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 recovery.-Loxisianian. $\frac{\text { Monire, March } 20 .}{}$ Public feeling was shocked yesterday a
 ed commission merchant, was instantaneoustly
killed by the discharge of a pisto shot, from
 curred at the residence of the latter, in whose
family to formerb boardcd. The parties were
in an apartment by themselves, and had but a ppear that the deceased was shot from the
rear-the ball having entered behind the car,
and penetrated to the brain. We forbear
from ants
 has been admitted to bail in the sum o
On this, also, we forbear remarking.
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#### Abstract

 power of to the rights ate But, it may. be replied, that there are' in- stances where the Goverument has sübjected its officers to penalties for acts of a private character, ovit which the Constitution has   mistaken. In all of them the acts profirithted were, in the first place stich as were incotht patable with the officiot duties enjoineet; as in the case of the probitition in the case of the prohibition of commissiaries to the purchase or deal in articles similar to those that are made their official duty to  And in the next, he acts prohibited, pinvolted only civil rights, belonging to the officets a an individual, and not political rights; which belong to him as a citizen! The fortinet ho may yield at pleasure, without discredit or or disgrace but the latter he cunnot sitrenter or disgrace but the latter he cunnot sitrrender wihhout debasing himself, ind giving up at sacred trust invested in him, by the State of sacred trust invested in him, by the State of wisch he is a member, for the common good; nor can this Government demand its surren: nor can this Government demand its surren:- der, without transcending its powers snd frit izens. ft m would be impossibile todisting in tiost cases, so as to subject the ffrmer top penal restraints, without interfering with the latter, and that it woild in practice render ineffective the ad- mitted right of the Govenment to punish its officers for the abuse of their official powers officers for the abuse of their official powerts. It may be so, but litule or no evil can result. Whatever defect of right this Government wo  $$
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\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I f} \\ & \mathbf{m} \end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned} & \text { decision as to what ought to be done, and the } \\ & \text { application of the fermedy } \\ & \text { these views I am forced to the concluning } \\ & \text { the } \end{aligned}
$$ $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { tese this bill is unconstitutional, and if there } \\ & \text { thene no other reason to oppose its ppssage, } \\ & \text { would he compelled to vote arainst it. } \\ & \text { woul } \end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned} & \text { would be compelled to vopeage aganst ip } \\ & \text { where } \\ & \text { But there are others sufficiently dei } \end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { But there are others sufficiently decisive to } \\
& \text { compel me to withhold my supot, were it } \\
& \text { possible to remove the constitutional orjec- }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { possible to remove the constitutional objec- } \\
& \text { tion. So far from restricting the patrouage }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { tion. So far from restricting the patrouage } \\
& \text { of the President, should the bill becoune a law, } \\
& \text { it would, if I mistake not, greatly increase his }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { of the President, should the bill become a law } \\
& \text { it would, if I mistake not, greaty incraese hi, } \\
& \text { influence. He has now the almost uulimited }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { influence. He thas now the ealmost uulimited } \\
& \text { power of removing the officers of this Govern- } \\
& \text { ment-a power, the abuse of which has been }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { which the mover of this bill belongs, on the } \\
& \text { ground that it was calculated to increase un- } \\
& \text { duly the power and influence of that depart. } \\
& \text { ment of the Government. Now what is the } \\
& \text { remedv this bill provoses for that evil? }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { ment of the Government. Now what is the } \\
& \text { remedy this bill proposes for that evil? To } \\
& \text { put restrictions on the removing power? The } \\
& \text { very reverse. Too make it the duty, as it is } \\
& \text { now the right of the President to remove, and }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { fate of the accused would be exclusively in } \\
& \text { his hand, whether charged with the offence o } \\
& \text { opposing or supporting his administration. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { opposing or supporting his administration. } \\
& \text { Can any one, the lest couversant with party } \\
& \text { morals, or the working of the human heart, }
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { ant certain, that it would be most rigidy en- } \\
& \text { not } \\
& \text { forced against all officers who should venter } \\
& \text { to oppose him, either in the Federal or State } \\
& \text { Goverumeuts, wih a corresponding indul- } \\
& \text { gene and lenity towards those who supported }
\end{aligned}
$$ gence and lenity towards those who supported

him? $A$ single view, without prolonging discussion, will decide. Should there be a
President of such exalted virte and patiot-
ism nis to make no discrimination bettieten
friend and foe the law useless; but if not, nt wow would be pe mate perfily
text for indiscriminate removal of all, who partisans; and it would thes prove either woo-
less; or tröse than useless. With tire object which the mover of the biif
has in view, $h$ seems to me, he ought to take
the very opposite course, mad instead of makthe very opposite eotrrse, zend instead of mak-
ing it the duty of he President to remove, he
ought to impose restictionson the power of
removal, or to divest him entirely of it. Place removal, or to divest him entirely of it. Place
the office holders, with their yearly salaries,
beyond te rech of the Executive power, and
tey would, in a short time, be as mute aud they would, in a shor time, be as make them.
inactive as this bill proposes to make I promise would then be scarce-
Their voice,
ly mised at elections, or other persons bo

