WEEKLY NEWS ANALYSIS BY JOSEPH W. Labine Allies Counter Nazi Air Might

With Turkish Diplomatic Coup; Italy Seeks Balkan Supremacy

(EDITOR'S NOTE-When opinions are expressed in these columns, they are those of the news analyst and not necessarily of this newspaper.)



THE WAR AT SEA

not there to enjoy the scenery. The burning question: Will 1914's route of invasion be used again?

Search for Security

From Scandinavia down through the Balkans to Turkey, diplomats scurried madly after an elusive quantity called security. The reason was Russia, whose grisly head had been shoved into eastern Europe the moment Adolf Hitler opened the door. Although Moscow shipped 17½ tons of gold to Berlin (presumably Polish gold), and although German engineers were busy supervising the Soviet's new five-year plan, the happy wedding of Communism and Nazism seemed to have cost both principals a lot of friends:

Communism and Naziism seemed to have cost both principals a lot of friends:

Balkans. Russian-Turkish talks in Moscow broke down and Foreign Minister Sukru Saracoglu left for Istanbul, presumably refusing (1) to close the strategic Dardanelles to all but Russian warships and thus imperil the allies' chances to aid Rumania; (2) to permit Russo-German domination of a Balkan neutral bloc; (3) to recognize the Polish partition, and (4) to permit expansion of Bulgaria and Russia at Rumania's expense. Next day Turkish friendship with the allies was cemented by mutual assistance pacts whereby France, Britain and Turkey agreed to protect the eastern Mediterranean. Meanwhile Turkish troops rushed to northern frontiers.

Commented irked Germany, which promptly snuggled closer to Russia: "It can be taken for certain that other states of the Balkan entente will see in Turkey's attitude a

SUKRU SARACOGLU

Italy also watched his work.

ciation of the preservation of

renunciation of the preservation of neutrality..."

Baltie. Fearing that Finland might meet the fate of Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia, all victims of Russia's westward drive, the four Scandinavian powers (Finland, Denmark, Norway and Sweden) met at Stockholm to declare their solidarity. President Roosevelt sent his best wishes, having received the day before an answer to his note to Soviet President Michael Kalinin. Said the answer: "The sole aim (of Finnish-Russian negotiations) is the consolidation of reciprocal relations between the Soviet Union and Finland." There was still no official announcement of Russian 'demands," but everyone knew Russia wanted naval and air bases on Finnish Baltic islands.

Search for Security

THE WAR:

In early 1938 Germany's conservative Field Marshal Werner von Blomberg married blonde Erika Gruhn, a carpenter's daughter whom other Mazi officers termed "socially impossible." This month Erika Gruhn felt the news spotlight again in a British "white paper" by Sir Nevile Henderson, pre-war ambassador to Germany. Wrote he to Foreign Secretary Lord Halifax:

"I drew your lordship's attention

gn Secretary Lord Hahrax.
"I drew your lordship's attention
in 1935) to the far-reaching and un-

disaster which that— in itself—



minor incident involved, ow-

consequent from Herr Hitler's entourage of the more mod-

Blomberg . . ."

It made a pretty news story: Is a woman the cause of Europe's war?

In 1919 the proud but beaten German navy scuttled 72 warships in Scapa Flow (see map) rather than ose them to the allies. In the war lose them to the allies. In the war of 1939, Germany remembered Scaps Flow. Day after Nazi raiders had bombed the Firth of Forth naval yard at Edinburgh, long-range airships, again set out (probably from Wilhelmshaven) and unleashed the full fury of Herr Hitler's air might against Scapa Flow. Five times in four hours they struck, damaging the old Iron Duke and giving Britishers a bad case of jiters. Next day press and parlia-tent railled at Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain for sloppy defense work. The cries grew stronger when Chamberlain revealed the Royal Oak

Chamberlain revealed the Royal Oak had also been sunk at Scapa Flow by a daring U-boat.

But Britain was not idle in this battle of airship vs. warship; she was merely less communicative than the Nazis, who boasted of their conquests. Next day Hollanders reported the Emden naval base had been bombed, and possibly Wilhelmshaven. Both Danish and Norwegian fishermen scurried for cover when the foes clashed somewhere west of Skagerrak.

Western Front

Western Front

Whether by choice or convenience, French-British troops began fighting a defensive war whose principal feature was "strategic retreat." On the 108-mile northern fiank of the western front, Nazidom's fighting men opened a vicious offensive which drove allied troops back to their main line. Paris reported one part of this drive, on the Moselle and before Saarbrucken, had cost the Germans 5,000 casualties in two days. The French were apparently content to dig in, letting Germany pay the cost of offensive warfare. But they were also waiting for a clearer definition of Russia's intentions (see THE NEUTRALS). Meanwhile reports persisted that the 20

NEWS QUIZ

Know you news? One hundred is rict score. Deduct 20 for each questing you miss. Grades: 100, excellent; good; 60, average; 40, poor;

2. What proposed U. S. trade pact are western senators fight-ing? Why? 3. What Latin-American nation,

3. What Latin-American nation, having been given the use of \$3,000,000 in U. S. gold, has ordered \$5,870,000 worth of railroad equipment here?

4. True or false: Under an unprecedented ruling, President Rossevelt opened U. S. harbors to all belligerent submarines.

5. What American automobile manufacturer has excluded Russian engineers who have been studying in his plant?

(Anseers at bottom of column.)

(Answers at bottom of column.)

CONGRESS:

Budding Friendship

Budding Friendship

Three weeks of neutrality debate had passed before the word "fillibuster" was mentioned on the senate floor. Yet isolationists were making a fillibuster in everything but name. West Virginai's Rush D. Holt began vying with North Dakota's Gerald Nye as No. 1 speechmaker. Idaho's D. Worth Clark offered the best oratory: "If Germany is destroyed, her people will be driven into the arms of Stalin . . . This holier-than-thou attitude of England is a pretext . . . It ill-behooves England and France, with their records

their records of persecu-tion within their own

borders, to least treat for peace. The trail of the British empire is

McADOO thing is built on two things—blood and A good lebbyist. treachery."

But behind scenes there was compromise on the two most debatable points. Repeal of the arms embargo was certain, but isolationists demanded "cash-on-the-barrelhead" instead of 30-day credits to belligerents. Shippers and seaboard states demanded that proposed restrictions on U. S. shipping be relaxed. One of the latter lobbyists whose word carried weight was former Sen. William Gibbs McAdoo, now a west coast shipping executive, whose call at the White House was followed quickly by modification proposals.

Key Pittman, administration lead-

Key Pittman, administration leader, called his foreign affairs hench-men into council and observers were pretty sure they'd win most isola-tionists with these concessions:

ionists with these concessions:

1. Designating areas where U. S. ships could carry all materials except armament, i. s., lands like Australia and New Zealand.

2. If a U. S. vessel is destroyed with loss of life, the President would automatically step trade in that vicinity.

3. The U. S. would not support a shipper's claim against a foreign government if a cargo is destroyed.

4. Vessel masters would be required to give the U. S. a statement of their cargo, detailing consignees and scheduled portsof-call.

5. The entire mestarn bemisphere would be opened to commercial aircraft.

6. "Cash-on-the-barrethead" would be imposed not only against foreign governments, but upon residents of those countries.

From such compromise, Derno-

From such compromise, Demo-cratic wheelhorses saw hope for 1940. If the President discouns third-term ambitions before congress opens next January, and if he avoids any new reference or speeding. opens next January, and if he avoids any new reform or spending program, observers were pretty certain the once-disheveled Democratic party would hang together against the foreign "enemy" until next year's election has passed.

News Ouiz Answers

Al Smith Jr. is running for alderman in New York.
 Pact with Argentina. Because U.
 S. manufactured products would be exchanged for Argentine farm products, altegably working a hardship on American farmers.

Bruckart's Washington Digest

Public Sentiment Grows Insistent To Keep Out of European Muddle

Unmistakable Change Is Going on Throughout the Country; People Make Known Their Feelings and Their Thought Is Thoroughly Reflected in Congress.

> By WILLIAM BRUCKART WNU Service, National Press Bldg., Washington, D. C.

> > Old Party Whip Is Used

Which brings us back to the subject of politics. It will be remembered that President Roosevelt called for an "adjournment of politics," during the dangerous period. No one made the open charge in debate, but there were plenty of senators who felt that the President exerted pressure to keep his own followers in line. The administration's leaders were quite well aware that a titanic struggle confronted them to obtain repeal of the arms embargo if it were cut loose from the "cash and carry" section. So the old party whip was brought into play.

The question that now confronts the country, from a political stand-point, is whether the European war will run six months or a year or six years.

Farley Has Party Control Locked Up in His Own Sale

public sentiment.

The senate has debated the issue of repeal of the arms embargo to the fullest, but the debate has fallen short of telling the whole truth. There was an entire lack of any truly great speeches. Much additional light has been thrown on the whole question of a neutrality policy, yes; but I believe the senate failed to do the job in a way that history will measure as statesmanlike. The amazing fact is, therefore, that public sentiment should have solidified so definitely in such a short time, with a verdict that says: "We will stay out of that European mess; it is not ours, and we will not play their game."

It is always difficult to describe

will not play their game."

It is always difficult to describe what takes place in the matter of public sentiment, powerful as it is in the United States. But it is easy to describe the condition, the status, of national thought as represented by an overwhelming majority of the citizens. In the current case, it can be told in two sentences. A few weeks ago, the big shots of government were saying: "possibly" we can keep out of war; the present statement is that "probably" we can keep out of the war. It is a vital difference.

difference.

The reason for this is obvious. People have made known their feelings. They have made clear that whatever else they may differ about, they are determined and united on the one proposition, namely, that we must not get tangled up with Europe's power politics. Further, existence of this sentiment has been thoroughly reflected in congress and those who would willingly toss our armies into the flame have begun to get scared about their own hides.

Clark Takes Wallep at

Clark Takes Wallep at

Assistant Secretary of War

I must qualify that statement that all of the hig shots have toned down their remarks. Several continue to shout in an inflammatory way. The best known of these is Louis Johnson, assistant secretary of war. It is unnecessary for me to discuss Johnson's unwise statements. I can quote a real authority, for Senator Bennett Clark, the Missouri Democrat, dealt quite bully with the assistant secretray's utterances—especially that in which Mr. Johnson said that "if the United States were not fully prepared for war, it would be overrun like Poland." Of that remark, Senator Clark had this to say, during the arms embargo debate in the serate:

"In my judgment, no more idiotic, moronic or unpatriotic remark ever has been made by a man in high public office . . .

"This is the same Louis Johnson who has been flying around the country in an army airplane for the last several years, preaching the inevitability of war and the certainty of our being drawn in and drawing horrific pictures with grisly prophecies of our shores being invaded and our own land being laid waste."

Johnson Reported Seeking Job of Secretary of War

It is no longer a secret in Washington that Mr. Johnson has been trying for months to get the job of secretary of war. He and Mr. Woodsecretary of war. He and Mr. Woodring do not get along, and have not for much of the time the assistant secretary has been on the job. The result has been continual friction, a handicapping of department policies and a general fear among army officers. They are afraid they will get tangled up in departmental politics and every officer knows if he guesses wrong, the chances of getting top flight assignments are nil.

As can readily be seen, such a sit-

However, that may be, it can be said now that "Big Jim" Farley, who is chairman of the New York State Democratic committee in addition to being chairman of the National Democratic committee, has control of the party locked up in his own safe. If he chooses lo align himself with the Garner-Harrison group, Mr. Roosevelt and his followers are through, washed up. If he decides to support Mr. Roosevelt again, the anti-Roosevelt Democrats will be tossed about like a straw in a whirlwind. It appears to this observer as of this time that the swing of public sentiment definitely against anything in the nature of American participation in the European war probably will mean a Farley swing to the Garner-Harrison side of the line. ting top flight assignments are nil.

As can readily be seen, such a situation in a policy-making agency of government certainly does the nation no good. It is said that President Roosevelt sees Assistant Secretary Johnson more frequently than he confers with Mr. Woodring, the head of the department. Whether that is true or not, it is a fact that the President has done nothing at all to settle the long-standing row.

-Speaking of Sports Pro Gridster Backs College Rule Changes thrown in each games. His misso tion is up to 286, cording to Conni

Short shrift was made of that mo-tion. It was licked by more than two to one. The reason: if the "cash and carry" section were disposed of, there would be so much less inter-est in the arms embargo repeal that its eventual defeat would be entirely

An outstanding advocate of rules as they are is Amos Alonso Stagg, the No. 1 Grand Old Man of American football, who entered his fiftleth year of coaching this season. Stagg is rounding out his career in the comparative obscurity of a small eampus at the College of the Pacific at Stockton, Calif.

are charging "fancy prices" but the are not giving the public the kind a a show it deserves. His interest furthering the cause of college foo



ball is by no means academic. He wants various school teams to play good football—and for a reason. It is his view that mediocre football kills off the interest of would-be customers. In other words, if John Q. Phan pays \$4.40 for a seat at a college game and then sees an inferior brand of football, he loses interest in the game, whether it be pro or simon-pure.

Ulterior Motives?

Marshall charges that a great many college football rules were faced on the books for selfish rea-ens. Coaches who wanted to capi-dize on their own strength or their It has been noted, repeatedly, how the arms embargo debate has found many of the anti-administration Democrats aligned with the President, favoring repeal. Most of the senators whom President Roosevelt sought to "purge" from the Democratic party believe with the Chief Executive that the embargo ought to be repealed. Some few of the ardent Roosevelt supporters are standing against repeal. The interesting fact is that the intra-party row, among the Democrats, has hed no effect upon the stand taken by the party members in the embargo controversy. And, thus, there has been considerable speculation whether there is to be a healing of the wounds. I think there will not be. The differences seem too deeply seated. a new rule. He charged specifically that goal posts were moved back of the goal post lines not to protect the players but to handicap the foes of rule committee coaches whose squads were scheduled to meet clubs with better kickers.

with better kickers.

On the other hand, Stagg feels that the rules of the college game are settled to their permanent form. There will be no further rapid and radical changes. During his 50 years as a coach he has watched the game develop from the kicking to the carrying to the razzle-dazzle game. He remembers the 15-man team. He remembers when the playing field was first called a gridiron. He was on the Yale team when slugging was abolished.

Veteran Observer

Stagg has been a member of the football rules committee since 1964 a-a life member since 1933. He has been as integral part of the game's development.

development.

A review of his past 49 seasons convinces Stagg that there is still a good chance that one or two more major systems may be developed, and that there is plenty of room for development of new individual plays. Because of this, Stagg knows that rule alterations may be necessary. Both sides sound logical. Stagg's the more so because the advocates of rule changes have, for the large part, a selfish interest. They look upon colleges as farms for the professional gridiron, and therefore are anxious to have the collegians molded to their style as soon as possible.

Sports Shorts

Heap Big Chief

A RTURO GODOY of

Gridiron Topnotchers

