The Semi-Weekly Sentinel.

VOL. 3. RALEIGH, N. C., WEDNESDAY JUNE 30, 1869. NO. 104

THE SENTINEL.

SPECIAL COURT AT ROCKINGHAM—CHICKEN STEPHENS, ANTHONY HAYES, ELLIS WALKER AND GOV. HOLDEN.

The reader has been made acquainted with Anthony Hayes, his crimes and his punishment. Ellis Walker's case attracted as much attention as that of Hayes from the interest that Chicken Stephens manifested in it. Chicken Stephens lives in Yanceyville, and is Senator from that county.

Judge Tourgee was its guest at last Court, and the Bar combined to call him, because he was at Stephens' Tavern for awhile. To see for ourself we called on the Clerk to show us the record where Stephens was indicted for stealing chickens. The Clerk said he knew nothing of it. Is there not such a record? we asked. "I know nothing of it," was the reply. The Clerk then referred us to his deputy who said there was such a record and he had once furnished it to the Raleigh Gazette, and he could show it to us as soon as he was at leisure. We hear Judge Tourgee and the Sentinel have misconstrued Chicken Stephens to "practice law." We think Tourgee should be impeached for all the fuss.

In the neighborhood of Thompsville, Ellis Walker, (negro) was whipped. Stephens came from Caswell, commissioned, we said, by the Governor, to keep the peace in five counties. He intended to "keep the offenders and put down Ku Klux" if it took twenty years. A school boy from Alamance and one young man, were arrested by Ellis Walker. Stephens came to the trial, he a tool as spokesman for the Ku Klux. The case was postponed until Saturday, the trial to come off at Ellis Walker's house at 10 o'clock. All appeared; Chicken Stephens representing Ellis Walker, the Governor, and the State. The defendants represented by counsel. The case was postponed from 10 to 12 o'clock. The next thing heard of the Court they had set Ellis Walker's house, where the trial were to take place, and gone to Thompsonville a mile off, sending word to the defendants to come there. When they arrived at Thompsonville, the Court announced that the defendants could not be tried because of the absence of a material witness. "Who is the witness?" asked the counsel for the defendants. After a little hesitancy and stammering the Court said "Ellis Walker was the witness." Counsel for defendants proposed to go back to his house. All returned to the house of Ellis Walker, where a trial took place. The school boy was acquitted. The Court announced the case continued as to the other defendant. "Why is it continued?" asked the counsel. "Because," said the Court, of "the absence of a material witness." "Who is the witness?" "That is in the breast of the Court." Here the Court retired for consultation, and after returning announced the case continued for the absence of a sick witness, a negro who lived with one of the Court.

The defendant got a carriage and invited the Court to ride over to the house of the sick and absent witness for whom the case had been continued. All rode to his house—the witness is examined and knows nothing of the transaction. The Court as they walk from the house of the sick witness dismissed the case, as to the other defendant.

All hands now repair to Ellis Walker's house. The counsel for defendant, at the request of the Court, announced that there was a rumor in the neighborhood that the sick witness for whom they wished to continue the case, was a material witness, and all they wished to do was justice.

About this time, great contempt was shown for the Governor and his appointees. A school boy bought a chicken pie which he offered to Stephens. Stephens instead of thanking him, flew into a rage. Sanford Simpson, to keep the peace and make a bit of pleasantry, inquired of Stephens if he would answer him one question. Stephens replied "yes." "Well," said Simpson, tell us all what time of night it was when you stole the chickens."

The Company dispersed until they should all be called together at the Special Court, for further investigation under Tourgee.

Some of the people of Rockingham "curs" like Holden and the whole army in Flanders. We heard them curse Holden, and Tourgee and the Court, and Judge Settle and the ten thousand dollar bridge. They cursed Ellington, their representative, and told hard stories on him. We did not hear Senator Lindsay cursed, on the contrary, all spoke of him as a clever man, and such was the impression he made upon us; but to follow Holden's lead, and associate with the Reckingham County Commissioners, and Stephens and Anthony Hayes, will cave smut upon the whitest character.

Alexander Scales, (negro) juror, struck Tourgee upon the Bench, after this wise. General Scales asked "his honor" to excuse Alexander from the jury, because of sickness in his family. When the juror was sworn, and the Judge asked why he could not serve, he said he was behind in his 'crop' and he'd already lost two days. "But your family is sick," said the General. "Yes," the juror said, and the Judge excused him.

We have been in constant attendance on Courts in this circuit for more than twenty years and never knew any Judge to fail to open Court on Monday of the Term, until Tourgee rode the circuit. There should be a law to indict him for his gross neglect of duty. When he first rode this circuit he did not reach Caswell until Tuesday or Wednesday. He missed a whole week at Orange, and called Court the 2d instead of the first Monday of the Term. He adjourned Court on Thursday before he had finished the business of the Term—he did not reach Chatham until Wednesday, and so we take it, he behaved throughout the circuit. From five to eight hundred men in each of these counties lost three days work, looking for the Judge, whose neglect and want of promptness in reaching his Courts, has been an intolerable nuisance and a tax upon the time of Jurors, suitors and witnesses. Six months and two days and part of the third, in Caswell, Wentworth, looking for the Judge while he was idling about Raleigh and Greensboro' [illegible] over Dan River, to be called Settle [illegible], and to cost 10,000 dollars, was attracting no little attention. No fault but a set of irresponsible non tax paying Commissioners seemed to have on it. The great talk of the county was the court house tax to be paid and the worthless, incompetent and unpopular set of Commissioners who had to levy them. Taxation is the question upon which the sovereign American people will express their will at the ballot box. They have taxes—this hatred was inherited. Washington and his compatriots, drinking hatred each from the mouth piece, fought seven years because of a little stamp duty and three cent tax on tea.

Our people without a murmur have quietly submitted not only to unlimited taxation, but to be robbed and deprived of many great rights which freemen never yield without a fight. Rights too which were guaranteed by the Constitution itself. They have grown callous and indifferent to all public matters, leaving them to the hands of Stephens, Holden, Colemans, Dillards and eighty thousand negroes.

Many of the whites have refused to register, and many to vote after registering. We doubt if a full white vote has been polled in any county since the surrender. We rely upon Radical profligacy and heavy taxation to arouse the people to a more lively interest in matters pertaining to the public welfare.

TROOPS FOR ROCKINGHAM

The Governor sent his detectives and militia to Alamance. He now has twenty armed men in Rockingham county. Some of them are his paid detectives, others belong to the secret oath bound League of which the Governor is President. The Governor, we hear, is now getting up troops to invade Rockingham county. We heard last week, in that county, that a nigger was to be lynched to-day. Is the Governor to send the militia to protect the Ku Klux? Is this the way he is to "execute the laws?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people?" Is this the way he is to "suppress insurrection?" Is this the way he is to "preserve the peace?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against lawless violence?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against domestic violence?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against insurrection?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against invasion?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful combinations?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful assemblies?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful conspiracies?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful violence?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful oppression?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful persecution?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful prosecution?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful punishment?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful imprisonment?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful detention?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful arrest?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful search?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful seizure?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful confiscation?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful destruction?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful damage?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful injury?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful loss?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful deprivation?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful privation?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful want?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful poverty?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful misery?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful suffering?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful pain?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful agony?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful torture?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful death?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful murder?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful assassination?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful slaughter?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful butchery?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful massacre?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful carnage?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful bloodshed?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful blood?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful gore?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful clotted gore?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful coagulated gore?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful dried gore?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful scabs?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful crusts?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful scales?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful flakes?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful dandruff?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful scurf?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful dirt?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful filth?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful nastiness?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful uncleanness?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful impurity?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful pollution?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful defilement?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful contamination?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful corruption?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful putrefaction?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful rottenness?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful decay?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful dissolution?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful destruction?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful annihilation?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful extinction?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful obliteration?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful effacement?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful erasure?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful expunging?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful blotting out?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful wiping out?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful rubbing out?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful scratching out?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful erasing?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful obliterating?" Is this the way he is to "protect the people against unlawful effacing