

THE SENTINEL.

JOSIAH TURNER, Jr., Editor.
T. E. KINGSBURY, Associate Editor.

THURSDAY, AUGUST 22, 1872.

THE NATION'S CANDIDATES FOR PRESIDENT: HORACE GREENEY, OF NEW YORK.

B. GRATZ BROWN, OF MISSOURI.

STATE ELECTORAL TICKET.

STATE AT LARGE.
THOMAS J. FAYRE, of Tyrrell.
E. W. DUE, Esq., of Johnson.

FOR THE DISTRICT.

1st—Octavius Cole, of Linn.
2d—Swett Galloway, of Greene.
3d—T. C. Fuller, of Cumberland.
4th—H. A. Long, Jr., of Chatham.
5th—D. T. Caldwell, of Guilford.
6th—W. L. Steele, of Richmond.
7th—F. M. McDaniel, of Ireland.
8th—F. D. Johnston, of Buncombe.

ANOTHER Dodge.

"For ways that are dark and tricky that are rare" the "heathen" radical is surely very "peculiar." If an industrious person should attempt to follow the "ring" up directly or either account or expose their schemes and dodges and standards and ramifications generally he would not only have his hands full to running over, but he would be so overwhelmed with the amount of labor that would be consume in spite of every exertion, that he would sit down in despair and abandon the pursuit forever. Day after day their plans are being discovered, but they multiply so fast that even a lightning ex-

In this State during the late canvass we had all manner of dodges. Men indicted under the Kuklux bill were to have the indictments quashed; men who were indicted for illicit distilling were to have a new process entered against them; men who were indicted for violating the revenue tobacco law were to be met with smiles and favors instead of brows and frowns; men who had claims against the United States were to be specially blessed; but, mark you, all this was to only happen provided the parties concerned supported the radical ticket. We all know how potential this sort of electioneering was; long many hundreds and thousands were intimidated from voting, sold out large and baggage to the enemy.

There are other victimizing dodges in store for the South. Claim Commissioners are sent in every Southern State to make certain investigations as to the damages sustained by loyal Southern men during the "late unpleasantry." If they are true to Grant, their claims will be regarded with favor; but if purchased they are supports of Greeley or his friends, they may whittle away any indemnity. In some State the tools of Grant visited different sections and whipped into masses sure that they would never get any pay tales Grant and his ring were retained in power, for the other side would ignore and repudiate the whole thing if they were voted.

And now, poor, devout mortals, the election is over and when they can pay you they are earnestly urged to inform the public. You will find that your hope of gain will be soon pasted into very thin air, and that the price paid was only made to be broken.

The Northern papers may tell of another dodge—a "new" one just concocted by some cunning genius to fit in exactly. It is this: It is intended for all the colored men who have been sailors, marines, or soldiers, who may have resulted in the death in 1860, of who are now residing here. They are told by certain persons not out that arrangements have been perfected by which all back pay, including bounty money, will be paid them. The disbursing officers are to be stationed at various points in the South to carry out this nice little plan. No doubt it will deceive many negroes, but after all, it can do no great damage as the great bulk of the negro voting population will go for Shoulder Straps any way, and turn their backs coldly upon their life-long friends and slaves. With me, it is not to have any more damaging engine than that moved against us we will not be much scared or much hurt. Not many days hence some other dodge will be planned and perhaps exposed.

DEATH OF REV. THOS. H. BOND.

M. D.

It is with unaffected regret we have learned by telegraph of the death of this very able divine of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South. He expired at his residence in Hartford county, Maryland, on 19th inst., in the 59th year of his age. The religious papers will do full justice to his manifold and splendid gifts. We mourn his testimony, though, to his extraordinary talents. He was just as good, the most brilliant of all living American journalists. He was a man of varied and extensive erudition, a wit of the first water, master of an eloquent style, wielding the keenest blade of all editors—sharp, sarcastic, lucid, overwhelming. He was a man of fortune and a most genial, lovable companion. He leaves no peer; his chair is vacant forever, there is no one who can fill it. At the time of his death he was the main editorial contributor to the mammoth *St. Louis Christian Advocate*.

The members of Westminster College have considered the title of D. D. upon Rev. L. F. Condy and G. B. Burr, of Abingdon, and Rev. W. H. Will, of North Carolina. These gentlemen are old and highly esteemed ministers in the Methodist Protestant Church, and will worthily fill their honored places.

THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER OF JUNIUS.

SECOND PAPER.

These Letters have never been equalled by any writer, for no other writer has ever succeeded in uniting so masterly a manly brilliancy of diction and nervous, limpid style with force and perspicuity of thought. Junius was the first great writer who, waging a war of extermination against his political adversaries, reported to those short, sharp, pungent sentences which are terrible to see, and of which, in my time, the illustrious Lord Macaulay was so perfect a master. The invective of the great Irish orator, Grattan, against Flood is more intense and animated than those of Junius, but it is far less delicate, weighty and piercing. Lord Brongton's splendid denunciation of the Durham clergy is an unrivaled specimen of towering, brilliant oration—probably all things considered, the most tremendous speech in our language; but it is really less ornate, less harrowing, less incisive than the polished, judicious sentences of Junius, pregnant with bold and audacity. Among Americans the gifted John M. Daniel, formerly editor of the Richmond *Examiner*, more nearly approached Junius than any other, in brilliancy, force, and beauty combined with great precision and unconquerable logic.

The power of *Junius*, but to realize it, is to master the art of oratory, to perfect his language—in making it the most consummate vehicle for the exact reproduction of his thought. Hence, he relied upon the nouns and verbs, and not upon the adjectives, for as Dr. Goodrich well remarks, "the true strength of language" lies in them. He refers to a witty and just remark of Voltaire, that "the adjective is the greatest enemy of the substantive, though they agree together in gender, number, and case."

At some future day, possibly in a week or ten days, we will resume the discussion.

its thought, but what to realize the way of unnecessary ideas. He aimed at perfect lucidity of expression and condensed statement. As has been remarked, he gave in the best specimens in our language of the "rich economy of thought." Dr. Goodrich in his able essay, enlarges upon a distinguishing characteristic of Junius, to which we can only adduce—his power of *inanimating* ideas without giving them form or direct expression. Volney and Lord Chatham greatly excelled in the same art.

One other remark as to his style. He relied, as has been seen, upon his ideas mainly, and yet he spared neither time nor labor in perfecting his language—in making it the most consummate vehicle for the exact reproduction of his thought. Hence, he relied upon the nouns and verbs, and not upon the adjectives, for as Dr. Goodrich well remarks, "the true strength of language" lies in them. He refers to a witty and just remark of Vol-

taire, that "the adjective is the greatest enemy of the substantive, though they agree together in gender, number, and case."

At some future day, possibly in a week or ten days, we will resume the discussion.

THE MOST GIANTIC SWINDLE OF ALL.

In another article we have referred to the dodges of the radicals; we must now refer to one of their swindles. A day or two ago we told you of the Chippingham swindle, but that was mere child's play compared to the tremendous swindle just brought to light. Littlefield, Sampson & Co. were masters in their way. "Boss Tweed" and Company were adept in the swindling art, but then their performances were nothing compared with the stupendous plundering that has just been uncovered by the democratic resident committee at Washington. They have published an elaborate statement of the whole matter, giving the figures and statements in full. These are not manufactured for the occasion, but are "taken from official sources, and are therefore authentic in every particular."

And what do they reveal to the country? It staggers belief, so enormous are the figures. They show that the "Grand Ring" has made way with **ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY SIX MILLIONS** in three years in the management of the bonded debt alone, and is still *continuing* at that rate!

The man who is incredulous and ask

how this was done I. The answer is ready. The bloody-minded Boutwell, the Secretary of the Treasury, the same fellow who "voted" at Greencastle just before our election, and plotted about the great reduction of the public debt, and

now is "fairly competent" than Grant. Upon

which hook must he rest? Is he untrutiful or is he "daff"?

The man who really believes that Use-

less Simple, a low-bred, badly educated

ineptis is "far more competent" to govern this country than the ablest living

editor and one of the profoundest intel-

lects of the age, is not fit to be outside

of an asylum for the insane. The man

who hesitates not to make such an asser-

tion and is not half witted or crazy, is too

uncautious and untrustful to have influence

with any rational being who has seven

grains of honesty:

From all Massachusetts politicians of

whatever school, reverently do we say,

"Good Lord deliver us."

For the Sentinel.

LETTER FROM MEDFORD.

—Messrs. Editors:—I notice in the column of the "Carolina Era" of the 17th inst., a statement purporting to be a speci-

al dispatch to the New York Times headed, "The reign of terror of the liberal Kuklux, &c.," which contains such a number of base, of falsehood and misrepresentation upon this community, and other individuals, that we are compelled to publish it in this section, where there are several pub-

lished, could be induced to publish it, and even the *Era*, notwithstanding its known

appetite for any falsehood that might injure

the State or its citizens in the estimation

of the northmen did not have the

audacity to produce this monstrous tissue

of falsehood until it had travelled through the digestive of a foreign sian

detox.

The first statement is that a mob from

the peaceful and religious little village

of Pitts, in Indell county, N. C. assem-

bled at a church where T. N. Coop-

er, the defeated Radical candidate for the

Senate was, "for the avowed purpose

of killing him, &c., &c." The only founda-

tion for this story is that the said Mr. Coop-

er himself, as far as we can learn, was

not present at the fight; did not come up

to Pitts, and had no part in it either

by word or deed, nor did any one else ex-

cept Mr. Drake and Mr. Anderson, who

were settling a private quarrel in their

own way, except that they stoned

one of the spectators, Mr. Pendleton, a politi-

cally opposite to him. Yet, we will not

speak of, because the case has to undergo

judicial investigation.

The second statement is that E. H.

Drake, editor of the Stateville Ameri-

can, who has lately joined the Republicans,

was attacked in his office, by a band of

men headed by the author of this article,

and cruelly beaten on the street. The

third statement is that there was a

private quarrel between Mr. Drake and

Mr. Anderson, a citizen of Stateville,

and that the author of this article

was present at the scene of the affray.

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?

Now who got this immense sum? Who

were the rascists who divided

the proceeds?