

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION... Daily, twelve months... Weekly, twelve months...

THE SENTINEL



JOSIAH TURNER, JR., EDITOR

FRIDAY, AUGUST 20, 1869

REFRAGAN AND ANGST

The Radical organ of this City, in its issue of Wednesday morning, has an article under the caption of "Universal Suffrage and Universal Amnesty," in which one of the editors of that paper takes ground for "general amnesty," as understood in Virginia, Tennessee and Mississippi, that is, the removal of all disabilities heretofore imposed by the reconstruction acts.

We shall make no apology for laying before our readers the full correspondence between Major Engeliard and J. C. Abbott, the creature who "signs himself" Senator from North Carolina. It is the most remarkable correspondence on record. It shows to what point the lives, fortunes, character and property of the whole people of the South are exposed, when such men as Abbott speak in the Senate of the nation for the State of North Carolina. Yet, with Holden, John Pool and Cantwell, this correspondence will make Abbott neither ridiculous or contemptible.

We now understand why it was that the carpet-baggers, like Abbott, in the Legislature, passed such stringent laws against distilling: why it was that at the last session was passed, making it a Penitentiary offence to use a deadly weapon.

For the better understanding of Abbott's conduct, it is necessary to know, and remember, that he is the owner and proprietor of the Post, of which one C. F. Grady is Editor.

Ten days ago, that paper contained a libelous, virulent abuse of Major Engeliard, of the Journal, charging him especially with cowardice. Mr. J. C. James, Local of the Journal, meeting with Grady, engaged him on sight, in a fair fist fight, and gave him a bruising, only a little short of that which "my son Joe" received from the peaceable mercantile master.

Abbott, with the justice and magnanimity becoming a Senator, writes Major Engeliard a note, avowing himself the author of the offensive editorial. Such justice and magnanimity is the subject of admiration for the whole city. It was received by the whole party with joy, triumph and satisfaction.

Judge Casswell applauded it. Galloway, the colored Senator, applauded it. The day driver and whippers all applauded it. It was worthy of a Federal General and Senator, who had "fought for the flag;" but, also, Abbott is made to repent himself of his magnanimity, as is shown by the correspondence.

We think it due to the Judiciary of the State that Judge Cantwell, in a card, should purge himself of the dishonor which attaches to being the bearer of such notes as Abbott wrote to Major Engeliard. If there is any other active of the State, except Gov. Hold a and the Attorney General Coleman, who would have borne such a note we should like to know him. Who will Abbott next charge with cowardice!

ling to take these men into our arms and bosoms, who have been fighting and shotters of the wrongs that have been inflicted.

ABBOTT DISGRACED!

ANOTHER AFRAGAN AFFAIR!

A DAY STREET BACK DOWN!

'Big Indian' Buries the Tomahawk!

Boil Run Done Over and Outdone!

Judge Cantwell, Late Governor of Norfolk a Trusty Medium through whom Affairs of Honor may be honorably adjusted without Bloodshed!

A Carpet-Bag Hero Unmasked!

THE LION SKIN STRIPPED OFF AND THE ASS EXPOSED!!

NOTE:—A verbal message was received by Major Engeliard from Gen. Abbott, stating that he would like to see the correspondence which would be light a fire!

NOTE:—I have inserted that within the last two or three hours you have been bound over and bound to keep the peace. I do you were a party to this transaction. I do not now propose to retract. If any bold meeting should take place, between us, I am authorized by several responsible gentlemen of Wilmington to say that average men would be made to deposit the amount of the bond in the Banking House of James Dawson, subject to its legal enforcement.

Under the circumstances my object now is to inquire whether you will accept a challenge from me!

NOTE:—The communication presented to me on account of its offensive character, and I beneath returns it. It contains insinuations and reflections which no gentleman should not address to another.

NOTE:—Whenever the writer of the letter mentioned chooses to address to me a civil communication in an amicable and respectful manner, until that time I decline any intercourse with him.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

From the Wilmington Journal. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN GEN. ABBOTT AND J. A. ENGELIARD.

WILMINGTON, N. C., Aug. 17, 1869.

NOTE:—I have inserted that within the last two or three hours you have been bound over and bound to keep the peace. I do you were a party to this transaction. I do not now propose to retract. If any bold meeting should take place, between us, I am authorized by several responsible gentlemen of Wilmington to say that average men would be made to deposit the amount of the bond in the Banking House of James Dawson, subject to its legal enforcement.

NOTE:—Under the circumstances my object now is to inquire whether you will accept a challenge from me!

NOTE:—Whenever the writer of the letter mentioned chooses to address to me a civil communication in an amicable and respectful manner, until that time I decline any intercourse with him.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

NOTE:—In reply to your note of this morning, to Mr. Korcher, in which you object to the language of my communication of yesterday, I had no other object in addressing you, than that communication, as well as the present one, was to ascertain whether you would accept a challenge if one should be sent by me. I, therefore, withdrew the communication of yesterday, and without further comment, put the question direct, would you accept a challenge coming from me. An immediate answer is respectfully requested.

The question fairly and honorably settled, and so far as I am concerned, I am satisfied. I therefore say that I am prepared to make a satisfactory retraction and apology for my own language when I see any indication that I shall be afterwards met by a similar temper on your part, and I may add that I am quite indifferent as to the particular etiquette by which the proposed settlement is accomplished.

Very respectfully, JOSEPH C. ABBOTT.

WILMINGTON, N. C., Aug. 16th, 1869.

NOTE:—Your note of this date in reply to that of Major Engeliard of the 14th inst., which we had the honor to deliver to you, we would express our surprise at your conception of the meaning of his note, and the tenor of your reply. Considering, however, that you have misconceived the intention of Major Engeliard, who is no longer from this city, as his notes herein are inserted, and that you have misconceived the intention of the Journal, which you have not read, we will retract the offensive portions of the article which appeared in the Wilmington Post of the 8th inst., the authorship of which has been assumed, and apologize for the same or give him that satisfaction which one gentleman can demand of another agreeably to the Code of Honor.

NOTE:—We have the honor to receive your note of the 15th inst., and in reply to inform you that we have no objection to your retraction of the offensive portions of the article which appeared in the Wilmington Post of the 8th inst., reflecting on Major Engeliard, and in so far as your intention, that you retract the offensive portions of the article, which appeared in the Wilmington Post of the 8th inst., the authorship of which has been assumed, and apologize for the same or give him that satisfaction which one gentleman can demand of another agreeably to the Code of Honor.

NOTE:—We are, gentlemen, Very respectfully, Your most obedient servants, R. HAMMON, W. MACRAE.

NOTE:—The grocers of fruit are in so much doubt and perplexity on the subject of making brandy, we have thought it proper to publish the law on the subject. Not having the law itself before us, we take from the circular of a supervisor the following which is no doubt correct.

NOTE:—Such a law operates hard and harshly upon the people of the Western portion of the State who have small orchards and small stills and have hitherto relied upon them to raise money for the payment of their taxes.

NOTE:—The following rules are established for the guidance of officers and all persons proposing to distill brandy.

NOTE:—Section 2, Act of July 30, 1868, provides that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue may exempt distillers of brandy from apples, peaches or grapes, exclusively from certain requirements of the act relating to distillation of spirits.

NOTE:—A special tax of \$37.50 from August 1st will be required of each distiller. A bond for not less than \$500 to be approved by the Assessor, must be given in every case.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

NOTE:—The amount of brandy in a still is held to be a producing capacity of 50 gallons of proof brandy in 24 hours, and 1 will be supposed to be produced with 50 per cent. of still supposed to be in use. This estimate allows 20 per cent. for boiling, and is based on the assumption that only 50 gallons can be boiled in a still holding 100 gallons, and that the still can be run out seven times in 24 hours.

LIFE INSURANCE. THE LEADING COMPANY IN NORTH CAROLINA IS THE ETNA LIFE OF HARTFORD. JULY 1ST, 1869. Amount of Assets \$12,000,000.00.

DIVISIBLE SURPLUS \$2,675,999.48, AND CONSTANTLY INCREASING. ALL THE SURPLUS OF THE COMPANY DIVIDED AMONG THE ASSURED. DIVIDENDS DECLARED AND PAID ANNUALLY ON THE CONTRIBUTION PLAN.

Table with 2 columns: No. and Amount. Lists various policy numbers and their corresponding amounts.

LOSSES PAID PROMPTLY IN CASH. LIST OF LOSSES ACTUALLY PAID BY THE ETNA LIFE INS. CO. AT THE BRANCH OFFICE, IN RALEIGH, BY THE HANDS OF W. H. CROW, GENERAL MANAGER OF THE STATE AND PART OF VA.

EXPENSE ON THE \$100 RECEIVED. ENCKENROCKER, EQUITABLE, NORTH AMERICA, BROOKLYN, UNIVERSAL, FRENCH BANKING, THE NATIONAL, THE ETNA.

GENERAL STATE SOLICITORS, T. R. KINGSBURY, EDWARD JOHNSON, W. H. CROW, General Agent for North Carolina and Virginia South of the James.

GRAND DRAWING OF REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY. L. S. PERRY, DENTIST, RALEIGH, N. C.

WRITING INK. I HAVE JUST RECEIVED A SUPPLY OF ROSS'S SUPERIOR WRITING INK. FRENCH BRANDY-FORK.

ALFRED WILLIAMS, BOOKSELLER, RALEIGH, N. C.