& \text { be found who have the temerity to deny } \\
& \text { that our representation is unequal, and the }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { legislation of the State extravagant and un- } \\
& \text { wholesone, yet, sour will seek a retreat }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { beline the thition, that it is impossible to } \\
& \text { artive at perfection in any goveranent. } \\
& \text { This is a position, that we do not deny. It }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { This is a position, that we do not deny. It } \\
& \text { is true, of the exertions of hunana creatures } \\
& \text { in their lives, as well as their governinents, }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { in their lives, as well as their governinents, } \\
& \text { that they cannot attain periection. But } \\
& \text { shall this prevent them from coning as uear }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { to it as theyn? it may very sutisfuc- } \\
& \text { torily account for the gross inequality in } \\
& \text { the goverument, which has arisen from a }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { change of circumsances that it could not } \\
& \text { be certainly foresen; it dos excuse the } \\
& \text { infliction of this injury upon the rights }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { be cetion of this injury upon the rights } \\
& \text { infliction } \\
& \text { of a majority, but it cannot be sucessfull }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { urged ns a reason for is continuance. Is } \\
& \text { he regrated with approbation ly good men, } \\
& \text { who withhulds the acknowledged righte of } \\
& \text { another upon the sophistical subtlety that }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { another upon the sophistical subtlety that } \\
& \text { he cannot do him perfect justice, and there- }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { he cannot do him perfect justice, and there- } \\
& \text { fore, he must be excused from attempting } \\
& \text { to do him any at all? Are bad laws to be }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { to do him any at all? Are bad laws to be } \\
& \text { tolerated, are they tolerated without change, }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mpon the pretexx, that no system of human } \\
& \text { laws can he perfect? Are all goveruments } \\
& \text { to be abolished because men cannot frame }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { one withnut a fuult? } \\
& \text { But it has been said, that the objections } \\
& \text { against our present Constitution, are the- }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { aganst our present Constitution, are the } \\
& \text { oretical ouly } \text {-that the state labors under }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { oretical ouly - that the state labors unaer } \\
& \text { no practical evils-that the majority sut } \\
& \text { fers no practical incouveniences. And is }
\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { fers no practical inconveniences. And is } \\
& \text { it no practical evil to the state, that her } \\
& \text { councils are divided by sectional strife- }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { councils are divided by sectional strife- } \\
& \text { that by the form of her Constitation, the } \\
& \text { expenses of the Legislature have doubled. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { expenses of the Legislature have doubled, } \\
& \text { and that our expenditures exceed the ordi- } \\
& \text { a }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { and that our expenditures exceed ae } \\
& \text { nary revenue? Is it no practical evil, tha } \\
& \text { in } 25 \text { years, she has expended one million }
\end{aligned}
$$

in 25 years, she has expended one millio
of dollars for her annual nssemblics, when

## orne, the astne exhaustiog operation, mus ractical evil chat a majority of the Peopl品 disalk they hat they are conuplaining, and will contin he whole state, and obliges twoothirds of the people to submit to a taxation imposed by one-third? Is it no practical evil that fond must continue to be ex pended, not for the conmon good, but mostly in paying the delegates of a minority for exercising this control over the rights and property of a majority? If indeed, thesc are not practical evile, it will be difil hesc are not practical evile, it will be difii- cult to find them in any free government. They are those evils which have mainly contributed towards keeping us back in de- veloping the internal resources of the state, and shall we put forth no efforts to cure them? They are those evils which have <br> $\qquad$ <br> to the just demends of right, must proba- <br> And will not moderate men, in all sections, <br> ome forward in a spirit of a mity and con- aliation, and help to remove them? They <br> e evils similar to those which induced our and states to revise and reform their Con- <br> stitution, and do we find that we are more happy, more prosperous, more free that <br> hey are? A las! it is but too melancholy

They are evils which a mAsority of the
PEeple have a RIGHT to empove.
To deny this right is to argue against the
very foundation and principle of all popular
governments. It is retained in the front
rank of our own Declaration of Rights,
and in that of every other State in the Un.
ion, that in the concerns of this life all pow-
er and sovereignty reside in the People.-
They can alter their government when they
please, whenever indeed the happiness and
prosperity of the largor nurbber imperious-
ly demand a change. Herein they are tho
sole rulers and sole judges. No limit his
sole rulers and sole judges. No limit his
been set to their authority but that which
the Almighty has imposed, that they shal!
exercise it in Jussice and Equity. This
may be denounced--but it is the doctrine of
free American Institutions. It is the doc.
trine of the Revolution-It is the Repub.
lican doctrine of this country. Wheneser
we Pcople shall consent to repudiate it they
will cast away the great chock they havo
retained against the usurpation of their ru.
lers. Let us not be misunderstood or mis
represented. Whilst we earnestly main.
tain this "fundamental prineiple," we hearti-
Iy deprecate licentiousness, we would rath
er invoke a speedy co-operation of all par-
tics in our beloved State to settle the causes
of agitation which alone can produce it,
but which are now too justifiable to be con-
temned, and too potent to be
temned, and too potent to be harmless.-
And why may it not be hoped for? We
profess to venerate the fathers of the Revo. lution and the principles that they estab-
lished, and shall that great leading princi.
ple of the Revolution that "representation Wc have demanded of Congress an abandont?
ment of the Protective tariff system, because
trom the ment of the Protective tarinfsies of poltical
it infringes the great principles of
Justice, and shall we be deaf to the calls
a majority of our people for Justice at
home? We eriumphed at the advancement
of free principles when the question of Re
form in the English parliament-of a riform
of the unequal repreatatation of the people,
was sanctioned and sustained by the KKING
of Englaud against his House of Lords;
of Englaud against his House of Lotds
and can a demand for equal reprcsentation
in North.Croverina be denied? When Ire
land had won a partial restoration of her
rights Ly the remoral of an odious restric-
tion upon her Catholic people, we witnes.
$\qquad$
turn from us with indifference when we re-
mind them that the same hated tyranny
over the consciences of Catholics is sane-
tioned by the rery charier of theit hiberty?
Uulos we chouse to induge a degree of
elves and subversive of all those principles
oction which arise from observation and
experience, a remedy for these evils is nei-
ther difficult nor dangerous. By your votes
at the Elections in August, pronounce your

## that expression of your will being sent your Repeecmatives, they will be bound provide a remedy

ou shall be enabled to effect
ticle in the Bill of Rights which secures
o you the right of "petitioning the legis
ature for redress of grievances," then
wasa privilege far too trifling to be retaineu
n so solemn a charter. This e
your will, will be instructive
年sentatives and if they
iory of the I Institutions
when the People
ution and their servants alone are exeopt
d from its obligations. But you need ne

