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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { had } \\ & \text { When } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  | ment, a number of Radien euficials

Philadelphia Age.
The Battimore
Following striong languagge in regard
ical Congress, and the angervess of
some to have the Soathern. States,
meanwhile, The decision allowing
him to have his name registered may
years after such registry." The old
leaven of Knownothingism is still
actively at work in the Republicancibly remeneneral Hoar's deetse, forethe Fifteenth Amendment, and notadmitted by Congress the cannot theas a State! Io exercining this high
tribute of sovereignty, the is subject-ed to test-oiths imposed by Congresse,
and is really a sobject-a thing erca-and is really a sabject-a thing erea-
ted and controlled by Congress, en-
tirelytirely at its meroy, and must beophe ens
dient to ite orders. Virginis einnotexerecise the power of ordinis cannot legis-
lation. She can only do what she islation. She can only do what ste is
ordered to do-ratify the Fiftent
Ainendment, and then selt.further decision of Congress as to her
admission as a State. Intelligentadmission as a State. Intelligent
men of both partics should look nt
this absurdity. They shculd underthing of that-these decisions thatVirginian is a State for one thing ba
pof in state for ordionary legialation-
a State to consuminate a partya State to amiend the Constitation of
the United States, but not \& Stato toany right to impose these rules anGeneral Hoar cam quote the authority from the Constitation. But h
does not ventire upon any stech enterprise. He ouly assumes that Con
gress may destroy our system of $g^{\circ}$vernment in this way."eellent virtse, in poititics, as mell aall other matters. We have alway
ineuleated prudehce in the diecurvioof public affiars. But teally, when
we hear so much, in theso days, ot" hash "- don't speak abore a whis
per:-it will hart us in Washington-take care how yoz exp rese your opin
ion, Gen, Grant may be diapiened
don'tpeople really suppose that it will Senginia, to have the silence of tyranor dissent? Are we always, coopor dissent? Are we always, coni:
rally, to walk in this sallegy of, 1almays to approach matters that
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