

After consulting with the Board of Public Buildings, it was deemed advisable by me to have erected a house for storing of wood. The expense of making the improvements was thereby increased to a small amount, which, however, will be more than saved to the State, in a few years, by securing the wood, large quantities of which were stolen and carried from the premises every winter before the house was erected.

Some person should be employed to keep the grounds and walks in proper order, and I recommend that a small annual appropriation be made for that purpose, or that the Board of Public Buildings be authorized to contract for the same, and draw upon the Treasury for the amount necessary.

I transmit herewith two sets of resolves from the State of Maine, and one from the State of New Hampshire, upon the subject of slavery and matters connected therewith. I have not thought proper to withhold these resolutions, for it is your province and not mine to determine what action, if any, should be taken upon them. I think, however, that they are of such a character as not to merit any notice by the Legislature of the State.

Before concluding this my last regular message to the General Assembly, I avail myself of the occasion to express my grateful sense of the honors heretofore conferred upon me by the people of the State.

If in the discharge of my official duties I have sometimes failed to give satisfaction to all, it is but what I expected when I assumed the responsibilities of office.

Others no doubt have served the State more ably and usefully than I have done. I hope, however, that I may be pardoned for saying that none have more sincerely had at heart whatever concerned her honor or welfare, or who have been more disposed to maintain the one or promote the other.

I have only to add, that during that portion of your session for which I shall remain in office, it will give me pleasure, at all times, to render you such aid as I can in the discharge of your Legislative duties.

THOS. BRAGG.

NORTH CAROLINIAN.

FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

SATURDAY, November 20, 1858.

THE GOVERNOR'S MESSAGE.

In our columns we lay before our readers the Governor's Message, a plain business like document, evincing a great deal of concern for the interests of the State, and an intimate acquaintance with its affairs. The finances of the State, which is first discussed, are not represented to be in a bad condition, but depressed enough to suggest a remedy in opening an avenue for the development of the national wealth of the State. The examination of Deep River and the Coal Fields by the U. S. Commissioners, receives due notice in the Message, associated with the opinion, that it is highly advantageous for the State to open some way of communication with the same, which we trust will be done by the Legislature in session by passing the Coal Fields Rail Road bill by a large majority. We think the militia paragraph could have lent some of its space to this subject, without impairing the interest of the Message. However, it is all good, and we recommend it to our readers.

THE NEXT CONGRESS.

Although the majority in the next Congress against the Administration is large, this circumstance will give no decided advantage to the Black Republicans. The balance of power will be in the hands of the know nothing members, who will hardly join the Black Republicans (Gilmer excepted) upon any important question. Wisconsin has given a member to the Democratic delegation—an astounding event to the Republicans. The vote of that State, in the recent election, show a loss of 10,000 votes to the opposition; likewise, a change in Ohio of 700 votes, would have given to the democrats five more members of the next Congress. The Times makes the following calculation:

States.	Dem.	Opp.	Old Congress.	Dem.	Opp.
Missouri	7	2	6	1	
Arkansas	2	3	2	3	
Vermont	6	6	6	6	
Maine	1	3	1	3	
Florida	6	1	6	1	
S. Carolina	5	15	15	10	
Pennsylvania	6	15	15	10	
Ohio	6	6	6	6	
Indiana	4	7	6	5	
Iowa	2	2	2	2	
Massachusetts	1	11	11		
Delaware	1	3	3	2	
New Jersey	5	4	5	4	
Illinois	1	3	4	4	
Michigan	6	11	11	22	
Wisconsin	6	27	11	22	
New York	47	103	65	85	

Showing a Democratic loss of 18 members. The States not included in this table, are represented in the present Congress by 62 Democrats and 19 opposition men, including "South American." Should they return members of the same politics to the new Congress, the full House would stand as follows:

Dem.	Opp.
Already elected	103
To be elected	18
	115
	121

Showing an opposition majority of six members. Of the Democrats, ten or twelve are anti-Leonard. But on all questions where their anti-Leonardism will show itself, they will be checked by the South Americans, who on such questions will vote with the Democrats. It is evident, however, that there is to be a spirited contest for the ascendancy and no man can say positively which way the scale will turn. All will depend upon the fifteen States yet to elect. As all of them but California, Connecticut and New Hampshire, are Southern, the Democrats are more likely to gain than to lose in them.

Oregon, which will doubtless be admitted as a State at the approaching session of Congress, will add one name to the Democratic column, making a total of 237 members. The bill to admit heretofore passed one House at the last session of Congress, but was not acted on in the other, for want of time.

HON. WARREN WINSLOW.

We have no knowledge that Mr Winslow is a candidate for U. S. Senator, or that he has even intimated a desire to be such; but we can answer for his competency, and most heartily subscribe to the chief points in the communication in another column pressing the claims of Mr W. for that distinguished mark of favor from the Democratic party.

If the bestowal of these offices had the grave consideration due to such matters, as suggested by our correspondent, it would give no little prominence to the name and merits of Mr Winslow; but as competency is always subordinated in political economy to expediency, the eminent qualifications of our fellow townsmen is not as important or influential as intriguing friends.

Mr Winslow has always stood upon his individual merits, relying more upon his sterling character as a statesman, than the agencies of intrigue or

strategy. It may be owing to this that he is not more regularly in the line of promotion.

We cheerfully join with our correspondents in submitting to our Democratic brethren at Raleigh, the name of Hon. Warren Winslow, for U. S. Senate.

THE USURY LAW.

If a man has an ox, or a barn filled with grain, and wishes to sell it, the law puts no restriction upon the price he is to ask. He may speculate upon his necessities neighbor to his hearts content. It is only in money transactions that the benignity of the law interposes to prevent a man from exercising his own free will in the transaction of his own business. It is here alone that the law steps in to prevent a man from making what it chooses to consider a bad bargain. After all it may be for him a very good bargain. If he can save his property from being sacrificed by giving seven or eight per cent interest for the use of money, why should the law prevent it? He ought certainly to know his own interest better than the law. If he does not, he ought to be prevented, not only from borrowing money at more than six per cent interest, but from transacting any other business, excepting through the medium of a legally appointed guardian.

A man may be placed under the necessity of raising a sum of money within a given time. This he can do, we will suppose, in two ways only. First, by selling property at a sacrifice; or secondly, by borrowing money at the market value. That value may be more than six per cent. But the law steps in and prevents him from borrowing at this rate, and he is compelled to sell his property at twenty per cent below its actual value, when if he had been left to himself, he might have obtained a loan on time at eight per cent, and thus have actually saved twelve per cent. on the amount. A man under such circumstances would be apt to think that the law had given him a very expensive and unnecessary protection against usury.

What would be the consequence of repealing all restrictions on the rate of interest? One of the first results would be that money would no longer flow out, but would flow into the State to be loaned. This would give great life and animation to commerce. Our large towns would feel its effects in an especial manner. The abundance of money capital is what mainly gives the Virginia markets an advantage over our own. Let our merchants be supplied with means of trading and their own enterprise will effect the rest. Thus would be established that unembarrassed influx and reflux of the circulating medium so necessary to a healthy condition of trade. Necessitous persons would no longer be compelled to pay an additional percentage to the money lender as insurance against the risk encountered by lending at usurious interest. Every man could then obtain money at its market value, and sacrifices of property to raise money would be less frequent. Many a solvent borrower is now paying from ten to twenty per cent. per annum for the use of money, who could if the usury law were abolished, obtain all he might require at seven or eight per cent.

THE NEXT CONGRESS.

Although the majority in the next Congress against the Administration is large, this circumstance will give no decided advantage to the Black Republicans. The balance of power will be in the hands of the know nothing members, who will hardly join the Black Republicans (Gilmer excepted) upon any important question. Wisconsin has given a member to the Democratic delegation—an astounding event to the Republicans. The vote of that State, in the recent election, show a loss of 10,000 votes to the opposition; likewise, a change in Ohio of 700 votes, would have given to the democrats five more members of the next Congress. The Times makes the following calculation:

States.	Dem.	Opp.	Old Congress.	Dem.	Opp.
Missouri	7	2	6	1	
Arkansas	2	3	2	3	
Vermont	6	6	6	6	
Maine	1	3	1	3	
Florida	6	1	6	1	
S. Carolina	5	15	15	10	
Pennsylvania	6	15	15	10	
Ohio	6	6	6	6	
Indiana	4	7	6	5	
Iowa	2	2	2	2	
Massachusetts	1	11	11		
Delaware	1	3	3	2	
New Jersey	5	4	5	4	
Illinois	1	3	4	4	
Michigan	6	11	11	22	
Wisconsin	6	27	11	22	
New York	47	103	65	85	

Showing a Democratic loss of 18 members. The States not included in this table, are represented in the present Congress by 62 Democrats and 19 opposition men, including "South American." Should they return members of the same politics to the new Congress, the full House would stand as follows:

Dem.	Opp.
Already elected	103
To be elected	18
	115
	121

Showing an opposition majority of six members. Of the Democrats, ten or twelve are anti-Leonard. But on all questions where their anti-Leonardism will show itself, they will be checked by the South Americans, who on such questions will vote with the Democrats. It is evident, however, that there is to be a spirited contest for the ascendancy and no man can say positively which way the scale will turn. All will depend upon the fifteen States yet to elect. As all of them but California, Connecticut and New Hampshire, are Southern, the Democrats are more likely to gain than to lose in them.

Oregon, which will doubtless be admitted as a State at the approaching session of Congress, will add one name to the Democratic column, making a total of 237 members. The bill to admit heretofore passed one House at the last session of Congress, but was not acted on in the other, for want of time.

HON. WARREN WINSLOW.

We have no knowledge that Mr Winslow is a candidate for U. S. Senator, or that he has even intimated a desire to be such; but we can answer for his competency, and most heartily subscribe to the chief points in the communication in another column pressing the claims of Mr W. for that distinguished mark of favor from the Democratic party.

If the bestowal of these offices had the grave consideration due to such matters, as suggested by our correspondent, it would give no little prominence to the name and merits of Mr Winslow; but as competency is always subordinated in political economy to expediency, the eminent qualifications of our fellow townsmen is not as important or influential as intriguing friends.

Mr Winslow has always stood upon his individual merits, relying more upon his sterling character as a statesman, than the agencies of intrigue or

strategy. It may be owing to this that he is not more regularly in the line of promotion.

We cheerfully join with our correspondents in submitting to our Democratic brethren at Raleigh, the name of Hon. Warren Winslow, for U. S. Senate.

THE USURY LAW.

If a man has an ox, or a barn filled with grain, and wishes to sell it, the law puts no restriction upon the price he is to ask. He may speculate upon his necessities neighbor to his hearts content. It is only in money transactions that the benignity of the law interposes to prevent a man from exercising his own free will in the transaction of his own business. It is here alone that the law steps in to prevent a man from making what it chooses to consider a bad bargain. After all it may be for him a very good bargain. If he can save his property from being sacrificed by giving seven or eight per cent interest for the use of money, why should the law prevent it? He ought certainly to know his own interest better than the law. If he does not, he ought to be prevented, not only from borrowing money at more than six per cent interest, but from transacting any other business, excepting through the medium of a legally appointed guardian.

A man may be placed under the necessity of raising a sum of money within a given time. This he can do, we will suppose, in two ways only. First, by selling property at a sacrifice; or secondly, by borrowing money at the market value. That value may be more than six per cent. But the law steps in and prevents him from borrowing at this rate, and he is compelled to sell his property at twenty per cent below its actual value, when if he had been left to himself, he might have obtained a loan on time at eight per cent, and thus have actually saved twelve per cent. on the amount. A man under such circumstances would be apt to think that the law had given him a very expensive and unnecessary protection against usury.

What would be the consequence of repealing all restrictions on the rate of interest? One of the first results would be that money would no longer flow out, but would flow into the State to be loaned. This would give great life and animation to commerce. Our large towns would feel its effects in an especial manner. The abundance of money capital is what mainly gives the Virginia markets an advantage over our own. Let our merchants be supplied with means of trading and their own enterprise will effect the rest. Thus would be established that unembarrassed influx and reflux of the circulating medium so necessary to a healthy condition of trade. Necessitous persons would no longer be compelled to pay an additional percentage to the money lender as insurance against the risk encountered by lending at usurious interest. Every man could then obtain money at its market value, and sacrifices of property to raise money would be less frequent. Many a solvent borrower is now paying from ten to twenty per cent. per annum for the use of money, who could if the usury law were abolished, obtain all he might require at seven or eight per cent.

THE NEXT CONGRESS.

Although the majority in the next Congress against the Administration is large, this circumstance will give no decided advantage to the Black Republicans. The balance of power will be in the hands of the know nothing members, who will hardly join the Black Republicans (Gilmer excepted) upon any important question. Wisconsin has given a member to the Democratic delegation—an astounding event to the Republicans. The vote of that State, in the recent election, show a loss of 10,000 votes to the opposition; likewise, a change in Ohio of 700 votes, would have given to the democrats five more members of the next Congress. The Times makes the following calculation:

States.	Dem.	Opp.	Old Congress.	Dem.	Opp.
Missouri	7	2	6	1	
Arkansas	2	3	2	3	
Vermont	6	6	6	6	
Maine	1	3	1	3	
Florida	6	1	6	1	
S. Carolina	5	15	15	10	
Pennsylvania	6	15	15	10	
Ohio	6	6	6	6	
Indiana	4	7	6	5	
Iowa	2	2	2	2	
Massachusetts	1	11	11		
Delaware	1	3	3	2	
New Jersey	5	4	5	4	
Illinois	1	3	4	4	
Michigan	6	11	11	22	
Wisconsin	6	27	11	22	
New York	47	103	65	85	

Showing a Democratic loss of 18 members. The States not included in this table, are represented in the present Congress by 62 Democrats and 19 opposition men, including "South American." Should they return members of the same politics to the new Congress, the full House would stand as follows:

Dem.	Opp.
Already elected	103
To be elected	18
	115
	121

Showing an opposition majority of six members. Of the Democrats, ten or twelve are anti-Leonard. But on all questions where their anti-Leonardism will show itself, they will be checked by the South Americans, who on such questions will vote with the Democrats. It is evident, however, that there is to be a spirited contest for the ascendancy and no man can say positively which way the scale will turn. All will depend upon the fifteen States yet to elect. As all of them but California, Connecticut and New Hampshire, are Southern, the Democrats are more likely to gain than to lose in them.

Oregon, which will doubtless be admitted as a State at the approaching session of Congress, will add one name to the Democratic column, making a total of 237 members. The bill to admit heretofore passed one House at the last session of Congress, but was not acted on in the other, for want of time.

HON. WARREN WINSLOW.

We have no knowledge that Mr Winslow is a candidate for U. S. Senator, or that he has even intimated a desire to be such; but we can answer for his competency, and most heartily subscribe to the chief points in the communication in another column pressing the claims of Mr W. for that distinguished mark of favor from the Democratic party.

If the bestowal of these offices had the grave consideration due to such matters, as suggested by our correspondent, it would give no little prominence to the name and merits of Mr Winslow; but as competency is always subordinated in political economy to expediency, the eminent qualifications of our fellow townsmen is not as important or influential as intriguing friends.

Mr Winslow has always stood upon his individual merits, relying more upon his sterling character as a statesman, than the agencies of intrigue or

strategy. It may be owing to this that he is not more regularly in the line of promotion.

We cheerfully join with our correspondents in submitting to our Democratic brethren at Raleigh, the name of Hon. Warren Winslow, for U. S. Senate.

THE USURY LAW.

If a man has an ox, or a barn filled with grain, and wishes to sell it, the law puts no restriction upon the price he is to ask. He may speculate upon his necessities neighbor to his hearts content. It is only in money transactions that the benignity of the law interposes to prevent a man from exercising his own free will in the transaction of his own business. It is here alone that the law steps in to prevent a man from making what it chooses to consider a bad bargain. After all it may be for him a very good bargain. If he can save his property from being sacrificed by giving seven or eight per cent interest for the use of money, why should the law prevent it? He ought certainly to know his own interest better than the law. If he does not, he ought to be prevented, not only from borrowing money at more than six per cent interest, but from transacting any other business, excepting through the medium of a legally appointed guardian.

A man may be placed under the necessity of raising a sum of money within a given time. This he can do, we will suppose, in two ways only. First, by selling property at a sacrifice; or secondly, by borrowing money at the market value. That value may be more than six per cent. But the law steps in and prevents him from borrowing at this rate, and he is compelled to sell his property at twenty per cent below its actual value, when if he had been left to himself, he might have obtained a loan on time at eight per cent, and thus have actually saved twelve per cent. on the amount. A man under such circumstances would be apt to think that the law had given him a very expensive and unnecessary protection against usury.

What would be the consequence of repealing all restrictions on the rate of interest? One of the first results would be that money would no longer flow out, but would flow into the State to be loaned. This would give great life and animation to commerce. Our large towns would feel its effects in an especial manner. The abundance of money capital is what mainly gives the Virginia markets an advantage over our own. Let our merchants be supplied with means of trading and their own enterprise will effect the rest. Thus would be established that unembarrassed influx and reflux of the circulating medium so necessary to a healthy condition of trade. Necessitous persons would no longer be compelled to pay an additional percentage to the money lender as insurance against the risk encountered by lending at usurious interest. Every man could then obtain money at its market value, and sacrifices of property to raise money would be less frequent. Many a solvent borrower is now paying from ten to twenty per cent. per annum for the use of money, who could if the usury law were abolished, obtain all he might require at seven or eight per cent.

THE NEXT CONGRESS.

Although the majority in the next Congress against the Administration is large, this circumstance will give no decided advantage to the Black Republicans. The balance of power will be in the hands of the know nothing members, who will hardly join the Black Republicans (Gilmer excepted) upon any important question. Wisconsin has given a member to the Democratic delegation—an astounding event to the Republicans. The vote of that State, in the recent election, show a loss of 10,000 votes to the opposition; likewise, a change in Ohio of 700 votes, would have given to the democrats five more members of the next Congress. The Times makes the following calculation:

States.	Dem.	Opp.	Old Congress.	Dem.	Opp.
Missouri	7	2	6	1	
Arkansas	2	3	2	3	
Vermont	6	6	6	6	
Maine	1	3	1	3	
Florida	6	1	6	1	
S. Carolina	5	15	15	10	
Pennsylvania	6	15	15	10	
Ohio	6	6	6	6	
Indiana	4	7	6	5	
Iowa	2	2	2	2	
Massachusetts	1	11	11		
Delaware	1	3	3	2	
New Jersey	5	4	5	4	
Illinois	1	3	4	4	
Michigan	6	11	11	22	
Wisconsin	6	27	11	22	
New York	47	103	65	85	

Showing a Democratic loss of 18 members. The States not included in this table, are represented in the present Congress by 62 Democrats and 19 opposition men, including "South American." Should they return members of the same politics to the new Congress, the full House would stand as follows:

Dem.	Opp.
Already elected	103
To be elected	18
	115
	121

Showing an opposition majority of six members. Of the Democrats, ten or twelve are anti-Leonard. But on all questions where their anti-Leonardism will show itself, they will be checked by the South Americans, who on such questions will vote with the Democrats. It is evident, however, that there is to be a spirited contest for the ascendancy and no man can say positively which way the scale will turn. All will depend upon the fifteen States yet to elect. As all of them but California, Connecticut and New Hampshire, are Southern, the Democrats are more likely to gain than to lose in them.

Oregon, which will doubtless be admitted as a State at the approaching session of Congress, will add one name to the Democratic column, making a total of 237 members. The bill to admit heretofore passed one House at the last session of Congress, but was not acted on in the other, for want of time.

HON. WARREN WINSLOW.

We have no knowledge that Mr Winslow is a candidate for U. S. Senator, or that he has even intimated a desire to be such; but we can answer for his competency, and most heartily subscribe to the chief points in the communication in another column pressing the claims of Mr W. for that distinguished mark of favor from the Democratic party.

If the bestowal of these offices had the grave consideration due to such matters, as suggested by our correspondent, it would give no little prominence to the name and merits of Mr Winslow; but as competency is always subordinated in political economy to expediency, the eminent qualifications of our fellow townsmen is not as important or influential as intriguing friends.

Mr Winslow has always stood upon his individual merits, relying more upon his sterling character as a statesman, than the agencies of intrigue or

strategy. It may be owing to this that he is not more regularly in the line of promotion.

We cheerfully join with our correspondents in submitting to our Democratic brethren at Raleigh, the name of Hon. Warren Winslow, for U. S. Senate.

THE USURY LAW.

If a man has an ox, or a barn filled with grain, and wishes to sell it, the law puts no restriction upon the price he is to ask. He may speculate upon his necessities neighbor to his hearts content. It is only in money transactions that the benignity of the law interposes to prevent a man from exercising his own free will in the transaction of his own business. It is here alone that the law steps in to prevent a man from making what it chooses to consider a bad bargain. After all it may be for him a very good bargain. If he can save his property from being sacrificed by giving seven or eight per cent interest for the use of money, why should the law prevent it? He ought certainly to know his own interest better than the law. If he does not, he ought to be prevented, not only from borrowing money at more than six per cent interest, but from transacting any other business, excepting through the medium of a legally appointed guardian.

A man may be placed under the necessity of raising a sum of money within a given time. This he can do, we will suppose, in two ways only. First, by selling property at a sacrifice; or secondly, by borrowing money at the market value. That value may be more than six per cent. But the law steps in and prevents him from borrowing at this rate, and he is compelled to sell his property at twenty per cent below its actual value, when if he had been left to himself, he might have obtained a loan on time at eight per cent, and thus have actually saved twelve per cent. on the amount. A man under such circumstances would be apt to think that the law had given him a very expensive and unnecessary protection against usury.

What would be the consequence of repealing all restrictions on the rate of interest? One of the first results would be that money would no longer flow out, but would flow into the State to be loaned. This would give great life and animation to commerce. Our large towns would feel its effects in an especial manner. The abundance of money capital is what mainly gives the Virginia markets an advantage over our own. Let our merchants be supplied with means of trading and their own enterprise will effect the rest. Thus would be established that unembarrassed influx and reflux of the circulating medium so necessary to a healthy condition of trade. Necessitous persons would no longer be compelled to pay an additional percentage to the money lender as insurance against the risk encountered by lending at usurious interest. Every man could then obtain money at its market value, and sacrifices of property to raise money would be less frequent. Many a solvent borrower is now paying from ten to twenty per cent. per annum for the use of money, who could if the usury law were abolished, obtain all he might require at seven or eight per cent.

THE NEXT CONGRESS.

Although the majority in the next Congress against the Administration is large, this circumstance will give no decided advantage to the Black Republicans. The balance of power will be in the hands of the know nothing members, who will hardly join the Black Republicans (Gilmer excepted) upon any important question. Wisconsin has given a member to the Democratic delegation—an astounding event to the Republicans. The vote of that State, in the recent election, show a loss of 10,000 votes to the opposition; likewise, a change in Ohio of 700 votes, would have given to the democrats five more members of the next Congress. The Times makes the following calculation:

States.	Dem.	Opp.	Old Congress.	Dem.	Opp.
Missouri	7	2	6	1	
Arkansas	2	3	2	3	
Vermont	6	6	6	6	
Maine	1	3	1	3	
Florida	6	1	6	1	
S. Carolina	5	15	15	10	
Pennsylvania	6	15	15	10	
Ohio	6	6	6	6	
Indiana	4	7	6	5	
Iowa	2	2	2	2	
Massachusetts	1	11	11		
Delaware	1	3	3	2	
New Jersey	5	4	5	4	
Illinois	1	3	4	4	
Michigan	6	11	11	22	
Wisconsin	6	27	11	22	
New York	47	103	65	85	

Showing a Democratic loss of 18 members. The States not included in this table,