

like to see the well filled purse after the year's toil and contentment and hope on every face.

Observe the significance of the fact that Mr. Cleveland, in the Congressional Districts last year, carried enough to give the Democrats the House.

The cause of failure is no doubt given correctly in the above extract. No doubt "personal and local causes" are to be added.

It should be remembered that the Boodlers resolved by the free use of money to defeat in the several Districts the men who were most active and influential in behalf of protection and relief of the people.

The correspondent of the Times mentions that in the 44th Congress the Democrats had 73 majority.

After Mr. Kerr's death, who had presided for a short time, Randall was elected Speaker.

The 73 majority dropped to but 19 in the 46th Congress.

Mr. Randall had presided through the 45th and 46th Congresses in the second session of the Forty-fourth; then the Forty-seventh came, and it had a Republican working preponderance.

Thus the low tariff management, started six days ago with a majority of 71 in membership of 835, is followed by a Republican House containing a majority of 3, while six years prior to that time there was a Democratic majority of 78 in a membership of only 268.

This comparison so far as the Congressional majorities were affected by the management in the two periods.

It is well to have these facts brought out. The completely obscure the Sun and its satellites.

Then remember that in 1876, when the Democrats had the 71 majority, Mr. Tilden was elected President by 250,985 majority.

Mr. Carlisle was elected Speaker. In 1884, the Democrats elected Mr. Cleveland President.

In 1888, the Democrats swept the country in the popular vote and Mr. Cleveland carries a majority of the Congressional Districts, and in spite of all manner of intimidation and fraud and the free distribution of money.

What losses were sustained by the Democrats in the 49th and 50th Congress? Take the Tariff. In the 48th Congress it was defeated by the aid of 41 Democrats who voted with the Republicans.

The Northern capitalist—the Manufacturer—comes into the South, hires him to the coal and iron mines, and goes home and sings psalms to the progressive South.

Very good music, and the STAR delights to hear it. But even these do not always constitute solid prosperity.

Now take the majorities during Carlisle's Speakership against Tariff Reduction. In the 48th Congress the majority was 4; in the 49th it was 17; in the 50th it was only 4.

These votes indicate very clearly which was the increasing and which the decreasing sentiment in the country and in the party.

But to what is the "working preponderance" of the Republicans in the Fifty-third Congress attributable? It was due to a neglect that was so gross as to almost criminal.

The Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee, heretofore an efficient agent in Congressional elections, was disbanded early in the campaign, before it had performed the slightest service, and the management of the Congressional campaign was referred to the local managers in the several districts, without a general aux-

iliary in any quarter to assist in any manner whatever, while all the efforts of the party were concentrated on the Presidential election.

Observe the significance of the fact that Mr. Cleveland, in the Congressional Districts last year, carried enough to give the Democrats the House.

The cause of failure is no doubt given correctly in the above extract. No doubt "personal and local causes" are to be added.

It should be remembered that the Boodlers resolved by the free use of money to defeat in the several Districts the men who were most active and influential in behalf of protection and relief of the people.

Boalanger explains to a correspondent of the World at Brussels why he camouflaged the raphe and did not stand on the order of his going.

He likewise assured the World correspondent that, although he was an ambitious of becoming President, he would never attempt any coup d'etat, or any but peaceful methods for attaining his ends.

The Savannah Board of Trade appointed a committee to investigate the Underwood process of manipulating crude turpentine for distillation.

The committee reported, in substance, as follows: "This is a business which is the result of the Underwood process is intended to counterfeit in appearance the genuine article, and is not to be detected by any ordinary means.

The committee was of the opinion that the Underwood process is a violation of the laws of the State and of the Federal Government, and that it is a public nuisance.

The committee recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

The committee also recommended that the Governor should issue a writ of habeas corpus against the Underwood process, and that the State should take steps to suppress it.

Secretary Winslow and the Purchase of Bonds—Intoxicants Accepted the Matter.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—To-day's bond offerings aggregated \$3,981,400 four and half in three items; \$3,500,000 at \$1.05; \$300,000 at \$1.06; and \$181,400 at \$1.07.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.

WASHINGTON, April 4.—The Secretary of War has declined to accept the offer of the Secretary of the War to purchase the bonds of the Government.