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4, that the Prevident licesied io now
te me to the Getiete, for the ofici

 sional diatriet of Penneglnaib, thas to
iffec the dectilon of The Jenses one the
intended nomination. Of the charster
 whthout premisisiond of carelest, jocose vad quirzing cobreratioes of same of
iny friends, to wileb I wap no party, and of which I had never heard, it lo not my
intention to say much. It carried ito intention to say much. It carried 'it
own refutation, mid the parties concer.
ned we its abortive nature, the nest day, in the indignant countenance of every anprejuiliced and honerable of nembery
is his card, Mr. Krenier had been mide
to ays, ro ay, that he held bimself resdy $"$ te
Arove, to the satisfaction of onprejudiced minds, enough to satisfy them of the ac
curacy of the statements which are con-
tained in that letter, to the extrant that
 ced. Bot now the election was decided
and there no longer exised a motive for diseriminating between them and -me.
Hence, the ooly statements that are made. is the address, having the seniblance of
proef, relate rather io them, than to me:
od the desigo was, by eifoblishing tome add the desigh was, by eitebilishing tome
bing like facts upon them, to make those facts reset upon me.
Of the few topics of the address, upon
which 1 shall remark, the first is, the as-
cusation, brought forward agrinst me of cusation, brought forward apginst me, of
violating inatructions. If the accusation were true, who was the party offended,
nnd to whom was I menenublef If I vle-
loted any instructions, they muat have loted any instructions, they muat have
been yours, since you only had the right
Io give them, and to you, aloue, was I re:
spoosible. Without-allowing thardly sposible. Without allowing bardly
inne for you to hear of my vote, without
wiiting to know what your judgment wns of my eonduct, George Kromer $\& \mathrm{Co}$
chose to arraiga me belore the American
 will be, vigitant observers of the conduct
of your public agents, jealous of your of your pubtic agents, jealous of your
rights and competent to protest and dlie.
fend them, you had been ignorapt and lead them, you had been ignorapt and
colpabty conotiding, the gratuilous inter-
position, sas your advocato, of the honora-
ble ble Cieorge Kremer, of the nintht congret sional district, in Pennsylvania, would
have merited your most grateful ac-
tnowledgments. Even yppn that suppoanowledgments. Even yppo that suppo-
intion, tis arroignment of the sould have required, for its support, one small cir
comstance, whictro happens not to exist, cumstance, whictr happens not to exist,
and that is the foct of your having actu-
ally hastructed me to vote according to my pleasure.
The relations in which 1 stood to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Mr}$.
Adams, constitute the next theme of the adiress, which I shall notice. I am de
I as having assumed "a position of peculiar and decided hossility to the elec
tion of Mis. Adams," and expressions to wapla-hine ate attributed to me, mhich which never used. I am made also responible
for "pamphtets and essays of great abili-
ty," published by my friends in Kentucky, for "pamphlets and essays of great abili-
ty," published by my friends in Kentucky,
in the course of the canvass. The in in the course of the canvas. The in-
justice of the principle of holding me
hus answerable, may be tested, by ap: plying it to the case of Gen. Jackson, in reference to publications issued, for ex-
ample, from the Columbian Observer Chat I was not in favor of the electio of Mr. Adans, when the contest was be
foresthe people, is most crertain. Neither was I in faver of that of Mr. Crawford or
General Jackson. That I ever did any thing against Mr. Adams, or either o
the other gentemen, inconsistent with ir and honorable compecition, I,utterl eeny. the-subject of much misconception not misrepresentation. Thave bec pose some nefarious conduct of that gen which would prove. him to be entire nworthy of publie confidence ; and tha
with a knowledge of his perfidy, 1 , never
heleisisoledfor timi.. If these impu tielecis; voled for thime. If these impute
ions are well founded, I should, indeed be a fit objeet for public censare; butif,
oni the contrarg, it shall be found that on the contrark, it shall be found tha,
others, inimical both to. Him and fo me,
save sobstituted their own interested wishes for my public promises, I trust that the indignation, which they would
xcite, will be turned from me. My let excite, will be turned from me. My let-
ler addressed to the editors of the Intelligencer, under date of the 15 th Novem cribing to the the promise and the pledge to make those reasonable disclosures on
Mr . Adams. Let that letter speak for



 there we sone wirofs (oby Oouth mintra tirs of epiclon, ha regard to the tranue
tions at Ghent, /elating to the hisvigatien of the Mistastofl, pod certala -filiberie

 are now well seared." "As sccount tion at Ghent, of those twe uibjects not, perhapa, necpuary, to the presunt or
fature secarity of (ay of the rights of the fature secarity of ing of tite rights of the
astion, and is and interesting wiv apper tining to its paul hutory With thene impressions, and eog extremeiy, ua.
wifing to presen; myelf, at apy dime,
before the public, 1 bid almont resolved before the public, 1 by aimont resolve:
 by both thy colleaguesy \& 1 have,
more reflection, thought is ay be expec ted of me, and be consideril as a duty-on my Bert, to contribute all in my pozer
 rod, more propitious, pan ue presen
to calm and dispasionaty conotiderations. and when there cin se mo eiciniterpre
tailos of matives, lay beflo usrrative of those trangatiens as I un
derstood them." From even a carelews porvol of tha jects of the negulitions at fhent to which it refers, were the naigation of
the Misisulppi and eertin farting liler
erties; that the errors, "lifith Ihad sup posed were committed, Epplied both-
Mr. Runell and Mr. $^{\text {M }}$. Mr. Runell and Mr. Ayams, thoug
more pariicularly to the apendix of the latter; thar they were enalfostional; tha they aftected myself profocipally; that
deemed thenio of no public ipportince. connected with the ilienty on further secu
rity of any of the righe of the pition, bu ity of any of the righty of the phum, be
ongy interesting to ite paut history; tha the public, any account of those transac
ioos: and that the narrative which promised, was to be presented afs seaDon of more calm, and when there could
be no misinterpretation of motiven Al
 errors, in the controversial papers be
tween him and Mr. Russell. Bot- have reserved to myseif an exclusive right of milse I have made, and I shaif be neither quce, by the friendle anxieties of any of
IIIipjury accrue to any one by the de lay. in publishing the narsative, the pub
ic will not suffer by it. It is siready nown by the publication of the Bricish and American projet, the protest and the
correspondence between -the -reupective
pleaipotenaiaries. tbasthe Brisish govera. pleaipotealiariest bast he- Bnitish govern,
ment made, at Ghent, a - demand of the
navigation of the Misiosippi, by an artinavigation of the Mississippi, by an arti-
cle in their project nealy in the sam Me treaty of 1783 ; that ${ }^{\circ}$ majoprity of the acceding to the demand, upon the cond ion that the British government woutd
ioncede- to-us, the Same fishing libertios within their jurisdiction, as were secur to us by the same treaty of 1783 rand
that both demands were finall abandond. The fact of these mutual proposi
ions was communicated by me to the American public in a speech which I de-
livered in the House of Representatives, ilvered in the House of Representative
on the 29th day of January, $1816 . \mathrm{Mr}$ Hopkioson had arragnoed the terms War and the Administration, the loss o he fishing liberties, whitho the British jurisdiction, which we enjoyed prior
the war. In vindicatinj, in my reply - himr the couvse of the goyernme "When the Britash Comice, Istated
"Whioner Great Britain of the right to 1 ee naviga Gon of the Mississippi, securd by the
reaty of 1783 ; bare majorily, of the reaty of 1783 ; a bare majorty
Amertean Comitssoners offered vew it, upon the condition that the liber-
ies in question were renewed to ps. He wat not one of that mifority. He wo
not trouble the committer with ils r not trouble the committeo with his najority of his colleagues, actuated he Ceved by the bees motives, mado however


And whis i thought of my colleaguea of the majoity, appears frome the sume
extrast. She sprigg atier the termine
 ond highty lmporras negotition with (n)) Which resulted, on the 3 d Jaly 1318
ot the Commercial Courvenion, has beew winte made the beilr of morice our commercial srriggements. wilh of: ais. eliber of tisem was betise anderted, tha commenced with them another negotaly.
cold vien! Funfer, there never his been period, dirigg our whole accyuaintance
that Mr. Adams and I have not ex.
chang changed, when we met, friendly walutssochli intercourse.
The eldress proceeds to characterize
he suppot which I gave to Mr. Adanas
 and we are therefire left to coujeciure
beir meaning. Is it besina.M. -jlaw

 came prinelipie, the Ne w-England state
ought to be reatrained from coocuring be election of a citizeg in the nouthet
pates from co-operating in the election rates from co opersing in the election
of a crizas of New Eogland. And con tree Presidents have derived from New-
Entaund; mnd that whict the Vice Prosident recently received, has been mon
unaturally given. The tendency of
wuch Us, tnd to contract ekery part of the Un own section. It would be still worse: I ion itself, Por, if it be upnetural in one
section. to support a citizen in another

 naturai. Happlly, stich is the adminutle
texture of our Unioh, that the interest, of all its pirts are closely interwoven.
theie are stron point of wfinity betwee the soith pnd whe west, heren are inter
ests of pot tess, if not greater strengit
and vigor, binding the wert, and the and vigor, binding
Before I close this address, fis is my
doty, which I proced to perform sith great regret, on aceount of the occasion
which calls for it, to invite your attentio to a letier, addressed by Gen. Jackson to
Mr Swartwout, on the 23d Fobruar last. The names of both the Generol
and myself, had been. before the Ameri can public for its highest office. W
had both been unsuccessful. The un for-each other. For my self, 1 ctaim no merit for the cheerful ac quics ence wbte believed, that the decision by the constituted authorities, in favor of others, ha
been- founded upon a conviction of th Wpariority of their pretensions. It has
been-my haticic wher ar election is once decided, to forget, as soon as possible, al ded the proceding canvass. If one be successiful , he should be content with
success. If he has lost it, railing will do
no zood. Inever gave Gen. Jackson nor
his friends any reason to believe that would, in any contingency, sypport him
He had, as 1 thought, no public cloim hesc oughe to be ever considered, to to support. No one, therefore, ought to
have been disappointed or chagrined bave been disappointed or chagrined,
that I did not sote for him. No more han I was neither surprised nor disap cent occasion, feel it to be his duty to
vote for-me. After commentiog upon a. particular phrase, used in my letter
Judge Brooke, a calm reconsideration Judge Brooke, a calm reconsideration or
which, will, I think, satisfy any person hhet it was not employed in an offensive
sense, if, indeed, it have an offensive Swartwout, procoeds to remirk: ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ane beheld me seekints through art or
management, to entice any representa ve in Congresse from a conscientio ospansibility. To bis oway-or the wishe
of his constituents. No midnight taper urnt by-the; no secret conclaves were any one to a violation of pledges given,
of of linstructions reccived. By. me, no plans wore concered, to impair the pure
ptinciples of our republican instiutions, prito to prostrate that fundamental maxim whicy maintains the supremacy of the people's will. On the contrary, having
or Cesgres, interfered is the wligheet
 vith me, regardless of ind mill ge quiedy avek, shog through insaagecinent, way

 made any wust, Chrese agalast bim
 niscobduct which he ilvocrivest ond yot, aking the whole context of his letter to-
rether, nod coupling it with Mr. Krerer's addreas/ it cannot be ditigulsed, has otiens may wappose he iatended th to
sefor to me. I ami quite sore, that if he refor to me. I app quite sire, , the if hp
did, he could not have formed thoue unCoverable opisions of mo upon any geravorable opisions of me upoh acy geryy himself; for, a supposition that they
ware founded upea his own knowledge. vould Jmply that, my lodgliggs and my
 hat he made them upon the information hey have deceived his creenulity, and aro
 icdger given of ing mana to violate picdges given, of Sastrucions received.
The members from Ohio, and from the
other vestera states, with whom I voted, were all of them as competent as I mas, io forim an oplaion on the pendipt elec-
ion. The M Anhurs ind the Metealfes,
 and the other gentlemen from the wevt,
some of whom have, If Ithave not,
oravely " made an effort to repolve an isravily " made an effior to repoive an in-
nading foe, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) are as incapable of dilhonor, ar any meo breathlogit so dialinterevted, as unambintous, as exclusively denoted to
the best interents of their country. is Wes quite as likely that I should be
luenced by them: is the I sondd troul their votes.- Our object whe not to impair but to preserve from all danger:

 vill, 1 am entir thy ot R lows to compref
hend. The illusions of the General's inagination, deceive him. Theprephe of the United States had never decided the election in wis faver, If the people bed
willed his election, be would have been welled his election, he would have been candidate, that the duty of making a choice devo
The General remarks, u Mr, Clay has never yet risked himself for his country.
He hat never, sactificed his repose, not He has never, saceificed his repose, not made an effort to repel an invadiag foe; was allogelher wrong in anfy oher manate
lead his countrymen to batte and victoo ry," The logic of this conclusion io not ter than he reasoni, When have I bill ed to concur in awarding appropriate hovors to those whe, on the seg of on the
land, have sustained the glory of our
arms, if- could not alwaytappove of the acts of some of them ? lit is true, that it has been my minfortuine hever to have
répelled an invading foe; nör to have led
my countrymen to victory. If I bad, I my countrymen to victory. If 1 bed I hould have left to those others 10 pro-
laim and appreciate the deed.
The General's destiny and mine have Jed us in different directions. In the civil em: poyments of my country, to which I bevo
been confined. I regret that the litto sefice which I have been able to render it, alls far shors of my wishes. But, why this pelled an invidtíg foe, or led our afmites o victory? At this very moment when he is inveighing against mo an objection o the election to the Presidency, founded upon the exclusive military natare of his merits, does he not perceive that he is
establishing ite validity, by phoseribing very man whe has not successfully
fought the public enemy? And that, by such a general proscription, wid the requirement of sucecesfify military service the only condition of evilil preferment,
the inevitable effect would be the ultimate stublishment of a military government? If the coltents of the letter to -Mf. moprise, there were other circumítances arprise, there were other circumbances cinizens of the Unilicd States, that ikentleman is one of the hast lo whom it wasneGen. Jackson. He had given abundant vidence of his entire devotion to the ause of the General. He was here afar the election, and was one of a com-
nitee who invited the General to a pubmittee who invited the Generiv to pubs
lis dinneer, proposed to be given to him
in this place. My letter to Judey

