

PUBLISHED BY

THE SENTINEL PUBLISHING COMPANY.

Office, near the Court House.

RATES OF SUBSCRIPTION.

One year, in advance.....	\$8.00
Five months, in advance.....	4.50
Three months in advance.....	2.25

The DAILY SENTINEL will be delivered in

any part of the City at FIFTEEN CENTS per

week.

MORNING EDITION.

LARGEST CIRCULATION OF ANY PAPER
PUBLISHED IN THE CITY.LATEST TELEGRAPHIC
NEWS ON 4th PAGE.MESSRS. GARDNER & HORNOR, Newspaper
Advertisers, Agent, No. 4 South street, Baltimore,
will be daily authorized to conduct for
advertisements at our lowest rates. Advertisers
in that city are requested to leave their
favors with that house.

CITY ALMANAC.

MONDAY, Feb. 24, 1873.

800 pages..... 6.25

50 sets..... 5.49

WEATHER REPORT.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23, 1873.

For the Middle States, diminishing north-
west winds, and rising temperature. For the
South Atlantic States continue low tempera-
ture with north west winds veering to north-
east during the day, with rising temperature.

CITY COTTON MARKET.

Reported daily by W. C. Stromah, Grocer
and Commission Merchant, Fayetteville
Street:

RALEIGH, February 24th, 1873.

Price of cotton in our market to day:

At 12 m. 18.50

At 4 p. m. 23.00

Sales 23.00

Receipts 23.00

Tone of market dull

HOME AFFAIRS.

HOTEL ARRIVALS.

YANKEE HOUSE, February 24th.—W.

L. Delossette, Wilmington; Edward

Kidder, Wilmington; C. D. Rice, Ashe-

ville; Mathew P. Taylor, Wilmington;

N. W. Woolson, Asheville; Thomas D.

Johnston, Bumcombe; Jones and Blodget,

Wake; C. T. Murphy, Sampson; Geo.

V. Strong, city; W. P. Welch, N. C.; Jno.

Black, Baltimore; V. H. Brown, Norfolk;

J. E. Peyton, New Jersey; G. W. John-

ston, Franklin; E. H. Hough, Phila;

John E. Brown, Charlotte; J. H. Merrim-

on, Asheville; Hon. W. A. Smith, Rich-

land; C. J. Verchere, N. C.; G. A. Smith,

Baltimore; A. D. Tapscott, N. Y.; R. R.

Bridges, Jr., Wilmington; S. S. Nash, N.

C.; Edward H. Herring, Baltimore; W.

M. Hardy, Dr. Freeman, H. A. Gudger,

Raleigh; W. J. Hawkin, Warren; A. B.

Andrews, N. C.; D. J. Dingman, St.

Louis; Mo. J. B. Campbell, Norfolk Jour-

nal; S. R. Alexander, Charlotte; A.

Jackson, New York Bulletin; G. A. Guley,

Va.; George P. Peck, Goldsboro; Thos.

Gunn, and wife, Wilmington; C. F.

Reams, M. R. Sauls, Haling.

NATIONAL HOTEL, Feb. 24.—A. E.

Williams, New York; H. J. Lodge, New

York; W. E. Simmonds, New York; J.

C. Turner, North Carolina; J. H. Rowson,

North Carolina; T. J. Bushard, N. C.;

A. J. Porter, N. C.; L. W. Humphrey, N.

C.; Anthony Davis, N. C.; C. J. Rhodes,

C. B. Bear, Norfolk, Va.; E. B. Hubbard,

U. S. A.; N. B. Cobb, Shelby, N. C.; J. J.

Jackson, Pittsburg; N. C.; John Reilly,

N. C.; S. G. Bilgen, Littleton, N. C.; B.

F. Coleman, Cincinnati, Ohio; Thos.

Angus, Newark, N. J.; J. D. Culham, N.

C.; Ed. Cooligland, N. C.; J. A. Cheat-

ham, N. C.

CITY HOTEL, February 24th.—C. W.

Woodard, Richmond, Va.; J. H. Rogers

and A. Rogers, Wake county; W. L. Ellington,

Apex, N. C.; J. C. Williams and Dr.

W. Williams, Wake county.

Senator King occupied his seat yester-

day for the first time since his protracted

illness.

CROWDED OUT.—In consequence of the

lengthy report of the proceedings of the

Senate yesterday, the report of the night session of that

body is crowded out.

GET YOUR CORNS CURED.—Dr. J. Lin-

don, the Surgeon Chiropractor, has ar-

rived and taken rooms at the Yarborough

House where he will remain a few days.

If you have corns, bunions or bad nails he

will cure them without pain. Ladies

attended at their residence without ex-

pense. Charges moderate. Give him a

call.

OUR NEW PUBLIC BUILDINGS.—It will

be seen by the following that we are going

to have a very handsome portfolio of

Federal Court Houses in Raleigh, and that

at least \$200,000 will be expended here.

We quote from the Washington *Globe* of

the 21st inst. On the 20th the miscellaneous

appropriation bill being under con-

sideration the immediate question being

on an appropriation for public buildings

in St. Louis, the following proceeding

was had:

Mr. Rogers, of North Carolina. I move

to amend by inserting after the clause just

read the following:

For completion of a building for post

office and court house in the city of Raleigh,

North Carolina, \$100,000.

Mr. Garfield, of Ohio. Is there any law

for that?

Mr. Rogers. Yes, sir.

Mr. Garfield. I will hear the gentle-

man's statement, concerning the post office

order.

Mr. Rogers. At the last session an ap-

propriation of \$100,000 was made for the

building in the city of Raleigh. The

Supervising Architect made his ex-plain-

and report, recommending an additional

appropriation this year of \$100,000.

The master was submitted to the Committee

on Public Buildings and Grounds; and

upon their suggestion I have allowed this

appropriation for an expenditure of only

\$100,000. The committee suggested to me

I have before me the report of the Super-

vising Architect, and the recommendation

of the Secretary of the Treasury that this

be made. I do not ask for \$100,000 be-

cause we do not want any expense on the

part of the committee. They suggested to

an appropriation \$100,000. The site has

been purchased.

The amendment was agreed to.

The general bill will pass and carry

the Raleigh appropriation with it.

THE DAILY SENTINEL.

VOL. VIII.

RALEIGH, N. C., TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 1873.

NO. 142.

SUPREME COURT.

State on rel. Jos. S. Jones, etc., vs. Jacob P. Brown, from Warren; Moore & Galling for plaintiff, and Bachelder & Son and Plummer for defendant. Argued.

B. P. Clegg, for Mr. Mark P. Jones et al., from Warren; Plummer and Bachelder, and Edwards & Bachelder for Plaintiff, and Phillips & Merrimon for defendants. Argued.

State vs. Simpson, Mord et al. and Gothic, from Wake; Attorney General Hargrove and W. R. Cox for the State, and T. Devereux and Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder and Plummer for defendants. Argued.

State vs. Simpkins, Mord et al. and Gothic, from Wake; Attorney General Hargrove and W. R. Cox for the State, and T. Devereux and Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder and Plummer for defendants. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

State vs. Utley, guardian, vs. Theo. Young et al., from Wake, (two cases) Bachelder, Edwards & Bachelder for plaintiff, and Busbee & Busbee and Moore & Galling for defendant. Argued.

SUPREME COURT.

Opinions were filed on yesterday by the Justices in the following cases:

By PRASCHON, C. J.

The People of the State of North Carolina on relation of Geo. W. Welker et al. No error—judgment affirmed.

State vs. Joseph H. Branch. No error—judgment affirmed.

By REED, J.

The People of North Carolina on relation of Wesley Whitaker et al. vs. C. E. Johnson et al. No error—judgment affirmed.

The People vs. P. McGowen. No error—judgment affirmed.

The People on relation of Kemp P. Battle vs. Alex. McIver. No error—judgment affirmed.

The People on relation of J. Nichols et al. vs. W. H. McKee et al. No error—judgment affirmed.

The People vs. P. McGowen. No error—judgment affirmed.

The bill was placed on the calendar without reference.

John H. Powell, administrator vs. Wil-

mington and Weldon Halliard. Er-