

PUBLISHED BY

The Sentinel Publishing Company.

OFFICES, NEAR THE COURT-HOUSE.

DAYS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

Very Semimonthly	1 year in advance	\$5.00
Bi-monthly	" "	5.00
Weekly	" "	3.00

THE DAILY SENTINEL will be delivered in

any part of the City at FIFTEEN CENTS per

copy.

## LATEST TELEGRAPHIC NEWS ON 4th PAGE.

Legislature of North Carolina.

SENATE.

WEDNESDAY, Dec. 3.

Senate met at 11 a.m., according to adjournment.

Journal of yesterday read and approved.

Mr. Norwood rose in a personal explanation and read the report of the SENTINEL of his remarks on the 2d instance when Mr. Cunningham's resolution respecting the public printing was under consideration, which he happened not to see till last night. He said it did him in justice, (certainly not intentionally) probably from his own fault, in attempting too much to condense what he had to say. What he did say was in substance this: That the set of 1871-73 requires the committee on public printing to make a contract for the public printing; and after they had made the contract it was complete and final, and the Legislature had no further power over it, and unless that provision of the set is repealed it will be a waste of time for us to discuss the matter of public printer. If it is intended that it shall be repealed that ought to be done by a regular bill introduced and passed in the ordinary way.

The chair announced, as the Senate branch of the committee on Insurance, Messrs. Welch, Norwood and Randolph.

As the committee on Banks and Currency, Messrs. Humphreys, Troy and Harris.

Mr. Morehead, of Guilford, reported adversely upon the Oriental Fish and Oyster Company.

On motion of Mr. Barnhardt, the report was laid on the table.

Mr. Todd, from the Judiciary Committee, reported unfavorably upon a bill in relation to the prosecution of suits.

On motion of Mr. Flemming, the bill was laid on the table.

Mr. Price, from some committee, reported unfavorably upon a bill extending the time for closing out entries of land.

Mr. Flemming reported favorably upon the bill to amend chapter 77, laws of 1871-72.

Message from the House, transmitting a number of private bills passed by the House, all of which were passed first reading, and were appropriately referred.

Also, resolution proposing a joint committee of three to request the opinion of the Attorney General upon the effect of the amendment of the vote upon this session of the General Assembly.

On motion of Mr. Cowles, the resolution was adopted.

House resolution requiring the Superintendents of the Insane Asylum, Deaf and Dumb and Blind Asylums and the Penitentiary, to report what salaries and fees are paid the officers and employees of their respective Institutions.

On motion of Mr. Cowles, the resolution was adopted.

INTRODUCTION OF BILL.

Mr. Gedger, a bill to prohibit the sale of spirituous liquors within two miles of Burnsville in Yancey county. The bill was accompanied by a petition of the citizens of Yancey.

Mr. Waring, a bill to alter the Constitution. Read by title and referred.

Mr. McAuley, a bill which provides that police officers who receive copies of Baptist's Review and the laws of the State shall, under penalties, turn them over to their superiors in office.

Mr. Barnhardt, a bill to amend the charter of the town of Concord.

Col. Hilde, a bill in relation to the terms of the Superior Courts in the 4th judicial circuit, read first time and referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Col. Morehead, a bill to amend chapter 77, laws of 1871-72.

Mr. Eppes, a resolution instructing our members in Congress to give their support to the passage of the Sumner Civil Rights bill. Lays over one day under the rules.

Unfinished business of yesterday—the Railroad Consolidation bill.

Mr. Morehead, of Guilford, offered an amendment to the 8th sec. of the bill, fixing the value of the N. C. R.R. stock at \$50 per share of \$100, and authorizes the new consolidation company to purchase of private stockholders who may wish to sell at that price. Adopted.

Col. Humphrey offered a substitute for the five hundred thousand dollars bond of Mr. Waring adopted yesterday. It provided a ten thousand dollar penalty and ten years imprisonment for malfeasance or default of any officer in the corporation.

Mr. Humphrey thought this amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.

Mr. Love desired to throw every safeguard around this corporation, but could not see the necessity or propriety of appointing a commission to watch the directory.

Mr. Norwood thought the amendment was a reflection upon the directors of the company.

Mr. Waring disclaimed any such intention.

Mr. Humphrey argued at length against the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Waring followed in support of his amendment.