

to their government and their Constitution. The storm passed away. The political atmosphere again became pure and healthful, and the government was maintained and improved. And it is my honest conviction, that there is too much good sense in the people of these United States to be led away with the idea of disunion, on account of any difficulties growing out of this question, surrounded by such peculiar circumstances. I predict they will not—unless misled and deceived. But—figuratively speaking—they will bring to the block the political heads of all who shall insist on any such remedy for such complaint.

Mr. Chairman, it is not to be disguised, that our Southern people are anxious about appearances for the future. They see the free States in number and in Representation, already in the majority in both Houses of Congress, and this majority soon to be largely increased; that while the South falls into this minority, they are witness, for the last few years, among many people of the free States, an increasing spirit of bitter hostility to the South and her institutions. But let us like statesmen be calm, briefly trace the history of this thing, and inquire why it is. Though by the census, the actual figures show that the natural increase of population in the slave States has been equal to the natural increase of the free States, yet the free States have excelled us in the settlement of new Territories and raising up new States.

In the first place we of the Southern States have been, and now are, the advocates of free-trade, and many for direct taxes. We have opposed the policy of discrimination in favor of our own domestic industry in the old States, in regulating and raising revenue, and no more than enough to defray the expenses of the Government economically administered.

To this policy we have made in substance, successful opposition—thereby in a good degree cutting off much of the inducement, that would have retained the industrious and energetic population in the old States, who, in consequence, have moved to the Territories, there settled, made new and free States, and became producers instead of consumers of the earth's productions.

In the second place, a majority of Southern politicians have uniformly favored the policy of inviting, alluring, persuading, and in fact hiring emigrants—not only the citizens of the States, but of the whole world, to move and settle in our Territories. Homesteads, by way of pre-emption, in the Territories, are offered to all the world. The language of the whole policy is in substance, "come ye all the Earth, and settle in our Territories—here you can become citizens, and without waiting to be naturalized, according to the laws of the Union, you can vote and hold office;" the result of which has been to run from the old States (slave and free,) into the Territories, much of their population, and particularly that portion, though young, industrious and worthy, who have, or take, but little interest in the institutions of the South,—and besides, we find growing out of this, that hundreds of thousands of foreigners are flocking to us every year—that foreign papers are set upon our shores. In fact, I find from the best official statements, that the number of foreign emigrants that came to this country from June the 1st, 1850, to December 31st, 1851, was five hundred and fifty-eight thousand—for the year 1852, three hundred and seventy-five thousand—for the year 1853, three hundred and sixty-eight thousand—for the year 1854, nearly the same. The war in the East diminished the number, but I venture the prediction that between the years 1850 and 1850 there will have come to this country foreigners enough to place in each of twenty new States more population than is now in the Territory of Kansas. These foreigners make their way mainly to the Territories, or crowd into the free States, occasioning increased emigration from them.

These facts being undeniable, I submit, how important it is for our Southern politicians to turn their attention to them. While the people of the North were willing to dispense with and check this immense immigration among them, for reasons of a social character, to diminish their taxes, prosecutions and the inmates of their poor houses, jails and penitentiaries, I respectfully ask, why should we, the South, to a man, for reasons as well understood as expressed, have joined in this great movement? and if in the first movements and organizations any rules were adopted so strict or stringent to be generally enforced, or too severe on the honest immigrant, to have given their potent aid and influence in modifying the same, so as to have carried most useful results to our beloved South? But it has been their pleasure to pursue a different course, and the results thereof have, in no small degree, contributed to the embarrassing circumstances that now seem to gather around us and swallow up our influence in the National Council. The argument has been, "settle and populate the Territories," forgetting the fact that in the last seventy-five years our population has increased from three to twenty seven millions—ainfold—and if the same ratio of increase shall obtain for the next seventy-five years, the result will be nine times twenty-seven millions—showing how important these Territories may be (sold at reasonable prices paid into the treasury,) for the homes of our own posterity, and of honest worthy foreigners, who come to us as they did in former days, from a love of free government, and who are willing to settle among us, sure of being protected in all their rights of religion and property, and who are willing to wait until they have understood and become familiar with our people and their institutions before claiming the right to participate in their government.

These suggestions I have made to Southern gentlemen here, and throughout the slave States, that on reflection they may determine whether they have not been remiss in failing to come to the aid of a cause quite material to Southern influence and Southern interests.

I was very much entertained, Mr. Chairman, by the speech of the gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. Sandridge] and, if I had time, I should like to incorporate at least half of it in mine, to show, in addition to the millions that have already come, how many more millions of papers are to come under our present system of inviting them to come here.

But, Mr. Chairman, what is it that we have been discussing here for the last ninety days? This discussion has been either intentionally or accidentally conducted so as to bring out the extreme sectional views of gentlemen

from the South and from the North. It is only within the last eight or ten days that any conservative man has been permitted to address the House on this agitated question. It is said that this is a question whether any more slave States shall come into this Union, and speech after speech is made and sent to the South to tell the Southern people that we are solemnly debating in the House of Representatives the naked question whether any more slave States shall come into the Union.

Why, Mr. Chairman, if that were true, if that were the only question here, it might have been settled within twenty-four hours after this debate commenced. If that were the only question, I take it that all our American friends would vote for it, every man from the South would vote for it, and I am inclined to think that the Free-Soil wing of the Democracy—these Buffalo-plum men—could be got to vote for it, with a Green amendment. This is my opinion.

But, Mr. Chairman, is that the question? On what has this debate arisen? On the special message of the President. Does he say that whether there shall be any more slave States is the question? No sir; that message, as I understand it, means these two things—and it means nothing more and nothing less—to the South, "come in Lecompton," and to Northern gentlemen, "it is the surest and readiest way, and the only certain way, in which you can confiscate Southern property and get clear of negroes in Kansas." I have listened to gentlemen here professing great regard for the interests of the South, and, whilst all of them have been eloquent on the first part of the picture, they have all, save and except a gentleman from the chivalrous State of South Carolina, passed over that portion as tenderly as sucking doves. [Laughter.] I will read from the President's message, in order that there may be no mistake about it:

"As a question of expediency, after the right has been maintained, it may be wise to reflect upon the benefits to Kansas and the whole country which would result from its immediate admission into the Union, as well as the disasters which may follow its rejection. Domestic peace will be the happy consequence of its admission; and that fine Territory, which has hitherto been torn by dissensions, will rapidly increase in population and wealth, and speedily realize the blessings and the comforts which follow in the train of agricultural and mechanical industry. The people will then be sovereign, and can regulate their own affairs in their own way. If a majority of them desire to abolish domestic slavery within the State, there is no other possible mode by which this can be effected so speedily as by prompt admission. The will of the majority is supreme and irresistible when expressed in an orderly and lawful manner. They can make and unmake constitutions at pleasure. It would be absurd to say that they can impose fetters upon their own power which they cannot afterwards remove. If they could do this, they might tie their own hands for a hundred as well as for ten years. These are fundamental principles of American freedom, and are recognized, I believe, in some form or other, by every State constitution; and if Congress, in the act of admission, should think proper to recognize them, I can perceive no objection to such a course. This has been done emphatically in the constitution of Kansas. It declares in the bill of rights that 'all political power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their benefit, and therefore they have at all times an inalienable and indefeasible right to alter, reform, or abolish their form of government in such manner as they may think proper.' The great State of New York is at this moment governed under a constitution framed and established in direct opposition to the mode prescribed by the previous constitution. If, therefore, the provision changing the Kansas constitution after the year 1864, could by possibility be construed into a prohibition to make a change previous to that period, this prohibition would be wholly unavailing. The Legislature already elected may, at its very first session, submit the question to a vote of the people whether they will or will not have a convention to amend their constitution, and adopt all necessary means for giving effect to the popular will."

"It has been solemnly adjudged, by the highest judicial tribunal known to our laws, that slavery exists in Kansas by virtue of the Constitution of the United States. Kansas is therefore, at this moment, as much a slave State as Georgia or South Carolina. Without this, the equality of the sovereign States composing the Union would be violated, and the use and enjoyment of a Territory acquired by the common treasure of all the States, would be closed against the people and the property of nearly half the members of the confederacy."

And then he concludes with this very cheering doctrine for Southern men and Southern interests:

"Slavery can, therefore, never be prohibited in Kansas, except by means of a constitutional provision, and in no other manner can this be obtained so promptly, if a majority of the people desire it, as by admitting it into the Union under its present constitution."

The President points out the way in advance. He stimulates the Free-Soilers in Kansas to dislike the constitution. He requests this prompt means of getting slavery out of Kansas to be recognized in the bill of admission.

Here is the message. I submit it to the Chairman, to the Committee, and to Southern men—suppose, that instead of having the name of James Buchanan attached to it, it had had the name of the distinguished gentleman from Ohio, Josiah R. Giddings at the end of it, I ask, if that name had been attached, whether it would not have been an entirely different case? We would pronounce it a rank abolition document. And yet, sir, our Southern friends come up here and talk about associating with Abolitionists, and of hugging abolition doctrines as a sweet morsel! Why, Mr. Chairman, the whole thing in that message is, "in with Kansas—and with slavery in Kansas"—and identically the same thing is in the Senate bill, that the South is called upon to rally as one man to the support of. I have asked many of our Lecompton friends if this Green amendment, which they have got in the bill, speaks the language of this message? Some say no, others say it does; and there is another class

who give the answer the girl gave to her mother, when asked, if a certain gentleman was courting her; she replied, "it is a sorter so, and a sorter not so, and rather more a sorter so than a sorter not so." [Laughter.] Now, that amendment is a very little thing—only a few lines. There is not much of it, but I tell you I never read it over but it reminds me very much of the boy who was scolded for not making the potatoe hills on a wet morning large enough. "Well dad," said he, "it is a fact that they are small, but I tell you they have got a darned sight of dirt in them." [Laughter.] Sir, if this is a pill gilded over to make it acceptable to some Green men, Southern men ought to be ashamed of it. I know that this peculiar policy is practised in our little electioneering scullies in our country, and I suppose everywhere else, but I never supposed it ought to obtain in the Congress of our nation. Once when I charged a friend of mine with having said some foolish things in a speech which he had made, and told him that I thought he had hurt our cause, he said: "Ah, Gilmer, you do not know the folks as well as I do. A great many people are like a nest of young birds, if you tap the side of the tree, they'll open their mouths, and swallow the worm down." [Laughter.] Southern men supposed that we had got something by the Dred Scott decision. I, for one, as a Southern man thought we had obtained something; I thought that we had got upon safe ground; that we had perfect equality in the Territories; that we could go there with our institutions and our property, and be just as safe there as the men who go there from any other section with any other species of property. But if this is the meaning, if this is the result of the Dred Scott decision, then those of us who go into the Territories with our slave property, have to run two chances—first, that the people may exclude us when they come to form their constitution, and if they do not run us out at first, then whenever the majority of the people desire it, they may run us and our negroes out. And this is the doctrine upon which the South is to stand—this is the doctrine, mark you, which Alabama and other States are to go out of the Union on, if they cannot get it. It is not from any objection to the constitution of Kansas that I, as a Southern man, oppose her admission. I would be pleased that we could fairly and properly get slavery permanently in Kansas. But I object to this doctrine, that we can be protected in our property while in partnership, during the Territorial state, but the moment we become an incorporation—a State—every man that owns joint stock is instantly liable by constitutional provision to have his property confiscated. And this is the doctrine which we have been told here, month after month, and day after day, that every Southern man must stand upon, otherwise he is an Abolitionist and opposed to the interests of the South!

Mr. Chairman, what is the question which has agitated the country for the last four years? It is one that has taken up the entire attention of Congress. We have been figuring about it until, I believe, not only the country, but the Government itself is upon the verge of bankruptcy. This question commenced with two faces—one for the Free-Soil Democrats of the North, and one for the South; and the same identical double face is in this bill, and I will detain the Committee only for a moment, while I refer them to some history of it. We had our troubles some years ago, growing out of the discussion of the compromise measures. In January, 1851, the venerable fathers of the land, Whigs and Democrats, gathered together, with Henry Clay at their head, and drew up a pledge to the country that from and after that day their influence would be exerted against every man for office, State or Federal, who would refuse to stand upon the platform of the adjustment measures of 1850. The people rallied to that standard. The Democratic convention met in Baltimore, in 1852; the Whig convention met in the same place, and they both bowed down at the same altar or peace upon this agitated question. They re-affirmed in substance what Mr. Fillmore said in December, 1851, that this compromise of 1850 should be a finality, and there should be no more agitation of the slavery question in or out of Congress. To that both of the great leading parties were pledged to the country. They put their candidates up on that platform. General Pierce was elected. He was installed. Unfortunately, however, he in a short time made some injudicious appointments; he turned out the true Democrats of the North, men who I am proud to find standing in the same ranks they did then. Van Buren, Dix, Cochrane & Co., the Buffalo platform men, were then coming in, and the party was about to break up. Something had to be done. The Administration was going down. A prescription had to be made. It was given—and so the principle that you prescribe to a pumpkin, and it would relieve him. [Laughter.] They went upon this Cincinnati platform. I am not going to detain the Committee to show how our friends viewed it in the South. That is well known. I desire to show how the matter stands with the Administration, to show what the Democratic Free-Soilers said before, afterwards, and all the time. A few months before the Cincinnati convention met, a distinguished Free-Soiler wrote to the North. Mr. Hubbard, Mr. Woodbury, and all those noisy men of the Buffalo convention, began to give evidence that they wanted to return to their friends. Here is one; I give it as a fair specimen of their letters and speeches. It is the letter of the Hon. C. C. Cambreling:

"Huntington, December 8, 1855.

"WILLIAM H. LEBRON, Esq.:

"My Dear Sir: Even Southern men in Kansas acknowledge that it will inevitably be a free State. This is the last struggle for slavery; for the half dozen Territories remaining are already free and will remain so."

"There would not have been half the trouble about Kansas, but for Atchison's struggle to get back into the Senate. As the question now stands, there ought to be no difficulty whatever in uniting the Democratic party—for the principle of the Nebraska and Kansas bill—squatter sovereignty—whatever its origin, gives us every Territory belonging to the United States—and all we have now to insist upon is, that it shall be honestly enforced—that Kansas shall have fair play. Practically there is no difference worth quarrelling about."

"It appears to me to be perfectly absurd for us to be grumbling about squatter sovereignty, at the present time, when squatter sovereignty will make free every inch of territory now belonging to the United States."

"After the acquisition of California, with the prospect of the addition of more Mexican territory, when Gen. Cass proposed the doctrine of non-intervention, it was an important question, as it might have led to the introduction of many slave States; but after the South had been completely checked by California's declaration in favor of freedom, we had no reason to object to the doctrine of non-intervention, or squatter sovereignty. We have now, besides Kansas and Nebraska, N. Mexico, Utah, Minnesota, Oregon and Washington, making seven Territories, which will give us seven free States. Some think the fate of Kansas doubtful, but the invasion of the Missouri frontier, independent of natural causes, will make it a free State. These borderers came over first to vote for pro-slavery men—the second time to vote against them in the location of the Capital—and the third time to make a bluster under Shannon, plunder the people, and drink whisky."

"Under such circumstances I cannot conceive what we can possibly gain by resisting a principle which has hitherto excluded slavery from our Territories."

"The slaveholders will not get Kansas, and they are now deprived of the pretext of going into the Territories south of thirty-six degrees thirty minutes, under that compromise. They generally opposed non-intervention on that ground, and contended for carrying the compromise line to the Pacific ocean. It is certainly not for our interest now to have that compromise line restored. Why the South should have voted for its repeal is a question for themselves to settle. They all, at the time, admitted that Kansas would never be a slave State. I hope our friends will meet the issue boldly, and leave the question of State organization to the people of the Territory, who have the natural and best right to decide for themselves."

"Let the squatters settle—but insist that that principle of the Nebraska act shall be honestly carried out; that the squatters shall have fair play, and shall not be controlled by invaders from Missouri, or any military power whatever. As to 'more slave States,' there are none in prospect; and it is useless to embarrass ourselves by anticipating questions which may not arise."

"Now, sir, these two wings are standing to-day exactly where they stood before. Tell me, if you please, why these men who are hugging to your bosom on the other side, stand with you? These men who were, and now are, rank Free Soilers? Tell us why the Green amendment is admitted? Which would you rather have for your bed-fellows? I tell you the difference is very much like the slave's reply when asked whether Jim and Mose were not very much alike? He said, 'Yes, very much alike, indeed; and particularly Mose.' [Laughter.] It is not so much, I fear, that they care about getting negroes into Kansas, or getting them out. It is not any principle of this kind. It is, I apprehend, a mere contrivance by which jobbing has been carried on in this country to keep certain men in power. In fact this whole management and shuffling reminds me of what occurred in one of our North Carolina towns some years ago. A silly fellow declared himself a candidate for town constable. The boys had a circular printed for him. It was printed on both sides like this—with Lecompton on one side, and Green on the other. On one side, he addressed himself to the debtors: 'Fellow-citizens, vote for me, and if I am elected constable, I will never force you to pay, even at any extremity.' On the other side was an address to the creditors: 'If you will come up and vote for me, and I shall be elected, I promise, upon my honor, I will have your money paid, in every instance, at the drop of a hat.'"

Mr. Chairman, I am not disposed to detain this Committee with a review of the decision of the Supreme Court in the Dred Scott case. All I have to say is this; that my views upon the constitutionality of the Missouri compromise were known long before that decision was made; and I thought that the compromise was in accordance with the spirit of the Constitution. Although my opinion inclines to that of the Supreme Court, and did before the decision was made, yet, from the length of time it had been a compromise, I was disposed to look upon it as a compromise which had better be abide by. As in the case of two neighbors whose boundary line is in dispute—a boundary which can only be settled by the provision of a deed, and no agreement they might make by parol would change the line fixed by the deed, any more than any agreement between two sections of the country by Congress, could be changed. But when the neighbors have established a line by parol agreement, staked and chopped it off, and have lived in peace, harmony, and propriety under it for more than thirty years, if they should come to me and ask my advice whether they should break up this old landmark—now the true line being ascertained by the deed—and go back to their rights according to law, I should say, as a man, a neighbor, and as a Christian, also, that they had better let the old landmarks stand and abide by them; and by no means revive old disputes and quarrels. So with the case of the Missouri compromise. I do not believe the South is going to gain anything by its repeal, and I firmly believe that the only reward the South will ever get from its repeal will be to her injury, and anything but an advantage to her true interests."

"But it is said that the only way to pacify the country is to admit no amendments to this bill; that it cannot be bettered; that in no way can it be improved; that it has got to be passed in the shape in which it is presented, even though a proposition should be presented, which, if carried out, would more effectively pacify and quiet the country and settle the whole question. Why, say they, it would be intervention. Now, let me detain the Committee a moment to show how ridiculous that idea is. What is this thing of non-intervention? Why, is it intervention to leave the people of a Territory perfectly free and untrammelled to settle this, with all other questions, in their own way, fairly and properly, subject only to the Constitution of the United States?"

"Now, sir, do we consider it any intervention, in the case of a trial by jury, after the verdict is announced, to set the same aside, and grant a new trial upon affidavits which clearly prove and satisfy the judge that the verdict was obtained by fraud, by perjury, by deception, or by any mal-practices? Is it any intervention for an honest and conscientious judge, after being satisfied of the facts by reliable affidavits, to say that he doubted whether the verdict had been fairly obtained,

and in the exercise of the discretion which is vested in him, decide to grant a new trial, in order that justice might be done? Is that an interference with the right of trial by jury? And suppose a jury is empanelled to settle the question, and they come back to the judge, and one of the jury gets up and says the verdict is so and so, and another says it is not so, and the judge tells them, 'gentlemen, you had better retire, get together again and consult, and agree upon your verdict, and when you come in, it will be recorded'—is that any interference? I wanted to show how ridiculous this idea is. Is that intervention? What are Green's and Poon's amendments? Let our Northern anti-slavery men, of all parties, understand that the President of the United States has given a true construction to the Dred Scott decision, and you will never have any more fuss about this matter from them. The President says it means that when the people of any State see proper to get together in a legal way, to get up a convention sanctioned by law, a mere majority vote of their assembly Free-Soil, they may form a constitution and the negroes will all sleep. That is giving the Abolitionists a new cue, and one which will run out the institution of my beloved section from all Territories, certainly, and endanger it in many of the States."

Mr. Chairman, I desire to look upon this question without reference to any section, or how it will affect any body other than the general good and peace of the whole country. If no other plan can be devised and agreed on, I may feel myself constrained to vote for the measure, being urged by Southern friends and sectional pressure. And if I do, the Green amendment stricken out, it will not be (and I say it here,) a measure which my sound judgment can approve as the better plan. If I could, I would put the whole responsibility upon the Democracy, where it belongs, for I do believe it would relax a little, and honestly set their heads to work with our Southern friends and other conservative men in this House, this whole matter might be put upon a footing entirely satisfactory to the South—to the East—to the West—to the North—satisfactory to the people of Kansas—and without any compromise of any principle—substantially in the manner indicated by me heretofore."

I must say that when I hear it asserted here, and everywhere, and the proofs strongly tending to show that the government of Kansas was, in the first instance, ruthlessly snatched from the people, unconstitutional test oaths applied, by which the minority, who by fraud obtained the control of the government, and by which the majority were kept from participating in the government—when I am told—and the proof tends that way—that not more than one-half of the counties of the Territory were permitted to be represented in the convention, I doubt the propriety of supporting the constitution framed thus. I dissent from the idea that a majority of the counties of any State can make a constitution that is binding on the minority of counties who did not have a chance to be represented in the convention. Why have you more judges than one? It is not simply for the sake of numbers, but that there may be conference, argument, interchange of views. We may be to-day all inclined one way, and to-morrow a greater and better mind than any of us, representing but one district, may make a suggestion sufficient to change the opinion of the whole Congress. We know that the election of the 4th of January was recognized by the Secretary of State, who gave instructions that that very election should be fairly held, and the votes fairly and impartially taken; that vote turns out to be ten thousand against the constitution. We are told, too, and assured, that the Legislature of the Territory, representing the will of the people, are unanimously protesting against this thing; and we are also told that the whole constitution rests on fraud, deception, and violence. And, permit me to say, further, as a Southern man, that when I see my Southern friends on the Special Committee in this matter, declining to obey the instructions of the House, and shrinking from inquiry, it leaves the suspicion stronger on my mind that these reports are true. I hope that they are not. I hope that the deeds perpetrated there have not been so horrible as they have been represented; but when I see chivalrous gentlemen from my own section of the Union turning their back upon an investigation, and saying that we had better not look into these things, I take it for granted that there is more in these assertions than I before supposed. But, sir, this Special Committee was directed to do another thing. That was, to tell us whether this Territory had within its confines ninety-three thousand inhabitants. Now, I ask every man here, on what figures, and on what evidence, he can satisfy his mind that there are ninety-three thousand in Kansas? What was the last census?"

Mr. SHERMAN, of Ohio. Twenty-three thousand.

Mr. GILMER. How long ago was that?

Mr. SHERMAN, of Ohio. Last June.

Mr. GILMER. Then where, I appeal to Southern men, do you get the requisite ninety-three thousand population? But they come forward and say that the Republicans wanted to have Kansas admitted under the Topeka constitution, and therefore they are estopped. And they also say that at the last Congress our Democratic friends undertook to pass an enabling act, and therefore they are estopped. Well, that may apply to the Republicans, and may get them out of court. It may very well apply to our Democratic Southern friends, and turn them out of court. But what are they going to do with the poor Americans? We say that the Republicans were mistaken, and that that was only a movement of intemperate zeal. We want to know what the facts are. I venture to say that there are not four individuals there to every single voter. The experience of this country shows that in a territory where there are but few females, and few old or very young persons, the voters are in the ratio of not more than one to every three or four. Well, now, take the ten thousand voters and multiply that figure by three—you have but thirty thousand of population there. Multiply it by four, and you have but forty thousand. Multiply it by five, and you have but fifty thousand. Multiply it by six—what we all know is far beyond the ratio—and you have only got sixty thousand. And yet here are Southern gentlemen—men who want to protect the equality of Southern representation in Congress—coming forward here in hot haste and denouncing an Abolitionist

every man who will not consent to allow the thirty thousand or forty thousand quarrelling people of Kansas to come in as a State, and to send her two Jim Lanes and somebody else like them, to vote in the Congress of the United States; and that all for Southern interest!

That, mark you, is advancing the great interests of the South! I know there is not a man here who can say that he has evidence that there is a population of ninety-three thousand people in the Territory of Kansas. The fact is not so; and the fact that our Southern friends, having the control of the Special Committee, declined to inquire into that important point, proves that it is not so.

But, Mr. Chairman, permit me to say, in conclusion, that we are not left in the dark, and without precedents as the proper course to be pursued in a difficulty of this kind. Kentucky, after several attempts, was admitted into the Union and allowed to frame her constitution subsequently, in her own way. So I believe now, that Kansas should be allowed to come into the Union, and that she should be allowed to settle this question and frame a constitution for herself. Do this, and Kansas will be satisfied—the House will be satisfied—and the whole Union will be satisfied.

NEWS ITEMS.

GOD ON THE WATERS.—A ship arrived recently in New York, whose captain and crew (of 30 persons) had all been converted at sea, "without any other obvious instrumentality than their religious convictions."

REVIVAL.—The revival interest has extended to various parts of Canada. In Montreal prayer meetings are held three times a day and are largely attended.

REVIVAL IN YALE COLLEGE.—The deepest interest is manifested among the students. The revival in the College is probably without a precedent, so far as numbers interested are concerned. In fact it is said to include nearly all the students; among the converts are some who have been very bitter seceders, and who were tolerably well armed with the philosophy of the infidel.

INCIDENTS.—A young man of skeptical tendencies was passing through Amity street, in New York, a few days ago, and saw a child weeping bitterly. He inquired what was the matter, and it appeared that the child, whose family lived in Anthony street, had lost his way, and knew not how to get home. "Come with me," said the young man, "and I will show you the way home." But he could not persuade the lost one to accompany him. The young man left the child, and as he walked on, he thought, "That child is like me—lost—and will not be guided home. The impression ripened into conviction, penitence, and conversion."

The Legislature of Georgia, at its late session, passed a law providing for the appointment of inspectors by the several city authorities and inferior courts of the State, "to prevent and punish the selling and manufacture of drugged or other poisonous and deleterious liquors, spirits, and wines."

The prettiest lining for a bonnet is a smiling face.

We have dates from Great Salt Lake to February 6th. The Mormons had held mass meetings to sustain Brigham Young, in different parts of the Territory. One of these assemblies, held in Salt Lake City, had adopted two addresses, one directed to President Buchanan and the other to Congress, sitting forth the grievances of the Mormons, and declaring a fixed determination to resist the entry of the Army into the city. It is reported that a force of one thousand men was ordered out for the purpose of cutting off Colonel Johnston's supplies. The species of the leaders were as inflammatory as ever, and, if possible, a little worse. Brigham had furnished passports to some eight hundred disaffected Mormons, who were about leaving the Territory.

KILLED BY LIGHTNING.—During a violent thunder storm about sundown on Sunday evening, 11th inst., a negro woman belonging to Mr. Robert S. Alexander, of Asheville, was struck by lightning and instantly killed.

About the same time the lightning struck a large barn and stable on the farm of John W. Woodfin, Esq., about one mile from the village, set it on fire, and in a few minutes the building and its contents, consisting of grain and provender, were reduced to ashes. Several horses and mules, in the stable, were fortunately gotten out unharmed.

Asheville News.

Washington, April 14.

SHOCKING OUTRAGE.—Sixteen laboring men left the here to-day on the turnpike for Baltimore, and this afternoon Justice Donn received intelligence that when two miles distant the men met a woman whom they assaulted. Knives were drawn, and she was dragged into the woods and brutally treated. Officers were dispatched in pursuit, and thirteen of the wretches arrested at Beltsville. The prisoners were tied and brought back this evening in the cars. Justice Donn committed them all to jail for further examination, when it is hoped all witnesses will be present. Their return created considerable excitement.

A Washington letter says:—"The Secretary of War is making every effort to supply Col. Johnston, of the Utah expedition, with troops and supplies; but it is feared his handful of soldiers will fail to strike terror into the heart of Young and his disciples. Since the failure of the Army bill before Congress, it has been the current belief that Young will not only remain in possession of Salt Lake City, but that he is able and quite willing to repel any invasion from a force even double that of Col. Johnston."

HELPING THE ENEMY.—A correspondent of the Cincinnati Inquirer, writing from Camp Scott, Utah, says, on examining the wagons of the contractors for freight for the army, there were found one thousand pounds of powder and other merchandise intended for the Mormon church. The powder was carried across the plain at the expense of the United States government for its enemies; and in the trains which were employed to carry provisions to the army which the government has sent out to put down the Mormon rebellion. This is taking advantage of government liberality with a vengeance.

NEWS ITEMS.

GOD ON THE WATERS.—A ship arrived recently in New York, whose captain and crew (of 30 persons) had all been converted at sea, "without any other obvious instrumentality than their religious convictions."

REVIVAL.—The revival interest has extended to various parts of Canada. In Montreal prayer meetings are held three times a day and are largely attended.

REVIVAL IN YALE COLLEGE.—The deepest interest is manifested among the students. The revival in the College is probably without a precedent, so far as numbers interested are concerned. In fact it is said to include nearly all the students; among the converts are some who have been very bitter seceders, and who were tolerably well armed with the philosophy of the infidel.

INCIDENTS.—A young man of skeptical tendencies was passing through Amity street, in New York, a few days ago, and saw a child weeping bitterly. He inquired what was the matter, and it appeared that the child, whose family lived in Anthony street, had lost his way, and knew not how to get home. "Come with me," said the young man, "and I will show you the way home." But he could not persuade the lost one to accompany him. The young man left the child, and as he walked on, he thought, "That child is like me—lost—and will not be guided home. The impression ripened into conviction, penitence, and conversion."

The Legislature of Georgia, at its late session, passed a law providing for the appointment of inspectors by the several city authorities and inferior courts of the State, "to prevent and punish the selling and manufacture of drugged or other poisonous and deleterious liquors, spirits, and wines."

The prettiest lining for a bonnet is a smiling face.

We have dates from Great Salt Lake to February 6th. The Mormons had held mass meetings to sustain Brigham Young, in different parts of the Territory. One of these assemblies, held in Salt Lake City, had adopted two addresses, one directed to President Buchanan and the other to Congress, sitting forth the grievances of the Mormons, and declaring a fixed determination to resist the entry of the Army into the city. It is reported that a force of one thousand men was ordered out for the purpose of cutting off Colonel Johnston's supplies. The species of the leaders were as inflammatory as ever, and, if possible, a little worse. Brigham had furnished passports to some eight hundred disaffected Mormons, who were about leaving the Territory.

KILLED BY LIGHTNING.—During a violent thunder storm about sundown on Sunday evening, 11th inst., a negro woman belonging to Mr. Robert S. Alexander, of Asheville, was struck by lightning and instantly killed.

About the same time the lightning struck a large barn and stable on the farm of John W. Woodfin, Esq., about one mile from the village, set it on fire, and in a few minutes the building and its contents, consisting of grain and provender, were reduced to ashes. Several horses and mules, in the stable, were fortunately gotten out unharmed.

Asheville News.

Washington, April 14.

SHOCKING OUTRAGE.—Sixteen laboring men left the here to-day on the turnpike for Baltimore, and this afternoon Justice Donn received intelligence that when two miles distant the men met a woman whom they assaulted. Knives were drawn, and she was dragged into the woods and brutally treated. Officers were dispatched in pursuit, and thirteen of the wretches arrested at Beltsville. The prisoners were tied and brought back this evening in the cars. Justice Donn committed them all to jail for further examination, when it is hoped all witnesses will be present. Their return created considerable excitement.

A Washington letter says:—"The Secretary of War is making every effort to supply Col. Johnston, of the Utah expedition, with troops and supplies; but it is feared his handful of soldiers will fail to strike terror into the heart of Young and his disciples. Since the failure of the Army bill before Congress, it has been the current belief that Young will not only remain in possession of Salt Lake City, but that he is able and quite willing to repel any invasion from a force even double that of Col. Johnston."

HELPING THE ENEMY.—A correspondent of the Cincinnati Inquirer, writing from Camp Scott, Utah, says, on examining the wagons of the contractors for freight for the army, there were found one thousand pounds of powder and other merchandise intended for the Mormon church. The powder was carried across the plain at the expense of the United States government for its enemies; and in the trains which were employed to carry provisions to the army which the government has sent out to put down the Mormon rebellion. This is taking advantage of government liberality with a vengeance.

NEWS ITEMS.

GOD ON THE WATERS.—A ship arrived recently in New York, whose captain and crew (of 30 persons) had all been converted at sea, "without any other obvious instrumentality than their religious convictions."

REVIVAL.—The revival interest has extended to various parts of Canada. In Montreal prayer meetings are held three times a day and are largely attended.

REVIVAL IN YALE COLLEGE.—The deepest interest is manifested among the students. The revival in the College is probably without a precedent, so far as numbers interested are concerned. In fact it is said to include nearly all the students; among the converts are some who have been very bitter seceders, and who were tolerably well armed with the philosophy of the infidel.

INCIDENTS.—A young man of skeptical tendencies was passing through Amity street, in New York, a few days ago, and saw a child weeping bitterly. He inquired what was the matter, and it appeared that the child, whose family lived in Anthony street, had lost his way, and knew not how to get home. "Come with me," said the young man, "and I will show you the way home." But he could not persuade the lost one to accompany him. The young man left the child, and as he walked on, he thought, "That child is like me—lost—and will not be guided home. The impression ripened into conviction, penitence, and conversion."

The Legislature of Georgia, at its late session, passed a law providing for the appointment of inspectors by the several city authorities and inferior courts of the State, "to prevent and punish the selling and manufacture of drugged or other poisonous and deleterious liquors, spirits, and wines."

The prettiest lining for a bonnet is a smiling face.

We have dates from Great Salt Lake to February 6th. The Mormons had held mass meetings to sustain Brigham Young, in different parts of the Territory. One of these assemblies, held in Salt Lake City, had adopted two addresses, one directed to President Buchanan and the other to Congress, sitting forth the grievances of the Mormons, and declaring a fixed determination to resist the entry of the Army into the city. It is reported that a force of one thousand men was ordered out for the purpose of cutting off Colonel Johnston's supplies. The species of the leaders were as inflammatory as ever, and, if possible, a little worse. Brigham had furnished passports to some eight hundred disaffected Mormons, who were about leaving the Territory.

KILLED BY LIGHTNING.—During a violent thunder storm about sundown on Sunday evening, 11th inst., a negro woman belonging to Mr. Robert S. Alexander, of Asheville, was struck by lightning and instantly killed.

About the same time the lightning struck a large barn and stable on the farm of John W. Woodfin, Esq., about one mile from the village, set it on fire, and in a few minutes the building and its contents, consisting of grain and provender, were reduced to ashes. Several horses and mules, in the stable, were fortunately gotten out unharmed.

Asheville News.

Washington, April 14.

SHOCKING OUTRAGE.—Sixteen laboring men left the here to-day on the turnpike for Baltimore, and this afternoon Justice Donn received intelligence that when two miles distant the men met a woman whom they assaulted. Knives were drawn, and she was dragged into the woods and brutally treated. Officers were dispatched in pursuit, and thirteen of the wretches arrested at Beltsville. The prisoners were tied and brought back this evening in the cars. Justice Donn committed them all to jail for further examination, when it is hoped all witnesses will be present. Their return created considerable excitement.

A Washington letter says:—"The Secretary of War is making every effort to supply Col. Johnston, of the Utah expedition, with troops and supplies; but it is feared his handful of soldiers will fail to strike terror into the heart of Young and his disciples. Since the failure of the Army bill before Congress, it has been the current belief that Young will not only remain in possession of Salt Lake City, but that he is able and quite willing to repel any invasion from a force even double that of Col. Johnston."

HELPING THE ENEMY.—A correspondent of the Cincinnati Inquirer, writing from Camp Scott, Utah, says, on examining the wagons of the contractors for freight for the army, there were found one thousand pounds of powder and other merchandise intended for the Mormon church. The powder was carried across the plain at the expense of the United States government for its enemies; and in the trains which were employed to carry provisions to the army which the government has sent out to put down the Mormon rebellion. This is taking advantage of government liberality with a vengeance.