

PUBLISHERS' ANNOUNCEMENT.

THE MORNING STAR, the oldest daily newspaper in North Carolina, is published daily, except on Sundays, at \$7.00 per year, \$4.00 for six months, \$2.00 for three months, and \$1.00 for one month. Subscribers are required to pay in advance. The rate of postage is paid by the publisher for the first three months of the year.

THE WEEKLY STAR is published every Friday morning at \$1.50 per year, \$1.00 for six months, and 50 cents for three months. It is published for the publisher by the printer.

ADVERTISING RATES (DAILY).—One square one day, \$1.00; two days, \$1.50; three days, \$2.00; four days, \$2.50; five days, \$3.00; six days, \$3.50; one week, \$4.00; two weeks, \$7.00; three weeks, \$10.00; one month, \$15.00; two months, \$28.00; three months, \$40.00; six months, \$70.00; twelve months, \$120.00. Ten lines of solid nonpareil type make one square.

All advertisements of Public, Political, Religious, and other notices, will be charged according to the above rates. Advertisements inserted in Local Columns at a special price.

Notices under head of "City Items" 20 cents per line for first insertion, and 10 cents per line for each subsequent insertion.

Advertisements inserted once a week in Daily will be charged \$1.00 per square for each insertion. Every other day, three-fourths of daily rate. Twice a week, two-thirds of daily rate.

Notices of Marriages or Deaths, Tributes of Respect, Resolutions of Thanks, &c., are charged for an ordinary advertisement, but only half rate when paid for strictly in advance. At this rate 50 cents will pay for a simple announcement of Marriage or Death.

Advertisements to follow reading matter, or to occupy any special place, will be charged according to the position desired.

Advertisements on which no specified number of insertions is marked will be continued "till forbid," at the option of the publisher, and charged up to the date of discontinuance.

Advertisements discontinued before the time contracted for had expired, charged transient rates for the time actually published.

Advertisements taken under the head of "New Advertisements" will be charged fifty per cent extra. An extra charge will be made for double columns or other special advertisements.

All announcements and recommendations of candidates for office, whether in the shape of nominations or otherwise, will be charged as advertisements.

Announcements, Auction and Official advertisements one dollar per square for each insertion.

Contract advertisers will not be allowed to exceed their space or advertise anything foreign to their regular business without extra charge at transient rates.

Payments for transient advertisements must be made in advance. Known parties or strangers with proper references, may pay monthly or quarterly, according to contract.

Advertisements should always specify the issue or issues they desire to advertise. Where no issue is named the advertisement will be inserted in the Daily. Where an advertiser contracts for the paper to be sent to him during the time his advertisement is in, the proprietor will only be responsible for the mailing of the paper to his address.

Remittances must be made by Check, Draft, Postal Money Order, Express, or in Registered Letters. Their exact remittance will be at the risk of the advertiser.

Communications, unless they contain important news, or discuss matters of general public interest, are not wanted; and, if acceptable in every other way, they will invariably be rejected if the real name of the author is withheld.

Correspondents must write on only one side of the paper.

REMEMBRS IN 1867.

Senator Edmunds is a man of ability. He is not supposed, however, to be troubled with much conscience. He is one of the immortal Eight. He is now a leader against the re-monetization of the silver dollar. He was not always that way. But it is all the same with him. He does not pretend to be consistent. He feels at liberty to change his views as often as his personal popularity and political safety may require it. In 1867 this bull-dozer uttered the following in the Senate where he now utters the opposite:

"A distinguished member of the House of Representatives, then Chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means, said: 'After careful deliberation, the committee determined to inaugurate a system of national currency convertible into bonds of the United States bearing six per cent interest, and redeemable in twenty years in gold or silver coin.'

"The whole debate in that body most plainly shows that it was the expectation of all persons that the public debt of the United States would be paid in that commodity which is recognized by the universal consent of mankind as money, namely, gold and silver.

"John Locke, whose works, I believe, are considered to be a boon to mankind, speaks on this subject as follows: 'Silver is the instrument and measure of commerce in all the civilized and trading parts of the world.'

"It is the instrument of commerce by its intrinsic value. They are that commodity which is recognized by the universal consent of mankind as money, namely, gold and silver.

"Some men are of opinion that this measure of commerce, like all other measures, may be varied by putting more or fewer grains in pieces of a known denomination, and in utter violation of the proprieties of the Senate chamber, as well as of private life, Mr. Gordon's sur-rebuttal was the ultimate reason of the old pro-slavery regime—a challenge to fight.

"The whole matter is a sad and shameful example of the kind of character for the slain which they have mutually inflicted on the national reputation.

"It is worthy of note in this connection, that this is the second time Senator Gordon has resorted to this bullying argument, and carried the manners of the plantation into the Senate chamber of the United States. And on both these occasions his offensive advances were made against leaders of the Republican party, while in the very act of leading their parliamentary forces—some time ago against Senator Edmunds, now against Senator Conkling. It really begins to look as if every Republican leader was to be met at the threshold of his career with a challenge or a threat of a challenge as the first barrier."

Mr. Gordon is no bully, but a brave, courteous gentleman. He is furthermore no duelist, but a member of a Christian Church. He is a man of spirit, and has no idea of submitting tamely to the heaving ways that have so long time prevailed in the Congress. After the Southern men disappeared from that arena, and their "plantation manners" with them, there was substituted the coarse insolence of the Yankee skipper, and Blaine and Edmunds and fellows of that sort were in the habit of saying all manner of false and slanderous things about the South. But the day has passed when this overhearing tone, and these insulting insinuations were submitted to, and when the Conkling and other bull-dozers undertake to hurl epithets and indulge in slanders they will be retorted upon, in no unmeasured way. It is a good thing that Conkling and the country that he "gave the lie" to a man of Gen. Gordon's amicable and forbearing character. There are men in the Senate who would have knocked that "lie" down his throat or pinked him on the field of honor." But, as we said, we are glad it is no worse.

The effort of Turkey to secure the mediation of the Powers, is now explained what it meant. It did not ask mediation as a vanquished State; for it has two lines of defenses still unbroken and which it could hold, but it merely wished to intimate that it was willing to consider the terms proposed (before the war) by the Constantinople Conference. All of which is respectfully submitted, &c., &c., &c. But this looks a little bit "thin." If Turkey really believed it could hold those two lines it would not accept terms it had declined, and which caused the war. It is because it feels that the Russian force and momentum are too great that it invites now the Powers to mediate.

That is the milk in the cocoon. But Germany says in reply, you must treat directly with Russia; and Austria puts its hands in its pockets and tells the Musselman that mediation will not avail at this juncture. In the meantime, England is exercised and the Cabinet is divided. The great point now is to prevent a one-sided alteration of the Treaty of Paris. That is to say, England does not intend, if it can be prevented, that the three Emperors shall settle the matter to suit themselves, and to her own injury. She proposes to have a finger in the pie. All of which is very natural.

About this time the North Carolina papers are all printing Gen. Early in the most hearty manner. — *Edwards.*

About this time another Virginian does not receive much praise at the hands of "the North Carolina papers," but, as Sam Weller would say, "to the contrary, quite the reverse."

"Why is this thus?"

Miss Mary Anderson continues her performance of "Meg Merrilies" and "Patriotic" at the Fifth Avenue, New York. Modjeska succeeds her on the 23d. Houses good; Frank S. Chautra is playing at the Grand Opera House in the "Oceanoon." His Solera's "Lord Dundreary" continues at the Park Theatre for very fair business. Next week "David Garrick" will be revived. Miss Wyndham has taken a position in the east.

THE DIAZ GOVERNMENT is hurrying troops to the Rio Grande. They will be in good time if war should follow the butchery of Texas. Over 2,400 troops are en route for the frontier. Our own Government will soon have over 8,000 men on the Texas border. The surrender of the Texas State troops to the Mexican mob, and the shooting of Howard, Atkinson and McBride after they had surrendered, looks very serious, and will require stern and prompt treatment. Our Government will no doubt demand a surrender of the out-throats who shot those prisoners. First catch the hare and then cook it is the recipe. Before the marauders can be punished they must be captured and identified. Ay, there's the rub.

The outbreak at San Elizario, the largest town on an island in the Rio Grande, is of a local character, and one for which probably the Mexican government cannot be held responsible. These feuds and border wars, like those that used to rage between the Scots and English, are to be suppressed if possible, because they serve to intensify hatreds and provoke bloodshed and devastation. The Texans and Mexicans have never had any love for each other, and any thing like a war of reprisal, or like that mob fight at San Elizario, is well calculated to lead to wide spread violence, for the Texans, when their blood is up, are not to be trifled with without punishment. We fear that the cruel butchery of the three Texans may lead to a sanguinary guerrilla warfare, and cause great trouble and suffering.

It is impossible to say now what steps our Government will finally take in the matter. When the Cabinet gets all the information necessary no doubt prompt steps will be taken, but whether the Mexican Government will be held responsible is more than we can say. We incline to the opinion that Diaz will disown the whole transaction, and will deliver up the murderers if they can be apprehended.

Here is a significant paragraph from a leading Southern Republican paper, the *Baltimore American*:

"The Republican party ought to live, and must live, under the present condition of affairs, but its vitality would be much more apparent if Mr. Hayes were in entire accord with the Blaines, the Conklings and the Garfields in Congress. His place is naturally with them, and not with the Gordon party. Viewing the matter purely as a party question, we should say that the Administration should come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue."

Here we have the cloven-foot revealed. The *American* wishes President Hayes to identify himself with the enemies of the South and of the country. The President must surrender his policy, his convictions, his pledges to the extremists of his party—must go over to Conkling, Blaine, Edmunds and company, "horse, foot and dragoon," that the Republican party may "live."

In view of such opinions as the above it must be refreshing to the country to know that there are Democrats who are endeavoring to bring about the very condition of things the *American* so much desires. All this may be patriotic, wise, polite, but for the life of us we cannot see it. Why Democrats should prefer the extremists to Hayes we cannot understand. Why any Democrats should desire to break down Hayes and force him to "come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue" passes our comprehension. As the *Baltimorean* well says:

"Conkling is a blundering partisan, and belongs to the school of Radicals. Mr. Hayes, on the contrary, has shown himself to be a liberal and just man, and one who has respect for the laws and the Constitution, which is more than can be said for any recent occupant of the White House.

"Do Democrats wish the President to be forced to surrender to the extremists of his party, and to let the reins of government be received? If so, let them unite with Conkling and Blaine to crush the President, and then perhaps they will accomplish their object."

Push Hayes to the wall, tie his hands, refuse him all support, and he will necessarily be driven into the arms of the factionists and bull-dozers, and then what? Mr. Hayes must have support from either Democrats or Republicans. He cannot stand alone. Senator Morton was right when he said in August, 1876, at Indianapolis: "The administration of any President will be in the main what the party which elected him makes it. If the break away from his party the chances are that he will be broken down. In a government of parties like ours, the President must have his party."

Miss Mary Anderson continues her performance of "Meg Merrilies" and "Patriotic" at the Fifth Avenue, New York. Modjeska succeeds her on the 23d. Houses good; Frank S. Chautra is playing at the Grand Opera House in the "Oceanoon." His Solera's "Lord Dundreary" continues at the Park Theatre for very fair business. Next week "David Garrick" will be revived. Miss Wyndham has taken a position in the east.

RELIGIOUS NEWSPAPERS.

The papers often do a great deal of mischief. The difficulty between Gordon and Conkling was amicably and honorably settled, and some of the papers are mad about it. They wanted a fight. But friends intervened and prevented it, and now the bellicose editors are calling it a "fiasco," and a "Pickwickian" duel, and so on. For our part we are glad that it was not necessary for Gen. Gordon to shoot the gay and festive peacock from New York. No good to the country could have come from it, and no good to Gen. Gordon. He has no need to test his courage. No man of sense will deny him a plenty of that article. As to Conkling, he only needed to be made a sort of martyr of to be the next President. If Gordon had knocked him down for his insolence a plume would have gone up all over Yankee-doedle-oo, and the Brooks-Summer twaddle would have been repeated from Arizona to San Francisco.

It is only necessary to open a Northern Republican paper and read its comments upon the affair, to see how any serious difficulty would have been magnified to the injury of the South. Take this specimen, which we select at random. The *Philadelphia Press* says:

"Mr. Gordon's manner in the first place seems to have been especially offensive, and his language hectoring. Mr. Conkling returned it by giving the lie with needless haste, and in utter violation of the proprieties of the Senate chamber, as well as of private life. Mr. Gordon's sur-rebuttal was the ultimate reason of the old pro-slavery regime—a challenge to fight."

"The whole matter is a sad and shameful example of the kind of character for the slain which they have mutually inflicted on the national reputation.

"It is worthy of note in this connection, that this is the second time Senator Gordon has resorted to this bullying argument, and carried the manners of the plantation into the Senate chamber of the United States. And on both these occasions his offensive advances were made against leaders of the Republican party, while in the very act of leading their parliamentary forces—some time ago against Senator Edmunds, now against Senator Conkling. It really begins to look as if every Republican leader was to be met at the threshold of his career with a challenge or a threat of a challenge as the first barrier."

Mr. Gordon is no bully, but a brave, courteous gentleman. He is furthermore no duelist, but a member of a Christian Church. He is a man of spirit, and has no idea of submitting tamely to the heaving ways that have so long time prevailed in the Congress. After the Southern men disappeared from that arena, and their "plantation manners" with them, there was substituted the coarse insolence of the Yankee skipper, and Blaine and Edmunds and fellows of that sort were in the habit of saying all manner of false and slanderous things about the South. But the day has passed when this overhearing tone, and these insulting insinuations were submitted to, and when the Conkling and other bull-dozers undertake to hurl epithets and indulge in slanders they will be retorted upon, in no unmeasured way. It is a good thing that Conkling and the country that he "gave the lie" to a man of Gen. Gordon's amicable and forbearing character. There are men in the Senate who would have knocked that "lie" down his throat or pinked him on the field of honor." But, as we said, we are glad it is no worse.

The effort of Turkey to secure the mediation of the Powers, is now explained what it meant. It did not ask mediation as a vanquished State; for it has two lines of defenses still unbroken and which it could hold, but it merely wished to intimate that it was willing to consider the terms proposed (before the war) by the Constantinople Conference. All of which is respectfully submitted, &c., &c., &c. But this looks a little bit "thin." If Turkey really believed it could hold those two lines it would not accept terms it had declined, and which caused the war. It is because it feels that the Russian force and momentum are too great that it invites now the Powers to mediate.

That is the milk in the cocoon. But Germany says in reply, you must treat directly with Russia; and Austria puts its hands in its pockets and tells the Musselman that mediation will not avail at this juncture. In the meantime, England is exercised and the Cabinet is divided. The great point now is to prevent a one-sided alteration of the Treaty of Paris. That is to say, England does not intend, if it can be prevented, that the three Emperors shall settle the matter to suit themselves, and to her own injury. She proposes to have a finger in the pie. All of which is very natural.

About this time the North Carolina papers are all printing Gen. Early in the most hearty manner. — *Edwards.*

About this time another Virginian does not receive much praise at the hands of "the North Carolina papers," but, as Sam Weller would say, "to the contrary, quite the reverse."

"Why is this thus?"

Miss Mary Anderson continues her performance of "Meg Merrilies" and "Patriotic" at the Fifth Avenue, New York. Modjeska succeeds her on the 23d. Houses good; Frank S. Chautra is playing at the Grand Opera House in the "Oceanoon." His Solera's "Lord Dundreary" continues at the Park Theatre for very fair business. Next week "David Garrick" will be revived. Miss Wyndham has taken a position in the east.

THE DIAZ GOVERNMENT is hurrying troops to the Rio Grande. They will be in good time if war should follow the butchery of Texas. Over 2,400 troops are en route for the frontier. Our own Government will soon have over 8,000 men on the Texas border. The surrender of the Texas State troops to the Mexican mob, and the shooting of Howard, Atkinson and McBride after they had surrendered, looks very serious, and will require stern and prompt treatment. Our Government will no doubt demand a surrender of the out-throats who shot those prisoners. First catch the hare and then cook it is the recipe. Before the marauders can be punished they must be captured and identified. Ay, there's the rub.

The outbreak at San Elizario, the largest town on an island in the Rio Grande, is of a local character, and one for which probably the Mexican government cannot be held responsible. These feuds and border wars, like those that used to rage between the Scots and English, are to be suppressed if possible, because they serve to intensify hatreds and provoke bloodshed and devastation. The Texans and Mexicans have never had any love for each other, and any thing like a war of reprisal, or like that mob fight at San Elizario, is well calculated to lead to wide spread violence, for the Texans, when their blood is up, are not to be trifled with without punishment. We fear that the cruel butchery of the three Texans may lead to a sanguinary guerrilla warfare, and cause great trouble and suffering.

It is impossible to say now what steps our Government will finally take in the matter. When the Cabinet gets all the information necessary no doubt prompt steps will be taken, but whether the Mexican Government will be held responsible is more than we can say. We incline to the opinion that Diaz will disown the whole transaction, and will deliver up the murderers if they can be apprehended.

Here is a significant paragraph from a leading Southern Republican paper, the *Baltimore American*:

"The Republican party ought to live, and must live, under the present condition of affairs, but its vitality would be much more apparent if Mr. Hayes were in entire accord with the Blaines, the Conklings and the Garfields in Congress. His place is naturally with them, and not with the Gordon party. Viewing the matter purely as a party question, we should say that the Administration should come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue."

Here we have the cloven-foot revealed. The *American* wishes President Hayes to identify himself with the enemies of the South and of the country. The President must surrender his policy, his convictions, his pledges to the extremists of his party—must go over to Conkling, Blaine, Edmunds and company, "horse, foot and dragoon," that the Republican party may "live."

In view of such opinions as the above it must be refreshing to the country to know that there are Democrats who are endeavoring to bring about the very condition of things the *American* so much desires. All this may be patriotic, wise, polite, but for the life of us we cannot see it. Why Democrats should prefer the extremists to Hayes we cannot understand. Why any Democrats should desire to break down Hayes and force him to "come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue" passes our comprehension. As the *Baltimorean* well says:

"Conkling is a blundering partisan, and belongs to the school of Radicals. Mr. Hayes, on the contrary, has shown himself to be a liberal and just man, and one who has respect for the laws and the Constitution, which is more than can be said for any recent occupant of the White House.

"Do Democrats wish the President to be forced to surrender to the extremists of his party, and to let the reins of government be received? If so, let them unite with Conkling and Blaine to crush the President, and then perhaps they will accomplish their object."

Push Hayes to the wall, tie his hands, refuse him all support, and he will necessarily be driven into the arms of the factionists and bull-dozers, and then what? Mr. Hayes must have support from either Democrats or Republicans. He cannot stand alone. Senator Morton was right when he said in August, 1876, at Indianapolis: "The administration of any President will be in the main what the party which elected him makes it. If the break away from his party the chances are that he will be broken down. In a government of parties like ours, the President must have his party."

Miss Mary Anderson continues her performance of "Meg Merrilies" and "Patriotic" at the Fifth Avenue, New York. Modjeska succeeds her on the 23d. Houses good; Frank S. Chautra is playing at the Grand Opera House in the "Oceanoon." His Solera's "Lord Dundreary" continues at the Park Theatre for very fair business. Next week "David Garrick" will be revived. Miss Wyndham has taken a position in the east.

THE DIAZ GOVERNMENT is hurrying troops to the Rio Grande. They will be in good time if war should follow the butchery of Texas. Over 2,400 troops are en route for the frontier. Our own Government will soon have over 8,000 men on the Texas border. The surrender of the Texas State troops to the Mexican mob, and the shooting of Howard, Atkinson and McBride after they had surrendered, looks very serious, and will require stern and prompt treatment. Our Government will no doubt demand a surrender of the out-throats who shot those prisoners. First catch the hare and then cook it is the recipe. Before the marauders can be punished they must be captured and identified. Ay, there's the rub.

The outbreak at San Elizario, the largest town on an island in the Rio Grande, is of a local character, and one for which probably the Mexican government cannot be held responsible. These feuds and border wars, like those that used to rage between the Scots and English, are to be suppressed if possible, because they serve to intensify hatreds and provoke bloodshed and devastation. The Texans and Mexicans have never had any love for each other, and any thing like a war of reprisal, or like that mob fight at San Elizario, is well calculated to lead to wide spread violence, for the Texans, when their blood is up, are not to be trifled with without punishment. We fear that the cruel butchery of the three Texans may lead to a sanguinary guerrilla warfare, and cause great trouble and suffering.

It is impossible to say now what steps our Government will finally take in the matter. When the Cabinet gets all the information necessary no doubt prompt steps will be taken, but whether the Mexican Government will be held responsible is more than we can say. We incline to the opinion that Diaz will disown the whole transaction, and will deliver up the murderers if they can be apprehended.

Here is a significant paragraph from a leading Southern Republican paper, the *Baltimore American*:

"The Republican party ought to live, and must live, under the present condition of affairs, but its vitality would be much more apparent if Mr. Hayes were in entire accord with the Blaines, the Conklings and the Garfields in Congress. His place is naturally with them, and not with the Gordon party. Viewing the matter purely as a party question, we should say that the Administration should come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue."

Here we have the cloven-foot revealed. The *American* wishes President Hayes to identify himself with the enemies of the South and of the country. The President must surrender his policy, his convictions, his pledges to the extremists of his party—must go over to Conkling, Blaine, Edmunds and company, "horse, foot and dragoon," that the Republican party may "live."

In view of such opinions as the above it must be refreshing to the country to know that there are Democrats who are endeavoring to bring about the very condition of things the *American* so much desires. All this may be patriotic, wise, polite, but for the life of us we cannot see it. Why Democrats should prefer the extremists to Hayes we cannot understand. Why any Democrats should desire to break down Hayes and force him to "come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue" passes our comprehension. As the *Baltimorean* well says:

"Conkling is a blundering partisan, and belongs to the school of Radicals. Mr. Hayes, on the contrary, has shown himself to be a liberal and just man, and one who has respect for the laws and the Constitution, which is more than can be said for any recent occupant of the White House.

"Do Democrats wish the President to be forced to surrender to the extremists of his party, and to let the reins of government be received? If so, let them unite with Conkling and Blaine to crush the President, and then perhaps they will accomplish their object."

Push Hayes to the wall, tie his hands, refuse him all support, and he will necessarily be driven into the arms of the factionists and bull-dozers, and then what? Mr. Hayes must have support from either Democrats or Republicans. He cannot stand alone. Senator Morton was right when he said in August, 1876, at Indianapolis: "The administration of any President will be in the main what the party which elected him makes it. If the break away from his party the chances are that he will be broken down. In a government of parties like ours, the President must have his party."

Miss Mary Anderson continues her performance of "Meg Merrilies" and "Patriotic" at the Fifth Avenue, New York. Modjeska succeeds her on the 23d. Houses good; Frank S. Chautra is playing at the Grand Opera House in the "Oceanoon." His Solera's "Lord Dundreary" continues at the Park Theatre for very fair business. Next week "David Garrick" will be revived. Miss Wyndham has taken a position in the east.

THE DIAZ GOVERNMENT is hurrying troops to the Rio Grande. They will be in good time if war should follow the butchery of Texas. Over 2,400 troops are en route for the frontier. Our own Government will soon have over 8,000 men on the Texas border. The surrender of the Texas State troops to the Mexican mob, and the shooting of Howard, Atkinson and McBride after they had surrendered, looks very serious, and will require stern and prompt treatment. Our Government will no doubt demand a surrender of the out-throats who shot those prisoners. First catch the hare and then cook it is the recipe. Before the marauders can be punished they must be captured and identified. Ay, there's the rub.

The outbreak at San Elizario, the largest town on an island in the Rio Grande, is of a local character, and one for which probably the Mexican government cannot be held responsible. These feuds and border wars, like those that used to rage between the Scots and English, are to be suppressed if possible, because they serve to intensify hatreds and provoke bloodshed and devastation. The Texans and Mexicans have never had any love for each other, and any thing like a war of reprisal, or like that mob fight at San Elizario, is well calculated to lead to wide spread violence, for the Texans, when their blood is up, are not to be trifled with without punishment. We fear that the cruel butchery of the three Texans may lead to a sanguinary guerrilla warfare, and cause great trouble and suffering.

It is impossible to say now what steps our Government will finally take in the matter. When the Cabinet gets all the information necessary no doubt prompt steps will be taken, but whether the Mexican Government will be held responsible is more than we can say. We incline to the opinion that Diaz will disown the whole transaction, and will deliver up the murderers if they can be apprehended.

Here is a significant paragraph from a leading Southern Republican paper, the *Baltimore American*:

"The Republican party ought to live, and must live, under the present condition of affairs, but its vitality would be much more apparent if Mr. Hayes were in entire accord with the Blaines, the Conklings and the Garfields in Congress. His place is naturally with them, and not with the Gordon party. Viewing the matter purely as a party question, we should say that the Administration should come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue."

Here we have the cloven-foot revealed. The *American* wishes President Hayes to identify himself with the enemies of the South and of the country. The President must surrender his policy, his convictions, his pledges to the extremists of his party—must go over to Conkling, Blaine, Edmunds and company, "horse, foot and dragoon," that the Republican party may "live."

In view of such opinions as the above it must be refreshing to the country to know that there are Democrats who are endeavoring to bring about the very condition of things the *American* so much desires. All this may be patriotic, wise, polite, but for the life of us we cannot see it. Why Democrats should prefer the extremists to Hayes we cannot understand. Why any Democrats should desire to break down Hayes and force him to "come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue" passes our comprehension. As the *Baltimorean* well says:

"Conkling is a blundering partisan, and belongs to the school of Radicals. Mr. Hayes, on the contrary, has shown himself to be a liberal and just man, and one who has respect for the laws and the Constitution, which is more than can be said for any recent occupant of the White House.

"Do Democrats wish the President to be forced to surrender to the extremists of his party, and to let the reins of government be received? If so, let them unite with Conkling and Blaine to crush the President, and then perhaps they will accomplish their object."

Push Hayes to the wall, tie his hands, refuse him all support, and he will necessarily be driven into the arms of the factionists and bull-dozers, and then what? Mr. Hayes must have support from either Democrats or Republicans. He cannot stand alone. Senator Morton was right when he said in August, 1876, at Indianapolis: "The administration of any President will be in the main what the party which elected him makes it. If the break away from his party the chances are that he will be broken down. In a government of parties like ours, the President must have his party."

Miss Mary Anderson continues her performance of "Meg Merrilies" and "Patriotic" at the Fifth Avenue, New York. Modjeska succeeds her on the 23d. Houses good; Frank S. Chautra is playing at the Grand Opera House in the "Oceanoon." His Solera's "Lord Dundreary" continues at the Park Theatre for very fair business. Next week "David Garrick" will be revived. Miss Wyndham has taken a position in the east.

THE DIAZ GOVERNMENT is hurrying troops to the Rio Grande. They will be in good time if war should follow the butchery of Texas. Over 2,400 troops are en route for the frontier. Our own Government will soon have over 8,000 men on the Texas border. The surrender of the Texas State troops to the Mexican mob, and the shooting of Howard, Atkinson and McBride after they had surrendered, looks very serious, and will require stern and prompt treatment. Our Government will no doubt demand a surrender of the out-throats who shot those prisoners. First catch the hare and then cook it is the recipe. Before the marauders can be punished they must be captured and identified. Ay, there's the rub.

The outbreak at San Elizario, the largest town on an island in the Rio Grande, is of a local character, and one for which probably the Mexican government cannot be held responsible. These feuds and border wars, like those that used to rage between the Scots and English, are to be suppressed if possible, because they serve to intensify hatreds and provoke bloodshed and devastation. The Texans and Mexicans have never had any love for each other, and any thing like a war of reprisal, or like that mob fight at San Elizario, is well calculated to lead to wide spread violence, for the Texans, when their blood is up, are not to be trifled with without punishment. We fear that the cruel butchery of the three Texans may lead to a sanguinary guerrilla warfare, and cause great trouble and suffering.

THE NEWS FROM THE BORDER.

The Diaz Government is hurrying troops to the Rio Grande. They will be in good time if war should follow the butchery of Texas. Over 2,400 troops are en route for the frontier. Our own Government will soon have over 8,000 men on the Texas border. The surrender of the Texas State troops to the Mexican mob, and the shooting of Howard, Atkinson and McBride after they had surrendered, looks very serious, and will require stern and prompt treatment. Our Government will no doubt demand a surrender of the out-throats who shot those prisoners. First catch the hare and then cook it is the recipe. Before the marauders can be punished they must be captured and identified. Ay, there's the rub.

The outbreak at San Elizario, the largest town on an island in the Rio Grande, is of a local character, and one for which probably the Mexican government cannot be held responsible. These feuds and border wars, like those that used to rage between the Scots and English, are to be suppressed if possible, because they serve to intensify hatreds and provoke bloodshed and devastation. The Texans and Mexicans have never had any love for each other, and any thing like a war of reprisal, or like that mob fight at San Elizario, is well calculated to lead to wide spread violence, for the Texans, when their blood is up, are not to be trifled with without punishment. We fear that the cruel butchery of the three Texans may lead to a sanguinary guerrilla warfare, and cause great trouble and suffering.

It is impossible to say now what steps our Government will finally take in the matter. When the Cabinet gets all the information necessary no doubt prompt steps will be taken, but whether the Mexican Government will be held responsible is more than we can say. We incline to the opinion that Diaz will disown the whole transaction, and will deliver up the murderers if they can be apprehended.

Here is a significant paragraph from a leading Southern Republican paper, the *Baltimore American*:

"The Republican party ought to live, and must live, under the present condition of affairs, but its vitality would be much more apparent if Mr. Hayes were in entire accord with the Blaines, the Conklings and the Garfields in Congress. His place is naturally with them, and not with the Gordon party. Viewing the matter purely as a party question, we should say that the Administration should come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue."

Here we have the cloven-foot revealed. The *American* wishes President Hayes to identify himself with the enemies of the South and of the country. The President must surrender his policy, his convictions, his pledges to the extremists of his party—must go over to Conkling, Blaine, Edmunds and company, "horse, foot and dragoon," that the Republican party may "live."

In view of such opinions as the above it must be refreshing to the country to know that there are Democrats who are endeavoring to bring about the very condition of things the *American* so much desires. All this may be patriotic, wise, polite, but for the life of us we cannot see it. Why Democrats should prefer the extremists to Hayes we cannot understand. Why any Democrats should desire to break down Hayes and force him to "come to terms with the party leaders at the Congressional end of the avenue" passes our comprehension. As the *Baltimorean* well says:

"Conkling is a blundering partisan, and belongs to the school of Radicals. Mr. Hayes, on the contrary, has shown himself to be a liberal and just man, and one who has respect for the laws and the Constitution, which is more than can be said for any recent occupant of the White House.

"Do Democrats wish the President to be forced to surrender to the extremists of his party, and to let the reins of government be received? If so, let them unite with Conkling and Blaine to crush the President, and then perhaps they will accomplish their object."

Push Hayes to the wall, tie his hands, refuse him all support, and he will necessarily be driven into the arms of the factionists and bull-dozers, and then what? Mr. Hayes must have support from either Democrats or Republicans. He cannot stand alone. Senator Morton was right when he said in August, 1876, at Indianapolis: "The administration of any President will be in the main what the party which elected him makes it. If the break away from his party the chances are that he will be broken down. In a government of parties like ours, the President must have his party."