ESTERN CAROLINIAN.

VOL. VI.]

SALISBURY, N. C. TUEBDAY, JULY 5, 1825.

[NO. 263.

PERSONAL MAJECY BY PHILO WHITE

The terms of the Western Carol payable in udvance,

he paper discont In pages discontinues, (except the paid.

The feltury small all arranges are paid.

Adventoments will be inverted at fifty contsecretion, and twenty five for each subsequent one, letters addensed to the Editor, must be

feet-hald, or they will not be attend

Battount Bolltics.

PROM THE AUTHORAL POURSAL. To the people of the Congressional District, com-paced of the counties of Functio, Woodford and Clarks, in Kontucky.

fearyinges.

I knew that its members had repaired to Frankfurt before I departed from home to come to Washington. I know their attention was fixed on important local concerns, well entitled, by their magnitude, exclusively to engross it. No election, no general expression of the popular sentiment had occurred since that in Novamher, when electors were chosen, and at that, the how such an expression against him, could be interpreted into that of a desire for his election. If, as is true, the candidate whom they preferred, was not returned to the house, it is equally true, that the entered the contest, as it presented tucky, in their edited by the people of Ken-tucky, in their collective capacity. What would have been their decision on this new state of the question, I might have undertaken to conecture, but the certainty of any conclusion of fact, as to their opinion, at which I could arrive, was by no means equal to that certainty of conviction of my duty, to which I was carried, by the exertion of my best and most deliberate reflections. The letters from home, which some of the delegation received, expressed the most opposite opinions, and there was not want-ing instances of letters from some of the very members who had voted for the resolution, advising a different courser Lereceived from a highly respectable portion of my constituents a paper, instructing me as follows: "We, the un-dersigned, voters in the congressional district, having viewed the instruction or request of the Legislature of Scritteks, on the subject of choo-sing a President and Vice President of the United States, with regret, and the said request or instruction to our representative in Congress from this district, being without our knowledge or consent, we for many reasons known to ourselves, connected with so momentous an occasion, hereby instruct our representative in Congress, to vote, on this occasion, agreeably to his own judgment, and by the best lights he may have on the subject, with or without, the con-sent of the Legislature of Kontucky." This instruction came both unexpected and unsolicited by me, and it was accompanied by letters assur-ing me, that it expressed the opinion of a major-ity of my constituents. I could not therefore regard the resolution as conclusive evidence of

le, the General Assembly doubtless had the power to make it. But then, with great defernce, I think it was worthy of serious consideration whether the dignity of the General Assem-bly ought not to have induced it to forbear ad-dressing fiself, not to another legislative body. but to a small part of it, and requesting the bers who composed that part, in a case which the constitution had confided to them, to vote secording to the wishes of the General Assembly, whether those wishes did or did not conform to their sense of duty. I could not regard the resolution as an instruction, for, from the origin of our state, its Legislature has never assumed nor exercised the right to instruct the representatives in Congress. I did not recog-nize the right, therefore, of the Legislature to instruct me. I recognized that right only when exerted by you. 'That the portion of the public servants who made up the General Assembly have no right to instruct that portion of them who constituted the Kentucky delegation in the House of Representatives, is a proposition too clear to be argued. The members of the General Assembly would have been the first to behold as a presumptuous interposition, any instruction, if the Kentucky delegation could have manner, on any of the interesting subjects, which lately engaged their attention at Frankfort. And although nowling is further from my inten-tion, than to impute either absurdity or pre-sumption, to the General Assembly, in the adoption of the resolution referred to, I must say, that the difference between an instruction emanating from them to the delegation, and from the delegation to them, is not in principle, but is to be found only in the degree of superior importance which belongs to the General Assembly.

Viewed as a more request, as it purported to

Entertaining these views of the election on which it was made my duty to vote, I felt myself bound, in the exercise of my best judgmen to prefer Mr. Adams; and I accordingly voted n. I abould have been highly gratified, if it had been my duty not to vote on the occasion; thad been my duty not to vote on the occasion; but that was not my situation, and I did not choose to shrink from any responsibility which appertained to your representative. Shortly after the election, it was rumoured that Mr. Kremer was preparing a publication, and the preparations for it which were making, excited much expectation. Accordingly, on the 26th February, the address, under his name, to the "Electors of the pinth concressional district of "Electors of the ninth congressional district of the state of Pennsylvania," made its appearance in the Washington City Gazette. No member of the house, I am persuaded, believed that Mr. Kremer wrote one paragraph of that address, or of the plea, which was presented to the committee, to the jurisdiction of the house. Those who counseled him, and composed both papers, and their purposes, were just as well known, as the house. The first observation which is called for, by the address, is the place of its publication. That place was in this city, remote
from the centre of Pennsylvania, near which Mr.
Kremer's district is situated, and in a paper
having but a very limited, if any, circulation in

majority of his colleagues, actuated he bet,

level by the best motives, made however,

which maintains the supremacy of the mittee who invited the General to a pub
mittee who invited the Commissioners."

[See Daily Nat. Intell. of the 21st Aureh 1816.]

Mr. Adams. Let that letter speak for

in the indignant countenance of every not, perhaps, necessary, to the present or unprejudiced and honorable member. future security of my of the rights of the ber, when electors were chosen, and at that, the to say, that he held himself ready "to taining to its fast batory. With these people, by an overwhelming majority, had de-trove, to the satisfaction of unprejudiced impressions, and being extremely unjudy such an extremely and overwhelming majority had de-trove, to the satisfy them of the ac-In his card, Mr. Kremer had been made nation, and is only interesting as apperminds, enough to satisfy them of the accuracy of the statements which are contained in that letter, to the extent that
to remain silent, and this expere myself they concern the course and conduct of H. to the inference of an acquire ence in the Cheffer The affer pledge, has been noti by both my colleagues; it I have, on ced. But now the election was decided, more reflection, thought it say be expecand there no longer existed a motive for ted of me, and be considered as a duty on discriminating between them and me. Hence, the only statements that are made, towards a full and faithful understanding in the address, having the semblance of proof, relate rather to them, than to me; this conviction, I will, at some future pe and the design was, by establishing some riod, more propitious than the present

which I shall remark, the first is, the accusation, brought forward against me, of violating instructions. If the accusation were true, who was the party offended, and to whom was I amenable? If I violeted any instructions, they must have been yours, since you only had the right to give them, and to you, alone, was I responsible. Without allowing hardly time for you to hear of my vote, without waiting to know what your judgment was of my conduct, George Kremer & Co. chose to arraign me before the American public, as the violator of instructions which I was bound to obey. If, instead of being, as you are, and I hope always will be, vigilant observers of the conduct of your public agents, jealous of your rights, and competent to protect and defend them, you had been ignorant and culpably confiding, the gratuitous interposition, as your advocate, of the honorable George Kremer, of the ninth congressional district, in Pennsylvania, would have merited your most grateful acknowledgments. Even upon that suppo-sition, his arruignment of me would have required, for its support, one small circumstance, which happens not to exist, and that is, the fact of your having actually instructed me to vote according to my pleasure.

The relations in which I stood to Mr. Adams, constitute the next theme of the address, which I shall notice. I am de-

ed as having assumed "a position of peculiar and decided hostility to the election of Mr. Adams," and expressions towards him are attributed to me, which I never used. I am made also responsible for "pumphlets and essays of great ability," published by my friends in Kentucky, cle in their project nearly in the same in the course of the canvass. The injustice of the principle of holding me committed the absurdity to issue from this place thus answerable, may be tested, by applying it to the case of Gen. Jackson, in reference to publications issued, for ex- tion that the British government would ample, from the Columbian Observer. That I was not in favor of the election of Mr. Adams, when the contest was before the people, is most certain. Neither was I in favor of that of Mr. Crawford or General Jackson. That I ever did any thing against Mr. Adams, or either of the other gentlemen, inconsistent with a fair and honorable competition, Lutterly deny. My relations to Mr. Adams have Hopkinson had arraigned the terms of been the subject of much misconception, if not misrepresentation. I have been stated to be under a public pleuse to expose some nefarious conduct of that gentleman, during the negotiation at Ghent, the war. In vindicating, in my reply which would prove him to be entirely to him, the course of the government which would prove him to be entirely unworthy of public confidence; and that, and the conditions of the peace, I stated : with a knowledge of his perfidy, I, nevertheless, voted for him. If these imputations are well founded, I should, indeed be a fit object for public censure; but if, on the contrary, it shall be found that others, inimical both to him and to me, wishes for my public promises, I trust ties in question were renewed to us. He that the indignation, which they would excite, will be turned from me. My letthe author of any report from a committee to ter addressed to the editors of the Intelligencer, under date of the 15th Novem-

my part, to contribute all in my power of the transactions referred to Under thing like facts upon them, to make those facts react upon me.

Of the few topics of the address, upon which I shall remark, the first is, the acderstood them."

From even a careless perusal of that letter, it is apparent that the only recom-jects of the negotiations at Chent to which it refers, were the maxigation of the Mississippi and certain fishing libererties; that the errors, which I had supposed were committed, applied both to more particularly to the appendix of the latter; that they were unimentional; that they affected myself principally; that I deemed them of no public importance, as connected with the them, or further security of any of the rights of the nation, but only interesting to its past history; that I doubted the necessity of my offering to the public, any account of those transacpromised, was to be presented at a seabe no misinterpretation of motives. Although Mr. Adams believes otherwise, I yer think there are some unintentional great regret, on account of the occasion errors, in the controversial papers bemise I have made, and I shalf be neither quickened nor retarded in its perform

If injury accrue to any one by the de lay in publishing the narrative, the public will not suffer by it. It is already known by the publication of the British and American projet, the protest and the correspondence between the respective plenipotentiaries, that the British government made, at Ghent, a demand of the navigation of the Mississippi, by an artiwords as those which were employed in the treaty of 1783; that a majority of the American commissioners was in favor of acceding to the demand, upon the condiconcede to us, the same fishing liberties, within their jurisdiction, as were secured to us by the same treaty of 1783; and that both demands were finally abandoned. The fact of these mutual propositions was communicated by me to the American public in a speech which I de-livered in the House of Representatives, on the 29th day of January, 1816. Mr. the treaty of peace, and charged upon the vote for me. After commenting upon War and the Administration, the loss of the fishing liberties, within the British jurisdiction, which we enjoyed prior to

"When the British Commissioners demanded, in their projet, a renewal to Great Britain of the right to the navigation of the Mississippi, secured by the treasy of 1783; a bare majorily, of the new it, upon the condition that the liberwas not one of that majority. He would not trouble the committee with his reasons for being opposed to the offer. A majority of his colleagues, actuated he be-

ii. The time is also remarkable. The fact, that the President intended to nominate me to the Senate, for the office which I now hold, in the course of a feet days, was then well anown; and the globilication of the address was, no doubt, and place information to the ninth congressional district of Pennsylvania, that to which I has been recently published by the Hon. John Quincy Adams, I think there are some errors, to the doubt was not conserve and consents of the Senate on the intended nomination. Of the character and consents of that address of Meyers, Adams, and place the decision of the Senate on the intended nomination. Of the character and consents of that address of Meyers and consents of that address of Meyers and consents of the senate on the intended nomination. Of the character and consents of that address of Meyers and consents of the senate on the some errors, to the doubt waste of the senate on the some errors, to the doubt waste to consent of the Mississippi, and certain fiberies and quizzing conversations of same of which I had never heard, it is not my intention to say much. It carried its an own refutation, and the parties concer
of the majority, appears from the same waste to the majority, appears from the animation. It always to the majority, appears from the amendation, and the provided to the majority, appears from the amendation, and the provided to the majority, appears from the amendation, and the provided to the majority, appears from the amendation, and the provided to the majority, appears from the same and the majority, appears from the same and then the majority, appears from the same and the majority. Adams and Clent, I was no interfered in the adjusted to London, and the majority appears from the same and them and the majority appears from the target and the majority appears from the same and them and the majority appears own refutation, and the parties concer- therefore, of whatocoured in the negotias changed, when we met, friendly saluta-

> the support which I gave to Mr. Adams, as unnetural. The authors of that address have not stated why it is unnatural, and we are therefore left to conjecture their meaning. Is it because Mr. Adams in fine West?" If it be unmatural in the western states to support a chizen of New-England, it must be equally unnatural in the New-England states, to support a citizen of the west. And, on the same principle, the New-England states ought to be restrained from concuring in the election of a citizen in the southern states from co-operating in the election of a chizen of New England. And consequently, the support which the last three Presidents have derived from New-England, and that which the Vice Presi dent recently received, has been most unnaturally given. The tendency of such reasoning, would be to denationalize us, and to contract every part of the Union within the narrow selfish limits of its own section. It would be still worse; it would lead to the destruction of the Union itself. For, if it be upnatural in one section, to support a citizen in another, the Union itself must be unnatural; all our ties; all our glories; all, that is animating in the past; all that is bright and cheering in the future, must be unnatural. Happily, such is the admirable texture of our Union, that the interests of all its parts are closely interwoven. If there are strong points of affinity between the south and the west, there are interests of not less, if not greater strength and vigor, binding the west, and the north and the east.

Before I close this address, it is my

daty, which I proceed to perform with which calls for it, to invite your attention tween him and Mr. Russell. But I have to a letter, addressed by Gen. Jackson to reserved to myself an exclusive right of Mr. Swartwout, on the 23d February judging when I shall execute the pro- last. The names of both the General and myself, had been before the American public for its highest office. We suce, by the friendly anxieties of any of had both been unsuccessful. The un fortunate have usually some sympathy for each other. For myself, I claim no merit for the cheerful acquiescence which I was excluded from the house. I have believed, that the decision by the constituted authorities, in favor of others, has been founded upon a conviction of the superiority of their pretensions. It has been my habit, when an election is once decided, to forget, as soon as possible, all the irritating circumstances which attended the preceding canvass. If one be successful, he should be content with his success. If he has lost it, railing will do no good. I never gave Gen. Jackson nor his friends any reason to believe that I would, in any contingency, support him. He had, as I thought, no public claim, and Lwill now add, no personal claims, if these ought to be ever considered, to my support. No one, therefore, ought to have been disappointed or chagrined, that I did not sote for him. No more than I was neither surprised nor disappointed, that he did not, on a more recent occasion, feel it to be his duty to a particular phrase, used in my letter to Judge Brooke, a calm reconsideration of which, will, I think, satisfy any person, that it was not employed in an offensive sense, if, indeed, it have an offensive sense, the General, in his letter to Mr. Swartwout, proceeds to remark: "No one beheld me seeking, through art or management, to entice any representative in Congress, from a conscientious responsibility to his own, or the wishes of his constituents. No midnight toper not calculated to diminish it. Of all the burnt by me; no secret conclaves were held, nor cabals entered into, to persuade any one to a violation of pledges given, or of instructions received. By me, no plans were concerted, to impair the pure principles of our republican institutions, cause of the General. He was here afnor to prostrate that fundamental maxim ter the election, and was one of a com-

did, he could not have formed those unned saw its abortive nature, the next day, tion at Chent, on those /no subjects, is tions, and the courtesies and hospitalities favorable opinions of me upon any perin the indignant countenance of every not, perhaps, necessary, to the present or of social intercourse. The address proceeds to characterize is support which I gave to Mr. Adams, would imply that my lodgings and my person had been subjected to a system of espionage wholly incompatible with the open, manifer at we unsigned any insinuations against me, I must believe that he made them upon the information of others, of whom I can only say, that they have deceived his credulity, and are entirely unworthy of all credit. I entered into no cabals ; I held no secret conclaves; I enticed no man to violate pledges given, or instructions received The members from Ohio, and from the other western states, with whom I voted, were all of them as competent as I was, to form an opinion on the pending elec-tion. The M'Arthurs and the Metcalfes, and the other gentlemen from the west, (some of whom have, if I have not, bravely "made an effort to repulse an in-vading foe,") are as incapable of dishonor, as any men breathing; as disinterested, as unambitious, as exclusively devoted to the best interests of their country. It was quite as likely that I should be influenced by them, as that I could uontroul their votes. Our object was not to impair but to preserve from all danger, the purity of our republican institutions. maintains the supremacy of the people's will, I am entirely at a loss to comprehend. The illusions of the General's imagination, deceive him. The people of the United States had never decided the election in his favor. If the people had willed his election, he would have been elected. It was because they had not willed his election, nor that of any other candidate, that the duty of making choice devolved on the House of Repre The General remarks : " Mr. Clay has

never yet risked himself for his country. He has never, sacrificed his repose, nor made an effort to repel an invading foe ; of course, his conscience assured him it was altogether wrong in any other man to lead his countrymen to battle and victory." The logic of this conclusion is not very striking. Gen. Jackson fights better than he reasons. When have I failed to concur in awarding appropriate honors to those who, on the sea of on the land, have sustained the glory of our arms, if I could not always approve of the acts of some of them ? It is true, that it has been my misfortune never to have repelled an invading foe, nor to have led my countrymen to victory. If I bad, I should have left to those others to proclaim and appreciate the deed. The General's destiny and mine have led us in different directions. In the civil employments of my country, to which I have been confined, I regret that the little service which I have been able to render it. falls far short of my wishes. But, why this denunciation of those who have not repelled an invading foe, or led our armies to victory? At this very moment when he is inveighing against me an objection to the Presidency, founded upon the exclusive military nature of his merits, does he not perceive that he is establishing its validity, by proscribing every man who has not successfully fought the public enemy? And that, by such a general proscription, and the requirement of successful military service as the only condition of civil preferment, the inevitable effect would be the ultimate establishment of a military government?

If the contents of the letter to Mr Swartwont were such as justly to excite surprise, there were other circumstances citizens of the United States, that gentleman is one of the last to whom it was necessary to address a vindication of Gen. Jackson. He had given abundant evidence of his entire devotion to the