



CHARLOTTE:

WEDNESDAY, March 24, 1842.

MR. WILLIAM THOMPSON, Esq., is our agent in Baltimore, authorized to obtain subscriptions and to grant receipts.

MR. W. CARR, Esq., is our agent in Philadelphia, authorized to obtain advertisements and prospectus.

MR. W. PALMER is our authorized agent to receive advertisements and subscriptions at his office in Boston, New York and Philadelphia.

FOR PRESIDENT,
MILLARD FILLMORE,
OF NEW-YORK.
FOR VICE-PRESIDENT,
WILLIAM A. GRAHAM,
OF NORTH-CAROLINA.

Our Rail Road Depot.

The two Rail Road Companies whose works are to unite at this place have succeeded in making such arrangements as to justify them in definitively locating the Depot on the lot heretofore agreed upon, back of Dr. Asbury's dwelling house.

To the Whigs of Mecklenburg.

We are requested to say that there will be a meeting in the Court House in Charlotte on Saturday the 3rd of April next, convened to express the sense of the Whigs of the county touching the affairs of the national administration, and to appoint delegates to represent this county in the convention, which is to meet in Raleigh on the 4th Monday in April next to select from among the many distinguished names presented, the most efficient man to run upon the Whig ticket for Governor of North Carolina in the ensuing election. Although this is a very busy season of the year with our farmers, yet in view of the great importance of the business which calls us together, and the extreme tardiness which we have heretofore manifested about it, we hope that our friends from the country will make the necessary sacrifices and give us their attention.

The North Carolina Reader.

By the kindness of Messrs. Young, Blair & Co., we have been favored with a copy of the "North Carolina Reader," containing a history and description of North Carolina, selections in prose and verse (many of them by eminent citizens of the State) historical and chronological tables, and a variety of miscellaneous information and statistics by C. H. Wiley. The work is "illustrated with engravings, and designed for families and schools."

This book, being the production of a North Carolinian by birth and education, and embracing in a succinct form a pleasing variety of the most prominent features of our early colonial and revolutionary history, and also some of the most important deeds of our distinguished men, is better calculated than any work, yet introduced into our borders to inspire in the rising generation a patriotic love of North Carolina and her time-honored institutions. The author's selections are made with taste, and fitness to the end proposed. His language is pure and classical; his diction is fluent and refined, and his style terse and graceful. Among the selections of poetry, we notice a beautiful (anonymous) piece, which is said to be the production of a gifted son of Mecklenburg county, we mean Philo Henderson. Subject, "The Flower of Catawba." There may be other pieces from the same pen; but not knowing the author's style we cannot tell.

This book can be bought at the store of Messrs. Young, Blair & Co., in Charlotte, who are agents for the sale of it at \$1.25 each. We sincerely hope that it may find its way into every family and seminary of learning in North Carolina.

Brownlow on Senator Jones.

W. G. Brownlow, Editor of the Knoxville Whig is out upon Ex-Governor, now Senator Jones, with a charge of falsehood.

Mr. Brownlow addresses the public as follows:

TO THE PUBLIC.

"On or about the 13th of April, 1842, I was invited to take tea at Mrs. Taylor's, in Raleigh N. C., by Judge Pearson and his relative, Nicholas L. Williams. That being the occasion of Mr. Clay's visit to that city and also at the house of Mr. Taylor, and among others a Mr. Bailee, the editor of a Democratic paper in that city, called "The Signal." After settling ourselves at the table, Judge Pearson or Mr. Williams stated that the Gov. of Tennessee had related a capital joke of himself and Gen. Jackson, relative to a Widow Lady, who the latter wished turned out of the Presbyterian Church, of which the Governor and General were members, and asked me to relate the case, which they had heard from some of their friends in Tennessee. I made the simple statement, just as Gov. Jones had made it in the hearing of half a dozen of us in Jonesboro, Mr. Bailee forthwith communicated it to Gen. Jackson, and the following correspondence ensued between the Governor and the General, and which has gone the rounds for years, in the Democratic papers, to my prejudice!"

Mr. Brownlow then publishes a letter from Gen. Jackson to Gov. Jones dated Herma

June, June 6th, 1842 in which the General makes the following enquiry of Gov. Jones:

"I have to request that you will state an answer, and in writing, whether you were an elder of the Hermitage Presbyterian Church when I became a member of it?—Did I ever write any letter or letters urging upon you the necessity of turning out of church a widow lady, as set forth in the statement of Mr. Brownlow, and published as stated above? Also, will you please state whether I ever wrote you any letter or letters on the subject of religion, or any other subject, or ever had or held any conversation with you on religious subjects, and particularly, whether you know of any widow lady a member of the Hermitage Church, since I have been a member, whose character, in all respects, did not stand fair and above suspicion of any immoral act; and lastly, did you ever show any letters purporting to be from me to you, as stated by said Brownlow, in publication referred to, and in the presence of Mr. Busbee, Mr. Ashley, and Mr. Litchford, in Raleigh, North Carolina, and whether you ever made such statement to Mr. Brownlow as he says you did?"

In reply to these enquiries Gov. Jones, by letter dated Nashville, June 10th, 1842 writes to Gen. Jackson as follows:

"In reply to the statement contained in the Democratic Signal, I have to say that I have no knowledge of any such occurrence, and if Mr. Brownlow made the statement alluded to, he must have gotten his information from some other source than myself.—In reply to the interrogatories you propound, I answer that I was chosen an elder of the Presbyterian Church at the Hermitage, I think, in the year 1831 or 1832, and continued to act as such until I removed from the neighborhood, in the winter of 1834 or 1835. When I left the neighborhood I did not withdraw from the Church, but had no connection with it as an officer since. I never received a letter from you on the subject of religion or any other subject; nor do I recollect that we ever had any conversation on religious subjects."

You ask me to state whether I know of any widow lady connected with the church, since you became a member of it, whose character, in all respects, was not fair and unexceptionable, I do not know at what time you became associated with the church, neither do I know who are the members, composing the church since I left the neighborhood; so far, however, as I have any knowledge of this subject, the female members of the Hermitage Church stand uncharged and unsuspected of any thing that could derogate from their character as ladies or Christians.

In reply to your last enquiry I would state that I have at no time shown any letter purporting to be from you on this or any other subject. I trust that this will be deemed a sufficiently explicit answer on all the points of enquiry contained in your letter."

Mr. Brownlow then goes on to say:

"Upon seeing the correspondence between Gen. Jackson and Busbee, which preceded this published in the "Raleigh Signal," I addressed a letter to Gov. Jones, calling on him to bear me out in the truth of my statement at Raleigh, and to my utter astonishment, received the following reply:

NASHVILLE, June 14th, 1842.

Dear Brownlow:—I received your letter of the 31st May, in which you inform me of a conversation you held in Raleigh, N. C., in the presence of some gentleman in regard to a conversation you thought you held with me, touching Gen. Jackson's connection with the church. I regret that you have made such a statement on my authority; you must have gotten your information from some other person than myself; for certain I am, that this is the first time, that the case you state, ever came to my ears. I was chosen elder of the Presbyterian Church at the Hermitage, I think in the year 1831 or 2, and remained in that position until the winter of 1834 or 5, when I removed from the neighborhood.

I do not know at what time Gen. Jackson joined the church, but it was not during my residence in the neighborhood, and of course no such difficulty as alleged could have occurred. You must have had your information from some other person.

I do not remember to have visited the church at the Hermitage since Gen. Jackson became a member of it; and know of no difficulty between its members—and no case of any widow whose conduct was exceptional to Gen. Jackson, or in violation of the strictest rules of morality or propriety. I have this day received a letter from General Jackson asking whether any such difficulty ever occurred between us, and as an act of justice to him, I am compelled to answer. I have no knowledge of any such occurrences.

Respectfully yours,

friend and servant,

JAMES C. JONES.

To prove what occurred at the house of Mrs. Taylor of Raleigh, Mr. Brownlow here inserts two letters, one from N. L. Williams dated Surry County, N. C., July 3rd, 1842, the other from Judge Pearson to Mr. Williams dated July 2d and 1842.

Next Brownlow gives the statement of Dr. Worley Embree as follows:

JONESBOROUGH, Oct. 14th, 1842.

DEAR SIR.—Years of this instant has come to hand. You request a statement in regard to some remarks you should have made me in conversation, about the remarks of Gov. Jones at Brownlow's concerning the church, Gen. Jackson, &c. In answer to your request I make the following:

I heard Gov. Jones at Mr. Brownlow's in speaking often. Jackson's arbitrary disposition, say that a short time after he (Jackson) joined the church of which he (Jones) was an Elder, there being a female member of said church whom Jackson disliked, and wished him (Jones) to have her expelled.—

Jones replied that there were no charges against her, therefore, according to the rules of the church she could not be expelled. Jackson rejoined, she shall be expelled, no matter what are the rules. Jones remarked that this was the first cause of Jackson's enmity to him. The above is the substance, as well as I can recollect.

Yours &c.,

WORLEY EMBREE.

He then proves the character of Dr. Embree to be entirely good by a certificate from Wm. R. Crouch, Daniel Kinney, John Byland, and W. H. Smith.

Mr. Brownlow next introduces the testimony of H. M. Bishop and J. Boyd who prove the same conversation that Dr. Embree gave, and which took place at Mr. Brownlow's own house on the 25th June 1842.

Mr. B. next says:

"I have always had it in my power to vindicate myself, most triumphantly, as the candid reader will see, but I was prevailed over, by leading and influential whigs, in different parts of the State for *the sake of the Whig cause*, to say nothing, and to this good day I have kept silent, and withheld under the charge of slandering Gov. Jones—not in Tennessee, but in other States of the Union."

Brownlow now concludes his testimony by publishing two letters of considerable length, in which the writers affirm their belief as to the truth of Mr. B's charge, but urge upon him the injustice which a promulgation of the charge at that time would work against the Whig cause in Tennessee. One of these letters is from James Williams Editor of the Knoxville Post, and the other is from Mr. Senator Jacagin. Mr. Brownlow says that he has several other witnesses by whom he can prove that Jones told the same "secret story" at Maryville if Jones should attempt to let it be known.

The foregoing we believe contains a sufficient statement of the charge and evidence to give the reader a full understanding of the matter. The reader will observe that the *corpus delicti*, is not of very great magnitude, yet being against a public man of extensive fame and one who might therefore shed a moral influence in his place—at any rate so far as telling the truth can effect it—it will effect his reputation more probably than many charges of grave characters would do. For there seems to have been no motive in this case, unless an instinct for lying may be termed a motive. Of course this assertion is predicated upon the truth of the charge. Brownlow makes several other charges of falsehood and corruption against Jones, but as they are unsupported by disinterested testimony we will not mention them.

Plank Road Meeting.

The friends interested are here reminded of the meeting which is to take place at Mt. Mourne on Friday the 26th of this instant, to further the project of a Plank Road from Charlotte to Taylorsville via Statesville. Be ye therefore ready.

Acknowledgements.

We are indebted to the Hon. A. Dooley, Hon. J. T. Morehead, and the Hon. T. L. Clingman for sundry interesting Congressional documents.

Editor.

In our notice of the *Advertiser* of last week an error occurs in regard to its terms. The reader will of course be able to correct it himself—being only \$8, in advance and \$1, at the end of the year.

PLANK ROAD MEETING IN CONCORD.

Agreeably to previous arrangements, a respectable meeting of the citizens of Concord assembled this evening in the court house for the purpose of holding a Plank Road meeting, and to adopt resolutions indicating the sentiment prevailing in this vicinity with regard to this subject.

Caleb Phifer, Esq., was called to the Chair, and E. F. D. McRae appointed Secretary.

Maj. Rufus Barringer explained the object of the meeting, and several addresses were delivered in behalf of our contemplated prospects in the way of Plank Roads.

The following resolution was offered by R. Barringer, and unanimously adopted.—

Resolved, That in the opinion of this meeting it is highly essential to the interests of the town of Concord and the county of Cabarrus, to form a connection, by means of a Plank Road, with the proposed Plank Roads from Cheraw and Fayetteville, to or near the mouth of Rocky River.

On motion of Mr. W. F. Taylor, a committee of the whole was appointed to attend the meeting of the Fayetteville and Centre Plank Road, to be held at Centre sometime during the ensuing week.

Resolved, That the proceedings of this meeting be published in the "Concord Courier" and "Wadesboro Argus."

The meeting was then adjourned to meet at the call of the Chairman.

CALEB PHIFER, Chair.

E. F. D. McRae, Sec.

Feb. 28th, 1842.

HENRY CLAY.

The Washington Telegraph of Saturday evening says: "We have for some time past been affording to the condition of this illustrious gentleman, because no positive and decided change could be noted. But we have now high gratification in being able to announce that his health is improved, and that the weather more favorable to-day he would enjoy a drive out. We have reason to believe that before many days shall pass his road will be heard in the chamber of the United States Senate."

What are your sentiments, sir, on this so much-talked-of matter?—are you in favor of this surgery?

Cant say, sir.

Are you afraid of it?

Dont like to tell.

If it be not too offensive—are you for or against the mode of amending the constitution by act of the Legislature?

I am a candidate for Governor of the State, and therefore propose to have nothing to do with any State affairs.

Well, there was a great deal said last winter in the newspapers, and winter before in the legislature, about a reform Convention—

—are you in favor of such a Convention?

You can force me to make my issue on these matters.

What are your sentiments, sir, on this so much-talked-of matter?—are you in favor of this surgery?

Cant say, sir.

Are you afraid of it?

Dont like to tell.

If it be not too offensive—are you for or against the mode of amending the constitution by act of the Legislature?

I am a candidate for Governor of the State, and therefore propose to have nothing to do with any State affairs.

Well, there was a great deal said last winter in the newspapers, and winter before in the legislature, about a reform Convention—

—are you in favor of such a Convention?

You can force me to make my issue on these matters.

What are your sentiments, sir, on this so much-talked-of matter?—are you in favor of this surgery?

Cant say, sir.

Are you afraid of it?

Dont like to tell.

If it be not too offensive—are you for or against the mode of amending the constitution by act of the Legislature?

I am a candidate for Governor of the State, and therefore propose to have nothing to do with any State affairs.

Well, there was a great deal said last winter in the newspapers, and winter before in the legislature, about a reform Convention—

—are you in favor of such a Convention?

You can force me to make my issue on these matters.

What are your sentiments, sir, on this so much-talked-of matter?—are you in favor of this surgery?

Cant say, sir.

Are you afraid of it?

Dont like to tell.

If it be not too offensive—are you for or against the mode of amending the constitution by act of the Legislature?

I am a candidate for Governor of the State, and therefore propose to have nothing to do with any State affairs.

Well, there was a great deal said last winter in the newspapers, and winter before in the legislature, about a reform Convention—

—are you in favor of such a Convention?

You can force me to make my issue on these matters.

What are your sentiments, sir, on this so much-talked-of matter?—are you in favor of this surgery?

Cant say, sir.

Are you afraid of it?

Dont like to tell.

If it be not too offensive—are you for or against the mode of amending the constitution by act of the Legislature?</p